Pulse sequences and parallel imaging for high spatiotemporal resolution MRI at ultra-high field
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Abstract

The SNR and CNR benefits of ultra-high field (UHF) have helped push the envelope of achievable spatial resolution in MRI. For applications based on susceptibility contrast where there is a large CNR gain, high quality sub-millimeter resolution imaging is now being routinely performed, particularly in fMRI and phase imaging/QSM. This has enabled the study of structure and function of very fine-scale structures in the brain. UHF has also helped push the spatial resolution of many other MRI applications as will be outlined in this review. However, this push in resolution comes at a cost of a large encoding burden leading to very lengthy scans. Developments in parallel imaging with controlled aliasing and the move away from 2D slice-by-slice imaging to much more SNR-efficient simultaneous multi-slice (SMS) and 3D acquisitions have helped address this issue. In particular, these developments have revolutionized the efficiency of UHF MRI to enable high spatiotemporal resolution imaging at an order of magnitude faster acquisition. In addition to describing the main approaches to these techniques, this review will also outline important key practical considerations in using these methods in practice. Furthermore, new RF pulse design to tackle the $B_1^+$ and SAR issues of UHF and the increased SAR and power requirement of SMS RF pulses will also be touched upon. Finally, an outlook into new developments of smart encoding in more dimensions, particularly through using better temporal/ across-contrast encoding and reconstruction will be described. Just as controlled aliasing fully exploits spatial encoding in parallel imaging to provide large multiplicative gains in accelerations, the complimentary use of these new approaches in temporal and across-contrast encoding are expected to provide exciting opportunities for further large gains in efficiency to further push the spatiotemporal resolution of MRI.
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Introduction
Ultra-high field (UHF) MRI at 7 Tesla and above, has brought about great opportunities for improving the spatial and temporal resolution, thanks to large increases in signal to noise ratio (SNR) that can be traded for smaller voxel volumes and/or reduced acquisition times by undersampling. Moreover, the change of transverse and longitudinal relaxation times, along with enhanced phase contrast at UHF result in altered image contrasts that benefit several applications of anatomical and functional MRI and give rise to emerging techniques that have been unfeasible at the commonly used clinical field strengths of 1.5T and 3T.

The shortened $T_2^*$ for example favors applications based on susceptibility contrast: The super-linear increases in the contrast-to-noise (CNR) ratio in functional BOLD imaging (Yacoub et al., 2001) allow fMRI to the detail of cortical layers, susceptibility weighed imaging (SWI) proves useful in the imaging of small venous vessels where paramagnetic blood serves as the endogenous contrast agent (Haacke et al., 2009; Koopmans et al., 2008), and quantitative susceptibility mapping (QSM) allows the fine delineation of subcortical structures and depiction of iron concentrations contained within them (Duyn et al., 2007; Fukunaga et al., 2010). A further important feature at UHF is the longer $T_1$ longitudinal relaxation time (unlike $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ which decrease), which in arterial spin labelling (ASL) increases the affordable post-labelling and readout periods because the labelled spins keep their magnetic tag for longer (Gardener et al., 2009; Golay and Petersen, 2006; Pfeuffer et al., 2002a). The amplification of blood inflow effects moreover provides vessel contrast in time-of-flight angiography (von Morze et al., 2007; Zwanenburg et al., 2008) where again the moving blood is the ‘in-built’ contrast and the administration of external contrast agents is not required.

This paper reviews the various neuroimaging applications in which the move towards UHF has played an important enabling role. In this context we focus on the significant acquisition...
challenges that have been brought about by the desire to image at ever higher spatial resolutions, and the paper provides an overview of the recent state-of-the-art parallel imaging techniques and the move away from 2D slice-by-slice imaging to much more SNR-efficient simultaneous multi-slice (SMS) and 3D acquisitions that address these challenges with drastic improvements in acquisition speed.

**Benefits of high field**

**BOLD fMRI**

Functional imaging has been one of the main drivers behind early high field human imaging, and it may be noted that the first 7T installations were at centers with a primary interest in human brain function. Local changes in blood flow and volume that accompany brain activation give rise to the BOLD signal via four MRI contrast mechanisms, whose field strength dependence lead to an overall super-linear BOLD increase with \( B_0 \), and an increasingly stronger weighting towards the microvasculature close to the neuronal activations. For review on the hemodynamics and BOLD contrast mechanisms the reader is referred to (Norris, 2006) and references therein. The vast majority of fMRI was and still is performed with gradient-echo EPI and is hence predominantly \( T_2^* \) weighted which offers excellent sensitivity at high sampling rates and low RF power deposition. Early submillimeter resolution fMRI experiments at high field were demonstrated with FLASH type sequences (Menon and Goodyear, 1999), however the property of spin-echo to refocus extravascular dephasing and hence reduce ‘downstream’ BOLD contributions for better specificity has led to a series of papers advocating spin-echo fMRI at UHF (Yacoub et al., 2005); some researchers therefore also today advocate \( T_2^* \)-contrast for submillimeter BOLD imaging (De Martino et al., 2013b; Kemper et al., 2014). The main challenges associated with spin-echo fMRI are the much increased RF power deposition which puts tight limitations on the achievable acquisition rate and the imaging volume that can be covered, as well as \( B_1^+ \) inhomogeneity which causes large spatial non-uniformity in the signal excitation of spin-echo imaging. Gradient-echo imaging with either 2D slice-selective EPI or 3D volume-selective EPI readout is therefore the choice in most cases, and if acquired at sufficient spatial resolution the undesired signal contributions from the larger draining veins or pial vasculature can be identified directly and removed from subsequent analysis (Curtis et al., 2014; Menon, 2002). An alternative to spin-echo EPI that does not require a SAR intensive 180 degree refocusing pulse is the SSFP (steady state free precession) sequence (Barth et al., 2010; Goa et al., 2014; Miller et al., 2006; Poser et al., 2010; Scheffler et al., 2001; Scheffler and Ehses, 2016) which also provides a spin-echo like \( T_2 \) contrast. SSFP sequences come at lower temporal resolution than typical EPI sequences since single-shot acquisition cannot be realized due to the need to keep TR short and acquire the signal as closely as possible to the subsequent RF pulse.

Many BOLD applications at UHF translate the CNR gain into acquisitions at improved spatial and/or temporal resolution in order to obtain new insights into the functional organization of the brain. Smaller voxel sizes allow us to functionally resolve the finer structures in the cortical or subcortical architecture that are not easily seen at clinical field strengths. This has been applied to the measurement of cortical layer-specific BOLD
activations (Koopmans et al., 2010; Olman et al., 2012; Polimeni et al., 2010; Siero et al., 2011; Trampel et al., 2012) and even mapping of intra-cortical connections or probing the columnar organization of cortex (Yacoub et al., 2008). In subcortical areas small voxels can be used for the functional segregation of nuclear sub-regions (De Martino et al., 2013a; Satpute et al., 2013). Thanks to the now widespread availability of UHF scanners, such advanced applications of BOLD fMRI have already evolved to a level of maturity that they no longer remain accessible to only few privileged researchers. Considering the rapid advance of acquisition, reconstruction and analysis techniques we have experienced in only the past few years, we can reasonably expect that the persistent effort in further advancing acquisitions technology will continue to push the boundaries of neuroscience applications in the coming years, and that these developments will increasingly be driven by applications and neuroscientific research questions. Improvements in temporal fMRI resolution bring other advantages by more tightly sampling the hemodynamic signals. Despite the sluggishness of the BOLD response, shorter TR acquisition by itself has been demonstrated to increase statistical power for example in resting state fMRI where it allows for improved functional parcellation of brain regions and even facilitates mapping the short-term dynamic reorganization of resting state network topography (Tian et al., 2013). High frame rates in task fMRI not only allow for tighter sampling of the BOLD response but also help better resolving the physiological noise contributions originating from respiration, heartbeat as well as local pulsation and gross motion, thereby easing physiological noise reduction in subsequent post-processing (Glover et al., 2000; Griffanti et al., 2014). Together this for instance enables the measurement of hemodynamic delays within and across brain regions, and allows inferences to be made on the hierarchical organization and directionality of brain signals (Lee et al., 2013). Moreover, a recent study has detected oscillatory fMRI signals in response to stimuli oscillating at up to 0.75 Hz within single scan sessions (Lewis et al., 2016a), and these responses, although small, were an order of magnitude larger than predicted by canonical linear models. In the same study it was shown through simulation based on a biophysical model of the hemodynamic response that the BOLD response should become faster for rapidly varying stimuli, enabling the detection of higher frequencies than expected (Lewis et al., 2016b). It is therefore expected that the high SNR and the high spatial-temporal resolution fMRI afforded at UHF will likely be the key for further investigation in this area, with exciting potential in mapping neural oscillations directly throughout the brain. Furthermore, the investigation of the mechanisms of neurovascular coupling that ultimately leads to the largely phenomenological BOLD response remain an important research topic that spans different modalities (O’Herron et al., 2016). Recent reports in the optical imaging literature indicate that there is highly localized regulation of capillary blood flow (Devor et al., 2012), implying a direct link between the neuronal activation and hemodynamic fMRI signal that could be achieved at microscopic voxel resolution. Thus, the limiting factor that compromises the spatial specificity of fMRI is the spatiotemporal resolution we can achieve with current fMRI acquisitions. While true microscopic resolutions with (f)MRI will likely never be achieved, it is clear that UHF and advanced acquisitions and reconstruction are the key ingredients towards mastering this challenge. Figure 1 shows examples of BOLD EPI applications that have been enabled by the advances in SMS acquisition with controlled aliasing, illustrating the paradigm shift that the field of fMRI has undergone in the past 5 years. Figure 2 shows comparisons of rs-fMRI
data acquired using the Human Connectome Project (HCP) protocols at 3T and 7T at 2mm and 1.6mm isotropic resolution respectively (figure and results adapted from (Vu et al., 2016)). Here, the increase in SNR and CNR of UHF are shown to improve rs-fMRI results, while the ability and desire to acquire data at higher spatial resolution is shown to negate some of this benefit, particularly when higher in-plane acceleration is employed to counteract the increased distortion and blurring.

Vascular space occupancy (VASO) fMRI

Since the BOLD signal contains a blood volume contribution that is well localized to the capillaries, efforts have been made to measure vascular volume changes directly (Lu et al., 2013, 2003) Also early work on hemodynamic modelling to understand the temporal relationship between the observed BOLD signal, cerebral blood flow (CBF), cerebral blood volume (CBV) and the cerebral mean rate of oxygen consumption (CMRO$_2$) attempted to measure the CBV contribution in isolation (Buxton et al., 1998; Mandeville et al., 1999). However until a decade ago, this was only possible using superparamagnetic MION (monocrystalline iron oxide nanocolloid) contrast agents and hence limited to animal studies, which, together with the fact that such studies required anesthesia led to some discussion on the translatability of the resulting models to the hemodynamics in the human (Kim et al., 2013). In 2003 Lu and colleagues proposed the so-called vascular space occupancy (VASO) method (Lu et al., 2003), which in its basic form is a single-shot inversion recovery sequence that acquires a single image at the nulling point of intravascular blood. To achieve this, the T$_1$ of blood must be known precisely to allow separation from the surrounding gray matter. Since the vascular volume increases during activation and this contribution to the voxel signal is removed by the inversion, a negative signal change is observed during task activation. Animal experiments at 9.4 T with layer specific fMRI could confirm good agreement between the specificity of VASO and MION contrast (Jin and Kim, 2006), and even demonstrated improved specificity of slab-selective VASO over the SE BOLD signal (Jin and Kim, 2008). The VASO method provoked some interest for human use, and at 3T was developed further to provide increased brain coverage using the MAGIC (Lu et al., 2004) scheme or single-shot 3D GRASE (Donahue et al., 2009; Poser and Norris, 2009). While this allowed application to whole-brain cognitive fMRI to be demonstrated (Poser and Norris, 2011), VASO for some time remained a niche technique for mechanistic investigations and limited to low spatial resolutions. A review on the development of VASO can be found in (Lu and van Zijl, 2012) and (Lu et al., 2013).

Considering the lengthening of T$_1$ with field strength (requiring longer TR) and the convergence of T$_1$ of blood and gray matter (making them harder to separate), the implementation at UHF is not entirely straightforward (Hua et al., 2013). The prospect however of translating the higher SNR at UHF into high spatial resolution in order to capitalize on the superior specificity of VASO evidenced in the animal literature, provided compelling reason to pursue VASO at high field (Hua et al., 2013). Considerable effort has since gone into the adaptation of VASO for UHF human fMRI, and slab-selective VASO with BOLD compensation at 7T has now been applied to study neurovascular coupling, layer-dependent fMRI (Huber et al., 2014), and layer-resolved resting state fMRI at sub-millimeter resolutions (Huber et al., 2016a); in each case VASO exhibited better specificity.
than the concurrently measured BOLD signals. The success of broader application of VASO critically hinges on the advances in parallel image reconstruction and SMS technology to provide a powerful means to address the limited volume coverage: recent work showed SMS-VASO for blood volume fMRI with near whole-brain coverage, high-resolution mapping of spatially distinct brain areas, and sub-millimeter fMRI with multi-slice coverage (Huber et al., 2016). For submillimeter resolutions, VASO with 3D-EPI readout has since been found to provide yet superior SNR at high spatial resolution as compared to 2D-SMS readout; for larger voxel sizes the reverse is the case, as can be seen in Figure 3. 3D-EPI based VASO also showed significantly reduced sensitivity to motion than SMS-VASO in breathhold experiments (Huber et al., 2016b). Despite VASO’s specificity advantages over BOLD at UHF, its remaining limitations with regards to spatial coverage and temporal resolutions will likely prevent its acceptance for wide-spread use for ‘standard fMRI’: While BOLD fMRI with SMS-EPI at 1.5mm resolution can readily be achieved with TR of 1.5s or less, TR in VASO is dictated by the need for magnetization recovery and typically 2.5 to 3 seconds, irrespective of volume coverage. It may nevertheless be expected to continue its rapid advance for special applications like layer connectivity within brain regions and studies into the laminar and columnar architecture of human cortex.

Perfusion imaging using ASL

Perfusion imaging using MRI is typically performed non-invasively using ASL which has been developed since the late 1980s (Detre et al., 1992; Williams et al., 1992). ASL allows measuring regional cerebral blood flow in health and disease, although in a clinical setting dynamic susceptibility contrast (DSC) is often preferred for the higher sensitivity. For a recent review on ASL, the reader is referred to (Koretsky, 2012). ASL at higher resolutions and hence reduced voxel volume lead to proportional reduction in the SNR of ASL acquisitions, which render high-resolution perfusion imaging particularly challenging. In practice, to obtain sufficient SNR in ASL at 3T, low spatial resolutions (above 3 mm isotropic) are utilized. Therefore, only a few studies have shown CBF maps with in-plane resolution below 1.5 mm (Pfeuffer et al., 2002b; Zuo et al., 2013). It is worth noting that these studies utilized diverse acquisition approaches – SE EPI, GE EPI and turbo-FLASH (TFL), but were all performed at 7T, indicating its potential for high-resolution CBF imaging. Performing ASL at UHF promises to be advantageous because of increased image SNR and longitudinal relaxation times (Gardener et al., 2009; Ivanov et al., 2016b). For instance, 7T ASL provides improved white matter perfusion measurements (Gardener and Jezzard, 2015). Despite the aforementioned gains, UHF ASL has not found widespread use in humans due to significant technical challenges. First, the spatial homogeneity and efficiency of the labeling are significantly degraded at UHF due to B0- and B1+- inhomogeneities (Luh et al., 2013; Teeuwisse et al., 2010). Second, SAR constraints at UHF constrain the utilization of some widely-used 3T ASL techniques, such as pCASL labeling background suppression and spin-echo-based readouts. As a consequence, to remain within SAR limits, many UHF ASL implementations employ poor temporal resolutions (Bause et al., 2016; Ghariq et al., 2012; Luh et al., 2013; Zuo et al., 2013). Recently, Ivanov and colleagues demonstrated a pulsed ASL (FAIR) approach at 7T utilizing optimized tr-FOCI inversion pulses, dielectric pads and SMS EPI readout capable of whole brain coverage with moderate spatial resolution and TR similar to 3T (Ivanov et al., 2016b). The same labelling
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approach has been combined with a 3D-EPI readout to achieve higher SNR CBF imaging at sub-millimeter spatial resolution (Ivanov et al., 2016a), in line with the findings from VASO where 3D-EPI was found to outperform 2D-EPI in case of very small voxel size (Huber et al., 2016c). Moreover, these initial sub-millimeter ASL data are suggesting a superior specificity to BOLD (see paper by Huber et al. in the Special Issue ‘Prospects for cortical laminar MRI’).

**Diffusion imaging**

Diffusion MRI (dMRI) is an inherently low-SNR imaging technique. The increase in SNR afforded by UHF could potentially be used to increase the spatial (k-space) and angular diffusion (q-space) resolution of dMRI, to improve its utility as a tool in studying fine-scale structures and complex diffusion properties. Nonetheless, unlike fMRI, the $T_2$ shortening at UHF is detrimental to dMRI as it significantly decreases the signal of the typically long TE spin-echo dMRI acquisition (long TE due to diffusion gradient encoding). To illustrate, for a typical dMRI acquisition with TE of 65ms, the SNR reduction in white-matter due to a $T_2$ shortening from 77ms at 3T to 50ms at 7T (Cox and Gowland, 2008) is a factor of 1.6x, which wipes out a large portion of the 2.3x gain in SNR from moving to 7T. This $T_2$ shortening coupled with the issue of $B_1^+$ inhomogeneity and SAR, make squeezing out good performance from UHF dMRI an uphill battle. Nonetheless, high quality diffusion imaging at high spatial resolution has been achieved, largely through keeping to short TE acquisitions by targeting relatively low b-value encoding and by employing large in-plane acceleration/multi-shot and/or zoom imaging approaches to limit the EPI encoding burden (Eichner et al., 2014a; Heidemann et al., 2012, 2010; von Morze et al., 2010). Moreover, recent persistent efforts in dMRI at 7T with SMS-EPI (Vu et al., 2014) and multi-slab 3D-EPI (Wu et al., 2016) have also shown promising results with high spatial resolutions.

**Susceptibility imaging**

Structural imaging with susceptibility contrast through phase imaging, susceptibility-weighted imaging (SWI) and quantitative susceptibility mapping (QSM) have all been shown to gain dramatic improvement in CNR at UHF, yielding exquisite intracortical and subcortical contrast (de Rochefort et al., 2010; Deistung et al., 2008; Duyn et al., 2007; Fukunaga et al., 2010; Haacke et al., 2004; Shmueli and Zwart, 2009). With QSM, the orientation bias in the susceptibility signal can be further removed to provide structurally accurate quantitative tissue information at a cost of some spatial blurring (Bilgic et al., 2012; de Rochefort et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2011; Marques and Bowtell, 2005). Alternatively, repeated and hence time-consuming measurements can be performed in which data are acquired at multiple head orientations (Liu et al., 2009). The high CNR and SNR of susceptibility contrast acquisitions at UHF have enabled us to strive for very high isotropic submillimeter resolutions in these imaging applications. Here, the developments of 3D-EPI with CAIPI and Wave-CAIPI GRE acquisitions (outlined below) have provided the much-needed improvement in acquisition speed to allow such high-resolution data to be acquired in vivo within reasonable measurement time. Example QSM data from these two sequences is shown in Figure 4.
**T$_1$-weighted and T$_2$-weighted imaging**

T$_1$w and T$_2$w structural imaging also benefits from higher resolutions afforded through higher SNR at UHF. The increased resolution improves the ability for these imaging techniques to serve as tools for neuroscience and clinical research. In particular, with its excellent gray matter/white matter contrast, T$_1$w MPRAGE (Mugler et al., 1992; Mugler and Brookeman, 1990) has been widely used for (automatic) brain segmentation (Dale et al., 1999; Fischl et al., 1999), where segmentation precision has improved with the increased resolution. This has allowed us to better study healthy brain development, measure cortical thickness/volume (Fischl and Dale, 2000), and investigate into pathological conditions such as those associated with neurodegeneration. On the other hand, it is important to note that the T$_1$ lengthening and T$_2$ shortening at UHF can have some negative impact on SNR and also lengthen contrast encoding period/dead-time of these acquisitions. The imaging parameters should therefore be optimized to account for these T$_1$ and T$_2$ changes to ensure high quality imaging with optimal contrast profile.

The increase in B$_1^+$ and B$_0$ inhomogeneity at UHF also affects the imaging quality of these acquisitions. Sequence modifications particularly for MPRAGE, have been proposed to overcome these issues. In particular, MEMPRAGE (van der Kouwe et al., 2008), which utilized multi-echo acquisition at high bandwidth, has been proposed to reduce B$_0$ inhomogeneity related image distortion. Moreover, MP2RAGE (Marques et al., 2010) which acquires data at two different inversion times, has also been proposed to create a T1w image free of proton density contrast, T$_2^*$ contrast, reception bias field, and, to first order B$_1^+$ inhomogeneity. Increased coil sensitivity variation at UHF (Ohliger et al., 2003; Wiesinger et al., 2004) on the other hand presents the opportunity for higher accelerations and faster imaging, but the speed gain here could be limited, particularly in SMS imaging where higher SAR is expected at higher slice accelerations. Figure 5 shows a SMS T$_2$-TSE acquisition at a high slice acceleration factor (Norris et al., 2014) where the use of PINS refocusing pulses (Norris et al., 2011) has helped alleviate this issue.

**Time-of-flight magnetic resonance angiography (TOF-MRA)**

TOF-MRA acquisition achieves high vessel contrast by utilizing short TRs to keep the stationary tissue signal suppressed relative to the moving blood signal in the vessel. The T$_1$ lengthening effect of UHF helps improve the suppression of the stationary tissue signal to improve CNR (von Morze et al., 2007; Zwanenburg et al., 2008). This coupled with the increased SNR of UHF provides for the ability to achieve very high spatial resolution TOF-MRA. Figure 6 shows a highly detailed TOF-MRA (no contrast injection) data at 7T where vascular branches down to the 3rd and 4th order are observed.

The increase in resolution naturally comes at a cost of increased encoding burden, where longer scan time leads to more motion sensitivity. A recently proposed Multiband-MultiSlab approach (MB-MS) for TOF-MRA (Schulz et al., 2016) can help mitigate this issue by allowing for faster imaging as well as an increase in CNR. With MB-MS, multiple thin slabs are acquired simultaneously (with CAIPI shift between the slabs) to allow for good slab-acceleration and faster imaging. Furthermore, the MB-MS approach also increases CNR through the use of thinner slab acquisition (relative to standard slab acquisition) with
reduced blood saturation effect and the increase in magnetization transfer contrast from the use of multi-slab pulses.

Meeting the need for speed

The main conundrum with high-resolution acquisitions that are usually desired at UHF is the correspondingly increased measurement time that is required. This can make in vivo applications challenging or outright impracticable. In fact, modern fMRI applications such as those mentioned above generally rely on high spatial and temporal resolution at the same time, and recently popular anatomical protocols for quantitative MRI parameter mapping now include high-resolution scans of different image contrast which increases the total scan time. The problem is amplified in studies involving patients, elderly and children, or other populations that have difficulty remaining still over an extended period of time. Moreover, subject motion frequently renders images worthless and adequate real-time correction techniques that are routinely applicable are largely lacking. With the recent release of the first FDA approved commercial 7T system and the foreseeable use of rather expensive UHF imaging for diagnostic use, one may add economic considerations to what can be summarized as a pressing need for speed. This poses significant requirements on the acquisition hardware (high field, many-coil transmit and receiver arrays, stronger gradients, better $B_0$ shimming), MR physics (smart sequence sampling schemes and reconstructions, coil compressions) as well as powerful soft- and hardware solutions to achieve near real-time image reconstructions (many-count CPU and GPU systems). In particular, the exploitation of UHF MRI now relies critically on parallel imaging (PI) technology, which has gone through an extraordinary evolution over the past decade. SENSE (Pruessmann et al., 1999) or GRAPPA (Griswold et al., 2002) type reconstructions enable an acquisition speedup by simultaneously receiving the MR signal with multiple receiver coils and whose distinct receive sensitivity profiles provide spatial encoding power that can replace sampling steps in the imaging sequence. Head and body coil arrays with 32 or more coil elements have become a standard at clinical field strengths, yet only rarely do routine protocols apply acceleration factors $R$ of more than 3 to 4 even in volumetric acquisitions. This is because conventional parallel-accelerated acquisitions incur a $\sqrt{R}$ SNR penalty as fewer samples are acquired, and in addition a so-called g-factor noise penalty that depends on the array’s channel count and geometry in relation to the acceleration factor and acceleration direction (Pruessmann et al., 1999). As a result, traditional PI sampling schemes in 2D slice imaging (e.g. for $R=3$ simply acquire only every 3rd k-space line which effectively reduces the FoV by factor 3) have remained limited to modest acceleration factors, even with highly advanced receive coil technology. Fortunately at UHF, the sensitivity profiles of the individual coil elements become more distinct which results in an increased encoding power and hence reduced g-noise penalty (Ohliger et al., 2003; Wiesinger et al., 2006, 2005). This advantage can be translated into higher acceleration factors, bringing $R=5$ into the range of what is reasonably achievable along a single direction. Nevertheless the fundamental limitations of 1D acceleration remain as they do not make full use of the coil array’s encoding capability: which is typically spread across all spatial directions (i.e. 3D) in common soccer-ball designs (Wiggins et al., 2009, 2006)
The most recent advances in the domain of parallel imaging, simultaneous multi-slice acquisition and controlled aliasing (CAIPIRINHA, in the following referred to as CAIPI), have revolutionized both 2D slice (Breuer et al., 2005) and 3D volumetric sampling (Breuer et al., 2006). In essence, the idea of SMS sampling was not new and reaches far back to the days before parallel imaging even came along. Of particular note is a technique known as POMP (Glover, 1991) that already incorporated the main features that now enable modern day SMS sequences: multiband excitation and phase encoding along the multiple simultaneous slices. Shortly after the first demonstrations of parallel imaging for 2D acquisitions, David Larkman then was the first to demonstrate truly slice-accelerated acquisitions, i.e. simultaneous excitation of multiple slices at once by means multi-band RF pulse, and subsequent SENSE reconstruction to separate the slices using coil sensitivity information (Larkman et al., 2001). Most importantly, the simultaneous acquisition of the signals originating from multiple simultaneous slices took the same time as would normally be spent on a single slice. A ground-breaking development at the time, the publication remained largely overlooked for several years until Breuer and colleagues gave the concept a significant methodological push forward through CAIPIRINHA, which enabled higher acceleration via better use of coil sensitivity information across multiple dimensions. Even then the community did not yet seem to fully embrace the great potential of the controlled aliasing principle, and SMS was not worked on by many. A main reason for this may be the lack of widespread availability of multi-channel receive coils with a total channel count greater than eight, or coils with elements along the head-foot direction which limits acceleration capability. As one of the pioneers who recognized early on that EPI would be ‘the’ major beneficiary of SMS acquisition, Nunes and colleagues presented an implementation of SMS-EPI with CAIPI slice shift (Nunes et al., 2006) shortly after the first CAIPIRINHA paper; but since this first implementation left a significant blurring artifact in the reconstructed images the work did not at the time attract the attention that it now receives in retrospect. The turning point, at least for the fMRI community, came in the year 2010 which then saw two highly promising demonstrations for EPI at once: 3D-EPI which for the first time allowed nominal acceleration time savings by slice/through-plane undersampling of the secondary phase-encoding direction plus any partial Fourier (Poser et al., 2010), and at the same time Moeller et al. presented a first version of SMS-EPI for fMRI showing faster sampling at UHF (Moeller et al., 2010). Both were still without controlled aliasing. It was however not until the first convincing demonstration of the actual benefits that fast sampling in fMRI can bring about (Feinberg et al., 2010) and the development of the blipped-CAIPI method (Setsompop et al., 2012) that allows artifact free controlled aliasing in EPI, that the advancement of SMS-CAIPIRINHA and related reconstruction methods began to be pushed forward in a well-orchestrated manner and at a rate the MR methods community has rarely witnessed before. CAIPI now comfortably allows PI accelerations up to a factor of about 12 with acceptable noise penalty and has enabled applications that were unthinkable only a few years ago. The key to CAIPI is to acquire an imaging signal that originates from a 3D distribution in space, this can be a contiguous imaging slab or multiple simultaneously excited slices in different locations. The undersampling alias can then be distributed more evenly over more than one spatial direction (hence “controlled aliasing”), which increases the spatial distances between overlapping signals in the reduced field of view (FoV) and thereby exhibits lower g-factor penalty (Breuer et al., 2006). This advantage again translates...
into higher acceleration factors becoming possible. Intriguingly, the CAIPI technique also allows coil sensitivity information along one dimension to be invested into accelerating along an orthogonal dimension in which the coil array may provide little or no spatial encoding (Breuer et al., 2005; Setsompop et al., 2012), and so with CAIPI, it is also possible to perform slice acceleration in SMS-EPI using a coil array with no coil sensitivity variation along z (where coils are physically distributed solely along x, y in a single z row) by leveraging the coil sensitivity information along the phase encoding direction. This is what constitutes the main “secret” that, for instance, enables SMS-EPI with factor 12 acceleration along a single direction, the slice direction (Setsompop et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2013). Here coil sensitivity along both the phase encoding and the slice directions are contributing to achieve such a high acceleration. Such high accelerations are also now being used routinely with a 32-channel coil at 7T, although here the acceleration is typically used in a distributed fashion along both the slice and the phase encoding directions (MB × R_{in-plane}) to mitigate the increased image distortion and blurring associated with higher field and the typically higher targeted spatial resolution (Uğurbil et al., 2013; Vu et al., 2016).

From a pure sampling perspective, 2D-SMS and 3D volumetric CAIPI are equivalent: they can be represented in the same 3D k-space picture (Zahneisen et al., 2014) and if so desired even reconstructed in exactly the same manner using SENSE (Zahneisen et al., 2015). The N_{sms-factor} slices in a SMS slice group can then be viewed as being centered in voxels of voxel size equal to the slice separation. Note moreover, that from this sampling perspective, a CAIPI acquisition is no longer appropriately described by the undersampling factors in one and the other direction, but characterized by the total amount of undersampling and a sampling pattern, that in turn gives rise to an aliasing pattern (Breuer et al., 2006).

In practical sequence implementation, the staggered sampling in k-space can be achieved in two ways. The now historic literature on simultaneous acquisitions using shifted 2D slices, even before the advent of parallel imaging, such as the POMP method (Glover, 1991) used sets of different multi-band pulses in which, between adjacent k-space lines, the phase of the slices to be shifted was cycled so as to effect a slice-shift according to the Fourier shift theorem. The same approach was used in the original demonstration of slice CAIPIRINHA (Breuer et al., 2005). It lends itself well to SMS with a small number of slices, but becomes more impractical for high SMS factors as the number of distinct RF waveforms is equal to the number of simultaneous slices. More importantly, slice shift by RF phase only works in slice-selective sequences with “one line per excitation” or segmented EPI or TSE echo trains, but it does not work in single-shot echo-train sequences like EPI, or any volumetric 3D sequences. Recognizing however that the distinct multi-band pulses effectively perform a phase-encoding of the ‘voxels’ defined by the simultaneously excited slices, the encoding can be replaced by gradient moments, which unlike RF can also be applied during echo-train readouts. This enabled demonstration of volumetric CAIPIRINHA (Breuer et al., 2006) and ultimately blipped-CAIPI EPI (Setsompop et al., 2012) that is now the standard way in which to perform SMS-EPI. Figure 7 shows examples of the gradient schemes in blipped-CAIPI EPI, and explains by reference to the k-space representation why the identical EPI readouts and reconstruction can be used in SMS-EPI as well as 3D-CAIPI-EPI encoding (Narsude et al., 2016; Zahneisen et al., 2015).
With regards to reconstruction, SMS-EPI can be reconstructed using variants of any of the common Cartesian SENSE (Larkman et al., 2001; Zahnseisen et al., 2015), GRAPPA (Setsompop et al., 2012), or SENSE/GRAPPA hybrid approaches (Blaimer et al., 2006; Koopmans, 2016; Moeller et al., 2010). A small overview of the different options can be found in the review by (Barth et al., 2015). Today however, the by far most commonly used SMS reconstruction is slice-GRAPPA (Setsompop et al., 2012), which uses a multi-kernel approach to fit a separate GRAPPA kernel for each slice to be unfolded. This has the inherent advantage of being more robust to motion contamination, and, unlike the other reconstruction approaches, readily allows for the incorporation of a penalty term to suppress slice-leakage, i.e. residual aliasing/ghosting between slices (Cauley et al., 2013).

In practice, it depends strongly on the type of sequence to what extent the undersampling factor translates literally, into a temporal acceleration factor. In a volumetric 3D gradient echo sequence that samples one line of k-space per TR, the speedup factor is equal to the total undersampling no matter what the sampling pattern. In a 2D EPI sequence, in-plane undersampling shortens the EPI readout, which reduces geometric distortions and/or enables a larger matrix size to be acquired at a given echo time; the undersampling does not however result in appreciable temporal acceleration, as the TR remains largely dependent on the TE required for the BOLD signal to develop, or the large duration taken up by the diffusion preparation in the case of dMRI. As such, standard PI has been of little value in reducing the TR of 2D EPI. This is fundamentally different in 3D and SMS echo-planar acquisitions where the undersampling can be applied along an orthogonal phase-encoding direction, allowing entire sampling blocks (excitation, any preparation and EPI readout) to be skipped and which results in temporal acceleration equal to the nominal undersampling factor along that second phase encoding dimension. This has huge implications for the achievable TR reductions and has been exploited in both volumetric 3D-EPI and more recently in SMS-EPI. The ability to speed up 2D single-shot EPI by an order of magnitude or more, has within only a couple of years resulted in the technique becoming a widely accepted new standard, and this includes its application in large populations studies such as the Human Connectome Project or ABCD Study in the US, or the UK Biobank and Rhineland studies in Europe.

Next to the self-evident advantage of shorter TR, there are two important characteristics that make 2D SMS-EPI the powerful technique that it is. First, it retains the benefits of a single-shot acquisition. For example, this enables application to in vivo diffusion-weighted imaging without the need for time-consuming navigators, as for instance required in 3D slab-selective DWI. In BOLD fMRI, physiological noise and motion is effectively “frozen out” rather than resulting in blurring as it may conceivably do in 3D acquisitions, and if sampled at an adequately high rate it can be removed in subsequent processing. In arterial spin labelling, SMS-EPI increases the volume that can be covered within the limited available sampling window, while the single-shot nature maintains well-defined timing at each slice location and allows arterial transit time to be determined.

The second important characteristic of SMS is that it provides SNR efficient sampling and even SNR advantage over regular 2D imaging. The slice acceleration in SMS is performed by simultaneously exciting multiple slices at different locations and as such a larger volume
of spins contributes to the subsequently received signal, while the sampling time (e.g. EPI readout duration) is the same as in a single-slice acquisition. Slice acceleration does therefore not, unlike in-plane EPI acceleration, require the omission of k-space samples and there is hence no \( \sqrt{R_{\text{ms}}} \) SNR penalty. In fact, there is typically a healthy net gain in SNR per unit time from SMS acquisition, since many more imaging volumes can now be acquired per unit time, which for example allow better diffusion fitting in dMRI through acquiring data at more diffusion encoded directions, or to provide more temporal data points and improve the accuracy and specificity of fMRI analysis. However, it is important to note that this SNR benefit does get tapered by the SNR loss from the g-factor penalty and the reduced signal recovery of shorter TR. Nonetheless, these losses can be kept in check by selecting an acquisition protocol that does not push the slice acceleration to the limit.

In general there has been a trend in the move away from slice-by-slice 2D imaging towards volumetric imaging with SMS and 3D acquisitions. The main benefits of volumetric imaging lie in the ability to achieve much higher accelerations through better utilization of coil sensitivity across more spatial dimensions with CAIPI, and in the large increase in SNR efficiency afforded from better signal averaging. In particular, 3D acquisitions can lead to an even higher SNR boost when compared to SMS acquisitions, since with every excitation and acquisition window data is now collected from all voxels in the imaging volume.

Recent development in CAIPI through the addition of the wave-CAIPI method has enabled even higher accelerations in SMS and 3D acquisitions, allowing up to 15 fold acceleration with small g-factor noise penalty (Bilgic et al., 2015). Wave-CAIPI achieved this by extending the distribution of voxel aliasing of 2D-CAIPI from the phase encode and slice (or partition) directions to the read-out direction, to allow for a further increase in the distance between the aliasing voxels. This is realized by combining the k-space undersampling pattern of 2D-CAIPI with a modified version of bunched phase encoding/zigzag sampling (Breuer et al., 2008; Moriguchi and Duerk, 2006) to play out sinusoidal (wave) gy and gz gradients during the data readout. Here, the sinusoidal wave gradients create a corkscrew k-space trajectory, which acts to spread the imaging voxels along the readout direction. This is illustrated in Figure 8. It is interesting to note that a precursor to wave-CAIPI was actually proposed nearly decade ago in the thesis by (Breuer, 2008) through a simulation demonstrating that 2D-CAIPI with additional alternating \( \Delta k_y \) and \( \Delta k_z \) shifts during the readout can provide markedly improved PI performance.

The key steps forward in the recent wave-CAIPI development have been in an efficient parallel imaging reconstruction strategy for acquisitions with continuous and rapid varying wave gradients, and in an automatic gradient calibration method that can estimate gradient trajectory errors from system imperfection on the fly (Cauley et al., 2016). These developments have allowed robust, high quality wave-CAIPI imaging to be performed at an order of magnitude undersampling for 3D and SMS imaging sequences of MPRAGE, SWI and T2-TSE as shown in Figure 9. Here, the T2-TSE acquisition at SMS acceleration of 15 is achieved with low SAR through the use of MultiPINS refocusing pulses (Eichner et al., 2014b). It is expected that the high SNR and improved acceleration capability at UHF will allow imaging at even higher accelerations and resolutions than what is shown in Figure 8 for 3T acquisitions. We note that wave-CAIPI is ideally suited for low bandwidth.
acquisitions (e.g. 100–500Hz) typically used in structural imaging, since the slow kx transversal in such cases allows large amount of wave encoding gradients to be played out. Nonetheless, it is expected that wave-CAIPI could still play an important role in improving the encoding efficiency of SMS-EPI and 3D-EPI acquisitions, in particular when using gradient systems with high slew rates, such as a head insert gradients.

**Key practical considerations**

As a general rule of thumb, the key criteria in selecting whether to use SMS or 3D acquisition lies in the application and contrast mechanism being used. Another consideration is the ability to tolerate or mitigate physiological noise and motion, which may be more pronounced in 3D acquisitions where more data are averaged together. On the other hand 3D encoding offers better-defined slice profile especially at high resolution, and the volume excitation makes it largely insensitive to motion-induced spin history effects. For contrast mechanisms that require the use of long TRs, SMS acquisition is likely to be a good choice given that encoding of the other slices can be performed while waiting for the long TR, which allows for faster imaging. Examples here are SMS diffusion-weighted EPI or TSE structural imaging. On the other hand, if short TRs can be used and there is ability to tolerate or mitigate physiological noise and motion, then 3D imaging could prove a better choice that can provide higher SNR benefits. Prime examples of such cases are T2*-weighted scans for QSM or SWI, but also magnetization-prepared T1 weighted scans (MP-RAGE, MP2RAGE) or magnetization-contrast enhanced scans.

In choosing an appropriate acceleration factor for a given acquisition, the reconstruction quality depends largely on the “total acceleration factor” ($R_{\text{total}} = R_{\text{inplane}} \times R_{\text{slice}}$); with higher $R_{\text{total}}$ resulting in reduced reconstruction quality. Therefore, a tradeoff will need to be made between the amount of in-plane acceleration to mitigate image distortion and the amount of $R_{\text{slice}}$ (slice acceleration in SMS-EPI, or partition acceleration in 3D-EPI), which provides faster imaging. For a 32-channel whole-brain coil array at 7T, total acceleration with CAIPI of 10–12x is a good target for fMRI where thermal noise dominates and some g-factor noise is acceptable, while lower accelerations of 4–8x is good for SNR starved applications below approximately 1.5mm isotropic. Note that the image quality and SNR typically experience a gradual decline with increasing acceleration, which is then followed by a rapid decline after reaching a total acceleration factor that is close to the available sensitivity encoding degree of freedom of the coil; for large channel count arrays this limit becomes increasingly independent of the exact coil geometry once a certain number of elements has been reached (Ohliger et al., 2003; Wiesinger et al., 2006). Note that the suggested acceleration factors given here are for whole-brain acquisition cases, and for SMS acquisition only applies for cases where at least a factor of 2–3x slice acceleration is used to allow a large enough volumetric FoV coverage per acquisition to enable good controlled aliasing. For partial brain applications with smaller FoV, the distance between the aliased voxels will be smaller at the same acceleration factor, leading to a harder, more ill-conditioned reconstruction. Therefore, the total acceleration factor used should be scaled down accordingly. As a consequence, the much greater ability to accelerate acquisitions with large volume coverage diminishes the sampling time advantage of targeted small volume acquisitions that cannot achieve as high an acceleration factor.
For coil arrays with uniformly distributed coil elements (e.g. soccer ball geometry), the CAIPI shift factor/pattern should be chosen to help distribute the aliasing voxel uniformly across the imaging volume to maximize the distance between aliasing voxels. For other coil array designs, a CAIPI pattern that helps distribute the aliasing voxel more heavily along the direction(s) where there is (are) more coil sensitivity encoding information should be used. In most cases, a near-optimal choice of sampling pattern can be made by intuition or evaluation of the most suitable candidates, but full evaluation for the case of SMS-EPI by simulation has also been described (Xu et al., 2013).

Subject motion can have a detrimental effect on accelerated acquisitions. Motion during reference data acquisition can lead to poor unaliasing performance for the whole time-series dataset. The use of motion-robust training data acquisition in GRAPPA based reconstructions, with e.g. FLEET or FLASH scan, can help mitigate such issues as has been shown for both 2D (Baron and Beaulieu, 2016; Polimeni et al., 2016; Talagala et al., 2013) and 3D-EPI (Ivanov et al., 2015). Motion during the time-series acquisition can also affect unaliasing performance since the underlying coil sensitivity information used for voxel unaliasing will now differ in the training and in the acquisition datasets. In particular, this issue can lead to large unaliasing artifacts when the eye regions with high signal intensity happens to be right at the edge of the imaging FoV, causing it to enter and exit the imaging FoV intermittently with small motions. Therefore, when possible, it is good practice to select the imaging FoV such that the eyes regions are either fully included or excluded from the FoV, with some spatial margins to allow for possible motions.

The use of high slice acceleration factor in SMS acquisitions can lead to RF pulses with high peak voltage. VERSE (Conolly et al., 1988) is typically used to mitigate this issue (at a cost of some distortion in the slice profile), to keep peak voltage to within the system hardware limit. However, for a large subject/patient, higher voltage could be needed and if the RF pulse was not designed properly to account for this (i.e. not VERSE-ed enough), RF clipping can occur, causing truncation of the RF pulse shape, which in turn can result in poor slice selection and image quality. In practice these restrictions are almost inevitably encountered in case of high-flip angle applications like dMRI which relies on 180 degree pulses, in practice limiting dMRI at 7T to an SMS factor of 2 or maximally 3 (Eichner et al., 2014a; Vu et al., 2015). For BOLD fMRI with GE-EPI this is fortunately not always as limiting, because the much shorter TR that results from high SMS factors requires lower flip angles and hence peak voltage limitations do not apply as quickly as for high-flip angle scans. The BOLD experiment is by its nature is more forgiving to the choice of smaller-than-optimal excitation flip angle. This is especially the case if the fMRI experiment is performed in the physiological noise dominated regime (Gonzalez-Castillo et al., 2011), however at UHF it is usually desired to acquire high-resolution data in the image noise dominated regime in which case an effort should be made to match the Ernst angle as closely as possible to maximize image and hence temporal SNR.

**Public availability of advanced sequences and reconstruction methods**

While the data shown in publications on novel sampling schemes is typically reconstructed offline (e.g. in Matlab), the availability of sequences and reconstruction implementations on
the major scanner platforms has rapidly increased in the past few years. SMS-EPI and volumetric CAIPIRINHA reconstruction is now offered commercially by the main vendors, and various application specific implementations are available as works-in-progress/collaborative packages, or patches from the vendors or research sites that offer their sequences to other labs. At time of writing, ‘public’ availability for Siemens platforms (as product, WIP, or C2P) includes SMS-EPI and 3D-EPI for BOLD fMRI, ASL, VASO and diffusion, as well as fast wave-CAIPI implementations of 3D GRE and 3D MPRAGE. Also Philips now have SMS product support for fMRI and diffusion sequences on their clinical systems and is extending the “MultiBand SENSE” framework to other 2D sequences and anatomies outside the brain, e.g. whole body diffusion as a works-in-progress package. Similarly, GE will be supporting the SMS feature (known as HyperBand on their platform) into its EPI based sequences for use in fMRI and diffusion applications. This list is rapidly growing. The speed at which new developments become available and the recently much greater active user interest in adapting new techniques is putting considerable but healthy pressure on the vendors to bring out high-quality commercial implementations.

**Tackling $B_1^+$ inhomogeneity and SAR**

$B_1^+$ inhomogeneity and SAR limit our ability to fully realize the capability of UHF MRI, particularly for imaging sequences which utilize large flip-angle refocusing and/or inversion pulses. $B_1^+$ inhomogeneity leads to non-uniformity in signal and more importantly contrast profiles, while SAR restrictions can demand inefficient acquisitions with large dead-times. These problems are long-standing and have been well recognized since the early days of UHF developments. Attractive mitigation solutions based on parallel transmission (pTx) have in fact been developed and demonstrated for nearly a decade now, but have been slow to gain traction due to widespread lack of user friendly scanner integrations. The main issue has been in a cumbersome workflow which requires lengthy $B_1$ and $B_0$ mapping, RF pulse calculation and the use of careful on-the fly SAR management based on robust and safe, yet practical approaches to global and local SAR calculations. As none of the major vendors have yet provided a sufficiently useful set of tools for the design of pulses, let alone their integration into sequences, considerable engineering burden was thus left on the shoulders of researchers working on pTX. These issues have consequently held back pTx from being used in neuroscientific and clinical studies. Nonetheless, some important progress has been made to mitigate these practical obstacles, by devising more integrated workflows for $B_1^+$ shimming and pulse designs. $B_1^+$ mitigating pTx pulses are now starting to be demonstrated and used more often in imaging sequences such as MPRAGE and TSE, where clear benefits can be observed. For 3D imaging, good $B_1^+$ mitigation can be achieved using short durations (2–3ms) ‘$k_T$-points’ (Cloos et al., 2012) and spiral nonselective (‘SPINS’) (Malik et al., 2012) pulses. For 2D imaging, the ‘Spokes’ RF pulse (Saekho et al., 2006; Setsompop et al., 2008) has been widely used to simultaneously perform slice selection and in-plane $B_1^+$ mitigation, and the extension to SMS has recently been demonstrated by different groups (Gras et al., 2016b; Poser et al., 2014; Sbrizzi et al., 2015; Tse et al., 2016a; Wu et al., 2013). The Spoke design is however too lengthy for many applications and requires undesirable trade-offs between $B_1^+$ mitigation, $B_0$ robustness, slice profile and SAR. Adding to this issue is the high SAR of SMS pulses in general which is becoming more and more
problematic with the recent push towards higher slice accelerations achieved through new CAIPI developments, particularly at UHF.

Of particular note in the context of SAR efficient SMS excitation are the aforementioned PINS (Norris et al., 2011) and multi-PINS pulses (Eichner et al., 2014b). The pulses result in a comb of slices being excited, and most importantly the required RF power is essentially independent of the number of effectively excited slices. This unique property makes the pulses particularly attractive for application in SMS spin-echo sequences such as the TSE examples shown in Fig. 5, and the wave-CAIPI accelerated TSE in Fig. 9 with up to factor-15 slice acceleration that would be impossible to achieve with regular multi-band excitations. The gradient modulation during the pulses however results in somewhat longer pulses and hence reduced time-bandwidth product, making sharp slice profiles harder to achieve than for instance with regular sinc pulses. PINS pulses do not readily lend themselves to slice-by-slice $B_1^+$ mitigation as for instance in SMS-spokes, since all slices in PINS are being excited by a single-band pulse. Efforts in this directions have nevertheless been made with $k_T$-PINS (Sharma et al., 2013), but the resulting pulses are impractically long for most applications. Therefore, $B_1^+$ mitigation is in many ways still an uncracked problem that needs further research and innovation.

To this end, the 2016 ISMRM RF pulse design challenge (Grisom et al., 2016) was organized as a response to these issues, to i) find a better design for large-flip-angle, high slice-acceleration SMS pulses and ii) to develop better pTx pulses for $B_1^+$ mitigated slice(s) selective excitation. The winning design for the large-flip-angle SMS pulse challenge (by rfcontrol team; Armin Rund, Christoph Aigner, and Christian Clason) was a new time-optimal control multiband pulse that achieve 5.1x shorter pulse duration than conventional approach (while keeping to within the SAR constraints), while the winning design for the pTx challenge (by StanfordUHF team; Mihir Pendse) was a new spokes pulse that combines VERSE with efficient SAR enforcing method to achieve 10.6x shorter pulse duration. These exciting developments should provide more head room for further accelerations and refinements of data acquisition at UHF.

After years spent on highly complex and typically slow pulse designs to squeeze the last bit of possible flip-angle homogenization, the pTx community has reached a turning point and recognizes that more practical solutions are required to bring pTx into routine application by lay users. One prime example is the recent concept of “universal pulses” (Gras et al., 2016a) which can be applied “blindly” to any typical brain without the need for subject-specific $B_1^+$ and $B_0$ mapping and pulse design, and yet achieve most of the possible improvement that a full subject-specific design would offer. This has been shown for the case of $k_T$-points but can in principle be applied to other pulses as well. Other pragmatic approaches include slice-by-slice 2D spokes pulse design in which, recognizing the slow spatial variation of $B_1^+$, a group of neighboring slices shares the same pulse to save computation time (Poser and Tse, 2015; Wu et al., 2016).

Figure 10 shows illustrative examples of the improvements that pTx can bring to both SMS and volumetric acquisitions, shown here for the cases of SMS-EPI and 3D-EPI.
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Smart encoding in more dimensions

The use of SNR-efficient volumetric acquisition via SMS and full 3D imaging along with the use of CAIPI to provide high parallel imaging accelerations have pushed the spatiotemporal resolution of UHF MRI to a level that would have seemed out of reach just a few years ago. A direction that can enable higher accelerations still, is in the incorporation of volume selective acquisition. In particular, the ZOOPPA approach (Heidemann et al., 2012) which combines zoomed imaging (via outer volume suppression) with parallel imaging has been shown to provide impressive accelerations, with the total acceleration \( R_{\text{total}} = R_{\text{zoom}} \times R_{\text{inplane}} \times R_{\text{slice}} \). Such approach has also been modified to allow whole-brain coverage with reduced FoV_{PE}, (sag-ZOOPPA, to reduce distortion for EPI type acquisitions), which is achieved through sagittal scanning with saturation applied to the neck region and phase encoding performed in the head-foot direction. Moreover, the gradient-and-spin-echo (GRASE) sequences (Oshio and Feinberg, 1991) also provides an ability to utilize Inner Volume Imaging (IVI), to zoom the acquisition in two directions in order to target a small brain region and provide fast 3D encoding of this region at high spatial resolution (Feinberg et al., 2008). Nonetheless, zoomed imaging via outer volume suppression acts only to decrease the ‘number’ of aliasing voxels for a given \( R_{\text{total}} \) acceleration, but such approach does not decrease the distance between the aliasing voxels. Therefore the g-factor noise reduction benefit can be somewhat limited. An alternative approach in volume selective acquisition, which can actually increase the distance between the aliasing voxels for a given \( R_{\text{total}} \), is the distributed volumes selection and acquisition (Finsterbusch, 2015; Mooiweer et al., 2016). Figure 11 shows an example where pTx is employed to provide 2D volume selective excitation, here in two apart brain regions (Poser et al., 2017). Another example of volume selective acquisition is in a modified 3D-GRASE, where the use of multiple intersecting RF slabs created by orthogonal MB slab-selective excitation pulses and orthogonal single-band refocusing pulses has enabled Simultaneous Multi-Volume imaging (Chen and Feinberg, 2013; Vu et al., 2013).

With these developments, the spatial encoding efficiency/acceleration that can be achieved in each imaging volume is likely to be close to the limit, and there is a need to look towards other degree of freedom in other dimensionality of encoding, to come up with the next large gain in acquisition speed. A promising direction of development has been in Magnetic Resonance Fingerprinting (MRF) (Ma et al., 2013), which has been built as a smart encoding approach for rapid multi-parameter mapping. Here the acquisition is designed to achieve incoherent aliasing in the space, time and contrast dimensions through the use of pseudo-random TR and flip-angle trains and variable density spiral acquisition. Such approach has enabled rapid mapping of multiple quantitative parameters (i.e. T_1, T_2, proton density and B_0) in a time frame of 10s/slice, which is much faster than previously proposed methods. Recent developments in SMS techniques for MRF (SMS-MRF) have also helped further increase MRF acquisition efficiency by another 3–4 fold (Cloos et al., 2016b; Jiang et al., 2016; Ye et al., 2016, 2015). Moreover, the MRF framework has also been extended to create a powerful new idea in exploiting B_0 inhomogeneity as an extra degree of freedom for data encoding rather than viewing it as a source of artifacts (Cloos et al., 2016a, 2014). Here, the inhomogeneous RF excitation modes of a multichannel transmit coil are
interweavingly used during MRF acquisition to enable accurate quantitative parameter mapping in the present of wildly inhomogeneous $B_1^+$ fields. Such an approach could be an ideal way in fully harnessing the high SNR and the inhomogeneous $B_1^+$ field at UHF to efficiently map quantitative MR parameters at a high spatial resolution.

Another active area of research has been in the development of smarter spatial-temporal encoding and reconstruction methods. In particular, exciting work is being performed in estimating and enforcing temporal low rank subspace for a number of MR acquisitions. Examples of some of these recent works include: i) $T_2$ shuffling method for 3D-FSE which fixes the image blurring of FSE while providing full images at multiple TEs from a single efficient acquisition (Tamir et al., 2016), ii) SPICE acquisition that utilizes subspace modeling to boost the speed and SNR of MRSI (Lam et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2016), and iii) $k$-$t$ FASTER approach which make use of low rank truncation to accelerate fMRI acquisitions (Chiew et al., 2016, 2015). A common and important theme in all these techniques in achieving good performance has been in the careful design of both the acquisition and reconstruction that can fully exploit the low rank modeling that is being used. Just as CAIPI fully exploits additional spatial encoding dimensions in parallel imaging to provide large multiplicative gains in accelerations, the complimentary use of these low rank approaches along time/contrast dimensions should provide exciting opportunities for further large gains in encoding efficiency. In combining these emerging acquisition approaches with the SNR/CNR benefits of UHF, we should be able to achieve very high quality, high spatiotemporal resolution imaging that could aid in propelling the MRI field forward.

Summary, Outlook

The rapidly increasing availability of UHF scanners has created the opportunity and desire to perform acquisitions at spatial resolutions that are not feasible at clinical field strengths and may have been thought impossible only a few years ago. In particular, the gains in SNR and CNR brought about by UHF are typically translated (at least partially) into smaller voxel sizes and hence larger acquisition matrices, which creates a huge encoding and reconstruction challenge. The skyrocketing increase in measurement time that would be required to perform the encoding of large matrices with standard techniques, would more often than not render the endeavor impractical for in vivo application: unacceptably long TR in fMRI, or unacceptably long scan time for structural imaging like multi-directional QSM. There is hence great demand for new smart acquisition strategies that more fully exploit the encoding power offered by the modern day high-channel-count RF receive coils. New directions that evolved at breathtaking pace during the past few years are the highly accelerated SMS and 3D acquisitions with controlled aliasing which have enabled large speed-up factors without excessive g-noise penalties. This technology has now matured to a degree that researchers can perform a (whole-brain) MRI acquisition at factor 12 undersampling without too much hesitation. In parallel, other developments are being worked on on the hardware side, including massively parallel receive hardware, improved gradient coils or new strategies for local or dynamic $B_0$ shimming. In addition, after years of being regarded as a ‘promising technique’ but too clunky for real use, parallel RF transmission is now making its entry into first routine applications, enabling more of the...
UHF potentials to be harnessed but also potentially play an increasing role in the encoding itself, as well. MRI sampling strategies that continue to combine elements of the various advances in new ways, are expected to enable further accelerations well beyond what is achievable now. In particular we need to be prepared for other paradigm shifts, eg. the acceptance of MR finger-printing or new encoding strategies that, exploit the temporal/multi-contrast signal sparsity.
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FIGURE 1.
Left: Resting state data acquired with blipped-CAIPI SMS-EPI at MB-12 slice acceleration on the 3T Connectom system using a custom 64 channel head array coil. The high slice acceleration allows whole-brain coverage at 2.5mm spatial resolution in only 350ms, fast enough to resolve cardiac and respiratory components in ICA analysis (B), to enable the removal of these ‘physiological noise’ and improve rs-fMRI analysis. Right: Turning up total acceleration a notch to $R_{\text{total}}=15$ at 7T, isotropic 1.5mm images of the whole brain can be acquired in 1.2s. Here the in-plane acceleration of 3 is used to reduce $B_0$ image distortion and $T_2^*$ blurring while the MB acceleration of 5 is used to achieve fast imaging. The 7T data were acquired on a 32-channel head array built in-house at MGH on a scanner with SC72 whole-body gradients (70mT/m, 200mT/m/ms).
FIGURE 2.
Top: GE-EPI images acquired on the same subject using the HCP protocols at 3T and 7T at 2 mm and 1.6 mm isotropic respectively. Also shown are the corresponding subject’s seed based connectivity map in volumetric MNI space with a seed voxel placed in the primary visual cortex. Even with a voxel size that is ~2 times smaller, the 7T data reveals similar if not stronger correlation than the 3T data. Furthermore, with reduced partial voluming, the 7T data reveals broader connectivity maps, which extends into sulcal regions where the cortex is relatively thin. Bottom: The number of resting state signal components as a function of field strength and resolution across two subjects. With the same voxel resolution of 2 mm, there is a significant increase in the number of signal components at 7T, likely as a result of the increased SNR and CNR of UHF. As the voxel size decreases, higher resolution and specificity is gained at a cost of reduced detectability due to decreases in temporal resolution and SNR, particularly when more in-plane acceleration (IPAT) is used to mitigate image distortion and blurring. Figure adapted from (Vu et al., 2016).
FIGURE 3.
VASO results with increasing spatial resolutions. The top panel depicts blood volume sensitive VASO signal stability across a wide range of resolutions. In the thermal noise dominated regime of submillimeter voxels, 3D-EPI readouts provide superior tSNR compared to a 2D-SMS readout. The right bottom panel depicts representative functional activity maps at those submillimeter resolutions in one representative participant for VASO and the simultaneously acquired GE-BOLD. The activation shown is in the left primary motor cortex and was obtained by a 12 min right-hand finger tapping task. Note how the higher specificity of VASO allows better separation of the two cortical ribbons of the central sulcus compared GE-BOLD, which is more sensitive unwanted large pial veins (green arrow). Pooling the fMRI signal across cortical depth reveals indications of increased activity in two separate cortical layers (highlighted red and green areas in bottom right panel). For more information about the acquisition parameters of the depicted data, please see (Huber et al, 2016b).
FIGURE 4.
Left: Example of high-resolution 0.5mm isotropic QSM with wave-CAIPI GRE at 15 fold acceleration, resulting in a scan time of less than 6 minutes. Data were acquired at three head positions with low distortion using the wave-CAIPI GRE sequence and combined to allow high quality susceptibility reconstruction with the COSMOS model (Bilgic et al., 2016). Right: feasibility of ultra-fast QSM with 3D-EPI. At 1mm isotropic resolution, each average only takes 10 seconds to acquire. Robust susceptibility estimates are already achieved with a single average and barely improve with increased averaging. NB, the scan times indicated below the images include the 40sec GRAPPA reference scan. For the more SNR-starved 0.75mm acquisitions some degree of averaging is required. A main challenge for EPI based QSM is tackling the geometric distortions, which can be achieved by applying fieldmap- or PSF unwarping to the complex source image. The 3D-EPI data are taken from (Poser et al., 2015).
FIGURE 5.
(A) The top row shows the first SMS-TSE images obtained at 7T, using PINS pulses for excitation and refocusing to simultaneously acquire 6 slices while keeping SAR levels low; reproduced from (Norris et al., 2011). Shown below are conventional TSE images acquired for reference. The difference in contrast is attributed to magnetization transfer effects that contribute more strongly to the conventional TSE data. Also shown to the left, are the respective aliased images prior to reconstruction, illustrating the use of FoV/3 CAIPI shift between slices. (B) Axial SMS-TSE images acquired at 7T, with SMS factor 8. A regular multi-band pulse is used for excitation to enable axial slice orientation in conjunction with the use of bandwidth-matched PINS pulses for the refocusing. Whole-brain coverage with 80 slices of 2mm is achieved in only 1min 53sec (TurboFactor=8, TR=3.3s, TE=68ms). The figure shows every 5th slice from slice 10 to slice 70. (unpublished data, personal communication with David Norris, Jenni Schulz, Lauren Bains and José Marques at the Donders Institute, Nijmegen, NL).
FIGURE 6.
High SNR and CNR TOF-MRA data at 7T. Partial MIP (maximum intensity projection) of 300 μm isotropic whole-brain TOF-MRA is shown, where detailed vasculatures can be observed without a need for contrast injection (unpublished data, personal communication with Jonathan Polimeni, Athinoula A. Martinos center, Massachusetts General Hospital, USA).
FIGURE 7.
Left: EPI sequence diagrams for SMS-EPI and 3D-EPI with blipped-CAIPI, adapted from (Narsude et al., 2016). (a) shows the basic EPI sequence, which in the 2D case has a multiband or PINS excitation pulse (in green box), slice-select rewinder (in red box) and a readout without CAIPI (black dashed box); in the 3D case the RF pulse is simply replaced by a slab-selective or non-selective pulse, and the \( k_z \) encoding gradient replaces the slice-rewinder. Importantly, the EPI readout itself, is exactly the same for a 2D or 3D acquisition with the same geometry and CAIPI shift. For the example of factor-2 in-plane and factor-5 through-plane acceleration, panels (b), (c) and (d) show the EPI readout with no CAIPI shift, \( \Delta k_z = 1 \) and \( \Delta k_z = 2 \), respectively. The shifts are produced by \( k_z \) blips with periodic rewinding on the \( G_z \) axis as described by (Setsompop et al., 2012). The resulting k-space patterns are shown to the right. Each call of the EPI readout thus yields \( R_y = 5 \) sampling points along \( k_z \): this corresponds to the 5 SMS slices, or 5 \( k_z \) planes of the larger 3D k-space. This SMS vs. 3D equivalence is more intuitively illustrated in the top right, here for the case of \( 2 \times 4 \) accelerated SMS and 3D-EPI with \( \Delta k_z = 2 \) shift. The 4 gray-shaded planes correspond to one SMS group with four slices, and the 3D k-space is simply built up concatenating multiple replica of the shaded area, each with different \( k_z \) encoding increments. Recognizing this symmetry, it is not surprising that the exact same SENSE reconstruction can be performed on both types of acquisition, using the same sensitivity maps and with the same g-factor, as demonstrated by (Zahneisen et al., 2015); the figures to the right are taken from that paper.
FIGURE 8.
The top row shows the increase in the distance between aliasing voxels afforded by 2D-CAIPI in SMS and 3D imaging over standard 2D acquisition (yellow boxes are the aliasing voxels and green lines are the distances between aliasing voxels). This is achieved by the staggered undersampling pattern along ky and kz phase and partition encodings (top right sub-figure) which helps better distribute the aliasing voxels in the y–z plane across the larger FoV of SMS and 3D imaging.

The bottom row shows how wave-CAIPI can help achieve a higher degree of controlled aliasing to allow for even higher accelerations. Wave-CAIPI combines staggered undersampling of 2D-CAIPI with additional sinusoidal ‘wave’ Gy and Gz gradients, played out during the kx readout to create a corkscrew trajectory as data is acquired along kx (bottom right sub-figure). With wave-CAIPI, the 2D CAIPI creates slice shifts in image space (change distribution of aliasing voxel along z and y plane as before), while the Wave Gy and Gz cause y and z position depending voxel spreading effect along the x direction (to help push the aliasing voxel around and also along x). Overall this creates a controlled aliasing effect along all three spatial dimensions to allow for better use of available coil sensitivity information in all axes, in addition to the inherent acceleration benefit by more efficient sampling through k-space.
FIGURE 9.
With Wave-CAIPI, an order of magnitude acceleration can be achieved with high quality and low g-factor noise amplification. This has enabled rapid structural imaging scans of the brain at 3T with clinically available 32-channel coil arrays. Shown are high resolution whole brain imaging of MPRAGE, T2-TSE and SWI, each acquired in just over a minute of scanning. It is expected that the higher acceleration capability and the higher SNR of UHF will enable even better quality imaging, at higher resolution and faster imaging time.
FIGURE 10.
Use of parallel transmission to effectively mitigate signal and contrast inhomogeneity in fast SMS-EPI and 3D-EPI imaging. Typically, the temporal lobes and cerebellum are most strongly affected, evidenced by the much reduced signal-intensity in those regions indicated in red. Top: Regular 7T SMS-EPI acquisition with 1.5mm isotropic voxels in CP-mode, and SMS-pTX EPI with slice-by-slice $B_1^+$ optimization using 2-spokes pulses as described in (Tse et al., 2016a). To speed up pulse design and given the slow spatial variation of $B_1^+$, neighboring slices in groups of 6 shared the same pulse, and time-efficient bipolar excitations were enabled by gradient-delay and phase correction as shown in (Tse et al., 2016b). In this example, 72 slices were acquired with an SMS factor of 2 and in-plane GRAPPA 2, on a 8Tx/32Rx coil. Bottom: 3D-EPI images with 0.75mm isotropic voxels acquired at 9.4T, using non-selective CP-mode, and $k_T$-points excitations. The short 2ms $k_T$-points pulse with 8 subpulses effectively restores signal and contrast throughout the brain. Imaging matrix was 256×256×244 with 3×2 GRAPPA acceleration, on a 16Tx/31Rx coil. The 3D-EPI images are taken from (Poser et al., 2015).
FIGURE 11.
Going beyond controlled aliasing and pTx for inhomogeneity correction: Dual-region selective spiral pTX excitation for simultaneous reduced FoV acquisition of motor cortex and cerebellum at 7T using 3D-EPI. Excluding regions that are of no interest may reduce physiological noise (e.g. from eyes or ventricles), lowers the reconstruction burden and reduces g-factor, allowing the nominal FoV to be highly undersampled, here by a factor of 3×4 to yield 1500ms TR for 1mm isotropic resolution. (A) shows 3D-EPI images with whole-brain and selective pTX excitation, measured flip angle map in CP mode and the predicted map for the selective excitation are shown to the right. The difference in image contrast and intensity between the two acquisitions is attributable to the requested flip angles being achieved the target regions in case of the pTX but not the regular CP mode excitation. (B) tSNR maps of the two acquisitions, showing the expected tSNR benefit of the sparse excitation as described in (Mooiweer et al., 2016). Panel (C): As an example use case, digit
mapping was performed by individual finger tapping to illicit activation in M1, S1 and cerebellum. Maps of digit dominance are shown overlaid on the native data, along with their corresponding event-related BOLD response. Images are taken from (Poser et al., 2017).