Health and Well-being in Midlife Parents of Children with Special Health Needs

Amy M. Smith and Joseph G. Grzywacz
Department of Human Development and Family Science, Oklahoma State University

Abstract

Introduction—The objectives of this study were to delineate variation in mental and physical health outcomes over a 10-year period among parents with a child with special health needs as compared to parents of a typically developing child; and evaluate the possible protective effects of parental perceived control and social support.

Method—The sample consisted of 646 parents from the longitudinal Midlife Development in the United States (MIDUS) study. Nearly one-quarter of the sample (n=128; 22.3%) reported having a child with a special health care need.

Results—Cross-sectional analyses indicated that parents of a child with special care needs reported poorer self-rated mental health, greater depressive symptoms, and more restrictions in instrumental activities of daily living (IADL). Parents of a child with special health care needs had greater increases in depressive symptoms over time and greater declines in instrumental activities of daily living than parents of typically developing children. Perceived control was a robust predictor of all health outcomes over time.

Discussion—The added stressors of parenting a child with special health needs may undermine the long-term health of parents. Behavioral interventions and clinical practices that facilitate parental perceived control may enable resilience and better health.
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Parenting a child with a special health need can pose stressors beyond those experienced raising typically developing children (Baxter, Cummins, & Yiolitis, 2000; Butcher, Wind, & Bouma, 2008; DeLambo, Chuang & Huang, 2010; Dellve, Samuelsson, Tallborn & Fasth, 2006; Gerstein, Cnin, Blacher, & Baker, 2009; Glenn, Cunningham, Poole, Reeves, & Weindling, 2008; Herring et al., 2006). Caring for a child with a special health need may increase stress levels because of elevated medical expenses, time demands, physical care, and worry about the child’s future (Brehaut, Garner, Miller, Lach, Klassen, Rosenbaum & Kohen, 2011; Weiss, 2002). Further, evidence suggests that increased exposure to stressors
can pose serious health threats and potentially increase the risk of poor health outcomes for parents (Hung, Wu, Chiang, Wu, & Yeh, 2010; Thurston, Paul, Loney, Ye, Wong, & Browne, 2011; Witt, Gottlieb, Hampton, & Litzelman, 2009).

Researchers have studied the mental health, especially depressive symptoms, of parents with a child with special health needs. Researchers have posited that the elevated exposure to stressors that may accompany parenting a child with special health needs lead to elevated rates of depressive symptoms and depression in parents (Bailey et al., 2007; Dellve et al., 2006; Hastings, 2003; Lovelle, Moss, & Wetherell, 2012). Several studies found that diagnosed depression and depressive symptoms were higher for mothers (Eisenhower, Baker, Blacher, 2009; Brehaut et al., 2009; Glidden & Schoolcraft, 2003; Olsson & Hwang, 2001; Resch, Elliot, & Benz, 2012; Sawyer et al., 2010) and fathers (Ha, 2008; Hastings, 2003) with a child with special health needs. Sawyer et al. (2010) found significantly higher rates of depression for mothers of children with autism than for mothers of typically developing children. Glidden and Schoolcraft (2003) also found initial high levels of depressive symptoms for birth parents of children with an intellectual disability; however, symptomatology attenuated over time suggesting the mental health implications of parenting a special health needs child may be temporary and subject to adaptation.

Substantial cross-sectional evidence suggests parenting a child with special health needs may undermine parents’ physical health outcomes (Allik, Larson, & Smedje, 2006; Brehaut, et al., 2004; Brehaut et al., 2009; Burton, Lethbridge, & Phipps, 2008; Eisenhower, Baker, & Blacher, 2008; Feldman et al., 2007). Witt (2009) reported the prevalence of activity limitations as being twice as high among parents of children with disabilities (23.1% vs. 11.9%). Other cross-sectional studies found higher levels of physical problems, including back pain and functional limitations, for mothers of children with cerebral palsy than mothers of typically developing children (Brehaut et al., 2004; Kaya et al., 2010; Tong et al., 2002; Tong et al., 2003). One longitudinal study based on a clinical sample reported that parents of a young child with developmental delays had poorer self-rated general health than parents of comparably aged typically developing children (Eisenhower, Baker, & Blacher, 2008). Additional longitudinal, population based studies are needed to determine long-term physical health implications of parenting a child who has a special health care need.

Resilience theories suggest that perceived control and social support promote successful adaptation by individuals exposed to challenging circumstances (Walsh, 2012; Wright, Masten, & Narayan, 2013). Parents raising a child with a special health need often report insufficient supports and feelings of helplessness (Butcher, 2008; Florian & Findler, 2001). Parents of special health needs children can lose a sense of control as the healthcare system assumes greater responsibility for the child’s well-being (Bailey, Golden, Roberts, & Ford, 2007). A sense of control is important for minimizing stress experienced by parents of children with special needs (Butcher, Wind, & Bouma, 2008) and for protecting mental health outcomes (Weiss, 2002). Similarly, several cross-sectional studies using convenience samples report that social support is associated with better health outcomes for parents with a child with special health needs (Horton & Wallander, 2001; Gerstein et al., 2009; Keller & Honig, 2004; Sarason, Sarason, & Pierce, 1990; Thurston et al., 2011). Additional research demonstrates that social support lessens the experience of stress in parents with a child with
disabilities (Boyce, Behl, Mortensen, & Akers, 1991; Bristol, 1984; Weiss, 2002). Missing from the literature regarding families of children with special health care needs is evidence of the protective effects of perceived control and social support over long periods of time.

Guided by the risk and resilience framework, we study the long-term health implications of raising a child with special health needs. We propose that parenting a child with special health needs can be a risk factor for poor health outcomes, while social support and parental sense of control may promote resilience by exerting protective health effects for parents’ health and well-being. This study has two primary aims, it: 1) delineates variation between parents with a child with special health needs as compared with parents of a typically developing child in mental and physical health outcomes over a 10-year period; and 2) determines if selected resilience factors—parental perceived control and support— influence these trajectories of parental health outcomes.

**Method**

**Sample**

The data for this study is from the Midlife Development in the United States (MIDUS) survey. MIDUS was originally fielded in 1995–1996 to a nationally representative sample of 25 to 74 year-old, English-speaking adults. The response rate for the MIDUS baseline data collection was 60.8%. MIDUS respondents were re-contacted in 2004 (MIDUS 2). The longitudinal retention rate of the original random digit dial subsample with complete data was 69% (n= 3140). The analytic sample for this analysis (n= 646) consists of individuals from the original random digit dial subsample who had one or more children under age 18 at baseline, and who completed all MIDUS and MIDUS 2 survey data collection (see Table 1, for parent demographics).

**Measures**

**Child with special health need**—Participants were asked to indicate (yes= 1, no=0) if their child had a “chronic disease or disability” or “emotional problems” (yes= 1, no=0) within the past 12 months. Parents were classified as having a child with a special health need if they responded “yes” to either question. Participants who responded “no” to both questions were classified as not having a child with a special health condition (i.e., typically developing children).

**Parental physical health**—Self-rated physical health was measured by asking parents to rate their physical health on a 5-point scale, ranging from 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent). Limitations in instrumental activities of daily living (7 items) assessed the degree to which an individual’s health limited important daily activities (e.g., lifting or carrying groceries, climbing several flights of stairs, walking more than a mile). Response options ranged from 1 (a lot) to 4 (not at all). Responses were reverse scored and averaged such that higher scores reflected greater limitations in activities of daily living.

**Parental mental health**—Depressive symptoms were measured with the Composite International Diagnostic Interview Short Form, which is based on the DSM-III-R (Wang,
Berglund, & Kessler, 2000). *Self-rated mental health* asked parents to rate their mental and emotional health on a 5-point scale, ranging from 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent).

**Parental social support**—Three measures of social support were used. *Receive instrumental support from family/friends* was assessed with four items asking how many hours per month the respondent received unpaid assistance (i.e. childcare, help around the house) from family and friends. *Receive instrumental support from other sources* was assessed with four items asking participants to report the number of hours of unpaid assistance (i.e. childcare, transportation) obtained each month from other sources including government and church. Both instrumental support variables were determined by calculating the mean hours of support received, but were subsequently dichotomized (yes= 1, no=0) because of heavy right skew. *Receive emotional support* was assessed with six items asking the number of hours per month the respondent received emotional support (i.e. getting advice, or having someone listen to you) from family and friends (Grzywacz & Marks, 1999; Rossi, 2001; Rossi, 2004). This variable was created by calculating the mean hours received from each support source (i.e. spouse, children, parent, or in-law). Emotional support was then recoded into a dichotomous variable of high emotional support (yes= 1, no=0) with high emotional support being greater than 11 hours a month because of heavy left skew in reported hours emotional support was received.

**Parental perceived control**—*Perceived control* was assessed with 12 items measuring the extent to which an individual believed that she/he determined her/his circumstances and outcomes. Statements included those reflecting perceived mastery (e.g. “I can do just about anything I really set my mind to”) as well as perceived constraints (e.g. “There is really no way I can solve the problems I have”). Response options for the subscales ranged from 1 (strongly agree) to 7 (strongly disagree). Appropriate items were reverse scored and then averaged so that higher values indicated greater perceived control (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.85; Lachman & Weaver, 1998).

**Analytic Sequence**

Descriptive statistics and bivariate correlations were calculated to describe the sample, to determine the distributions of analytic variables, and to explore simple correlations. Ordinary least squares regression models were fit to examine differences in health outcomes among parents of children with special needs and those with typically developing children, and to study the independent associations of perceived control and social support with health. Regression models for each health outcome were fit with both cross-sectional and longitudinal data, and each model controlled for the effects of age, education level, marital status, and ethnicity. Cross-lagged regression models were fit to determine if long-term health outcomes differed based on whether an individual had a child with a special health care need. Gender differences were explored by adding multiplicative interaction terms to each regression equation.
Results

Nearly one-quarter of the sample (n=128; 22.3%) reported having a child with special health needs, whereas the remainder (n=445, 77.7%) reported that none of their children had either a “chronic disease or disability” or “an emotional problem”.

Mental Health

Results from the cross-sectional original MIDUS data indicated that parents with a child with special health needs have significantly lower ratings of overall self-rated mental health and significantly higher levels of depressive symptoms as compared to parents without a child with special health needs (Table 2). Higher levels of control were associated with better self-rated mental health and fewer depressive symptoms. Control was negatively related to depressive symptoms. Differences in the association between control and depressive symptoms were more pronounced for women than men, such that control was more strongly related to depressive symptoms for women versus men. There was no evidence that social support was associated with either mental health outcome in cross-sectional analyses.

Results from cross-lagged regression models using longitudinal data indicated no long-term differences in self-rated mental health between parents with and without a child with special health needs. However, parents with a child with special health needs at Time I predicted higher depressive symptoms 10 years later (Table 2). Greater control at baseline predicted better self-rated mental health over time and fewer rated depressive symptoms. The gender interaction found for control in the prediction of depressive symptoms in the cross-sectional analysis persisted over time. Specifically, control had a stronger, negative relationship to depressive symptoms for women than men. A high level of instrumental support from outside the family at Time 1 predicted better self-rated mental health across the 10-year period; otherwise, there was no evidence that social support affected either mental health outcome over time.

Physical Health

There was no evidence of differences in self-rated physical health among parents with and without a child with special health needs (Table 3). However, a second operational form of parental physical health–limitations in instrumental activities of daily living–was elevated among parents with a child with special health needs in both cross sectional and longitudinal analyses. In considering the resilience factors, control was significantly and negatively related to self-rated physical health and limitations in instrumental activities of daily living. Social support was not related to either physical health outcome. No gender interactions existed for parenting a child with special health needs or control and physical health outcomes.

Discussion

This analysis used a U.S. based, population-based sample of parents to examine how parenting a child with special health needs may affect the health and wellbeing of adults over a 10 year period. Additionally, parents’ sense of control and social support were
studied as potential protective factors. Results offer further evidence that children’s special health needs may undermine parents’ physical and mental health. Like previous research, (Brehaut et al., 2009; Feldman, McDonald, Serbin, Stack, Secco, & Yu, 2007; Resch, Elliot, & Benz, 2012) our cross-sectional results found significant differences in physical (limitations in activities of daily living) and mental health (e.g. self-rated mental health and depressive symptoms). Our results extend this previous research by finding physical and mental health consequences in a more general definition of child health problem in a U.S. population based sample.

Newer to the literature is our results suggesting that parenting a child with special health needs may have long-term health consequences for adults. Indeed, we found that being a parent with a child with special health needs was associated with greater restrictions in activities of daily living and greater depressive symptoms 10 years later. Importantly, these results held controlling for earlier observations of these health outcomes suggesting that parents of children with special health care needs have greater health declines than parents of typically developing children. Although previous longitudinal research reported greater health declines over time for parents with a child with special health needs (Brehaut et al., 2011; Burton, Lethbridge, & Phipps, 2008; Eisenhower, Baker, & Blacher, 2008; Herring et al., 2006), most of this research used comparatively short-term follow up periods, such as 1 to 2 years (Eisenhower, Baker, & Blacher, 2008; Herring et al., 2006). Our results indicating greater physical disability and depressive symptoms across a 10-year period offers reason for practical concern and policy attention. That is, in light of evidence indicating that the proportion of children with a special health care need has grown, our results suggest that the increasing number of parents caring for these children (National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs, 2010) will experience steeper health declines because of their child’s condition.

Despite these notable health declines, it is also noteworthy that one cross-sectional difference in health was attenuated to non-significance over time. Specifically, Time 1 measurements illustrated that self-rated mental health was significantly and negatively related to parenting a child with a special health care need. Time 2 measurements (10 years later) no longer showed a significant relationship between parenting a child with special health care need and self-rated mental health of parents. This finding is in contrast to one study that found parents’ self-rated general health incrementally worsened over 10 years (Brehaut et al., 2011). The attenuation of differences in self-rated health over time suggests evidence of resilience in parents (Brehaut et al., 2011). The current findings are consistent with a resilience framework in that parents of children with special health care needs, through protective processes or other resources, are able to thrive despite challenges often associated with parenting a child with special health care needs. As time goes by, parents of children with special health care needs likely learn new skills and attitudes that can help them adjust to their children’s conditions (Luthar, Cicchetti, & Becker, 2000).

We found no evidence that the health effects of parenting a child with a special health care need differed for mothers and fathers. This finding is contrary to some previous research (Allik, Larson, & Smedje, 2006; Eisenhower, Baker, & Blacher, 2008), but is also supported by other research (Hastings, 2003), including one previous study based on cross-sectional
MIDUS 2 data (Ha, Hong, Seltzer, & Greenberg, 2008). In previous research, parenting a child with a special health care need has been hypothesized to affect the health of mothers more strongly than fathers because mothers are presumed to take on a greater caregiving burden than fathers (Ross & Van Willigen, 1996). Our findings, along with previous literature (Ha, Hong, Seltzer, & Greenberg, 2008), suggest that it is important to include surveys from both the mothers’ and fathers’ perspectives in order to accurately determine the influence of child health conditions on parental health.

While no gender differences were found in health consequences of parenting a child with a special health care need, consistent gender differences in the effects of control on health outcomes were observed. Our results suggest that the main gender differences for control exist solely for depressive symptoms, and that perceived control is more protective for women than men. This result may suggest that women’s greater interactions with the healthcare system may make them more vulnerable to feelings of helplessness and subsequent depressive symptoms. This is an important area for future research. Gender differences notwithstanding, control was a consistent protective factor across all the health outcomes and they persisted over time. These results are consistent with previous quantitative (Duchovic, Gerkenmeyer, & Wu, 2009) and qualitative studies (Knox, Parmenter, Atkinson, & Yazbeck, 2000). Our findings extend this literature by demonstrating the long-term salience of perceived control in protecting the health of parents who have children with special health care needs.

The absence of meaningful protective effects for social support is also noteworthy. Results yielded little evidence that social support (i.e., instrumental support from family, instrumental support from others, and emotional support) protected adult health. Contrary to some previous research and our hypotheses, social support was not significantly related to either physical or mental health outcomes (Feldman, McDonald, Serbin, Stack, Secco, & Yu, 2007; Guralnick, 2008; Shin, Nhan, Crittendon, Flory, & Ladinsky, 2006). However, our measures of support assessed the hours of total support received and did not assess for any functional measures of support such as number of support sources, satisfaction with support, or content of support (Resch, Elliot, & Benz, 2012).

The study’s limitations must be considered while interpreting the results. The absence of a direct assessment of parenting stress did not allow a direct evaluation of the hypothesis that the psychological wear and tear of parenting a child with special health care needs contributes to generally poorer health outcomes. The relatively coarse assessment of whether participants had a child with a special health condition is another limitation that likely underestimates the long-term health implications of parenting a child with special needs. We could also not determine if participants had more than one child with a special health care need. The social support measures were also comparatively weak because they only captured hours of support received without considering if provided support was consistent with the need, or useful to the individual receiving the support. Although measurement challenges like these are not uncommon in broad national surveys, the limitation highlights the need for additional longitudinal research with more refined measures. Limitations notwithstanding, our findings add to the previous literature and can be
informative for clinicians and educators working with families with children with special health care needs.

The results of this study suggest health care and service providers need to consider the health of parents caring for children with health conditions. Elevated depressive symptoms and greater limitations in activities of daily living among parents of children with special health needs is concerning in itself, but they potentially double the impact if these health declines compromise their ability to care for their child with special health care needs. Our findings support the importance of interventions targeting both mothers and fathers of children with special health care needs. Interventions could emphasize motivational interviewing techniques to maintain or build a sense of control. Finally, our results highlight the reality that policies supporting families of children with special health care needs should not be diagnosis- or disorder- specific.

In summary, parenting a child with special health care needs is associated with elevated depressive symptoms and greater restrictions in activities of daily living over time for both mothers and fathers. Perceived control was protective against most negative health outcomes. These findings extend previous research by documenting long-term health declines associated with parenting a child with special health care needs, using a more generalizable sample. Although more research is needed, the results suggest the need for programmatic and policy solutions to support and protect parents of children with special health care needs.
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Table 1
Baseline Description of Parents in the Analytic Sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (SD)</td>
<td>39 years (SD = 7.69) Range (25–66 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Female</td>
<td>53.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Male</td>
<td>46.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Non-Hispanic White</td>
<td>87.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Ethnic or Racial Minority</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Income (SD)</td>
<td>$55,620 (SD=$45597.31), Range= ($0 to $300,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Currently Married</td>
<td>78.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Never Married</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Divorced</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Widowed</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

Regressions examining parental mental health

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictors</th>
<th>Self-Rated Mental Health</th>
<th></th>
<th>Depressive Symptoms</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>Longitudinal</td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>Longitudinal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b (SE) Std.β</td>
<td>b (SE) Std.β</td>
<td>b (SE) Std.β</td>
<td>b (SE) Std.β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child with Any Condition</td>
<td>-0.33 (.09) -0.15***</td>
<td>-0.10 (.08) -0.05</td>
<td>0.56 (.20) 0.12**</td>
<td>0.42 (.18) 0.09*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.27 (.06) 0.28***</td>
<td>0.32 (.06) 0.29***</td>
<td>-0.27 (.13) -0.13*</td>
<td>-0.44 (.14) -0.19***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumental Support Family</td>
<td>0.08 (.07) 0.04</td>
<td>-0.02 (.07) -0.01</td>
<td>-0.20 (.17) -0.05</td>
<td>0.13 (.15) 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumental Support Other</td>
<td>-0.09 (.11) -0.03</td>
<td>0.24 (.10) 0.09*</td>
<td>-0.04 (.26) -0.01</td>
<td>0.03 (.23) 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional Support</td>
<td>0.28 (.09) 0.14</td>
<td>-0.15 (.09) -0.08</td>
<td>-0.16 (.21) -0.04</td>
<td>0.18 (.19) 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-0.48 (.42) -0.25</td>
<td>-0.47 (.43) -0.25</td>
<td>2.47 (.96) 0.60**</td>
<td>3.37 (.98) 0.86***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender X Control</td>
<td>0.06 (.08) 0.19</td>
<td>0.05 (.08) 0.15</td>
<td>-0.41 (.17) -0.55*</td>
<td>-0.58 (.18) -0.77***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>2.06*** (.40) --</td>
<td>1.41*** (.39) --</td>
<td>3.11*** (.90) --</td>
<td>2.90 (.87) --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td></td>
<td>.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All models controlled for the effects of age, education level, marital status, and ethnicity.

*** p < .001,
** p < .01,
* p < .05

In coding gender, male =0 and female=1.
Table 3

Regressions examining parental physical health

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictors</th>
<th>Self-Rated Physical Health</th>
<th>IADL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>Longitudinal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>(SE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child with Any Condition</td>
<td>−.17</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumental Support Family</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumental Support Other</td>
<td>−.22</td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional Support</td>
<td>−.07</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>−.36</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender X Control</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>2.86***</td>
<td>.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All models controlled for the effects of age, education level, marital status, and ethnicity. Male =0 and female =1. IADL indicates instrumental activity of daily living.

*** p < .001,
** p < .01,
* p < .05