Introduction

Among cancers, lung cancer has one of the highest incidences worldwide and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) comprises a majority of it (1). Smoking has been established as a strong risk factor for lung cancer since 1950’s (2). Up to 90% of patients with lung cancer are smokers in the Western countries (3). Thus, lung cancer is often considered a smoker’s disease.

Recently, unique clinicopathologic features of NSCLC were observed in never-smokers (4,5). Numerous studies have suggested that never-smokers, compared with smokers, show a high frequency of female gender and adenocarcinomas (5,6), in addition to the prolonged survival (6-8). Previous data on diverse ethnicity have also shown a high frequency of never-smokers in Asian patients compared to Western patients (9,10).

In Korea, many asymptomatic individuals are checked for their health status (11,12), and cancer screenings are frequently performed nationwide (13,14). In addition, thoracic images are widely used for benign diseases such as pulmonary tuberculosis (15,16). Thus, some patients are incidentally diagnosed with lung cancer. Of interest, despite limitations of a heterogeneous population, a previous report has suggested that incidental detection is more frequent in never-smoker than smokers (17).

In clinical practice, a precise determination on disease extent is essential for treatment modality. Timely diagnosis also remains important to prevent psychological distress (18). Although prior literature has shed insight on different clinicopathological differences in clinical presentation of non-small cell lung cancer in never-smokers versus smokers
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study was conducted to evaluate whether or not tumor spread and the diagnostic process in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is different based on smoking history.

Methods: Associations between smoking status and clinical presentation were evaluated controlling for the effect of histology. Lung cancer with delayed diagnosis (LCDD) and incidental detection (LCID) were determined based on medical records.

Results: Of 914 patients, frequency of distant metastases was more common in never-smokers than in smokers (59% and 36%, respectively; P<0.001). Although never-smokers were more likely to have LCDD than smokers (18% and 11%, respectively; P=0.038), LCDD were not significantly associated with frequency of distant metastases [49% (LCDD) vs. 42% (non-LCDD); P=0.189] as well as tumor [29% (T3-4) vs. 24% (T1-2); P=0.134] and node [43% (N2-3) vs. 44% (N0-1); P=0.838] stage. Interestingly, never-smokers are more likely to have LCID than smokers (31% and 19%, respectively; P=0.010). In survival analysis, LCID (P=0.001; HR, 0.63) remained a prognostic factor, while LCDD did not.

Conclusions: This study suggests distinct metastatic pattern and diagnostic processes of never-smokers. The link between survival and incidental detection was also indicated.
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features between smokers and never-smokers, relatively few studies have analyzed metastatic patterns and diagnostic processes based on smoking history.

Previously, a higher frequency of advanced NSCLC has been reported in never-smokers than in smokers (6,10,19). It is possible that predominance of advanced stage in never-smokers may reflect delayed diagnosis from low clinical awareness to suspect lung cancer (20). However, to our knowledge, no studies have supported this hypothesis. Insight on different tumor spreads and diagnostic processes according to smoking history may help to construct an effective strategy for further evaluation with timely diagnosis in NSCLC patients. Thus, we performed this retrospective study to evaluate different initial presentations based on smoking status.

Patients and methods

We analyzed the clinical data of patients with NSCLCs who were diagnosed between January 2005 and December 2009 at two referral centers (the Korea Cancer Center Hospital in Seoul and the Hallym University Sacred Heart Hospital in Anyang). We gathered clinical data on pathologically confirmed NSCLC patients who underwent clinical staging work with brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), chest and upper abdominal computed tomography (CT) and additional bronchoscopy and bone scan when appropriate. Patients who had a history of malignancy within five years and those without information on smoking history excluded. However, those who received surgical resection for thyroid cancer were included. Information on smoking history was retrospectively obtained from medical records and a never-smoker was defined as someone who had never smoked in the past. Based on medical records, lung cancer with delayed diagnosis (LCDD) was defined as lung cancer without suspicion of malignancy at the initial presentation or with delayed evaluation (>4 weeks) due to a patient’s refusal. Lung cancer with transient symptom unrelated to tumors were classified as lung cancer with incidental detection (LCID), as previously described (21). Staging was determined using a new criteria (22).

Since pathological staging was not performed in all patients, T and N stages were consistently determined based on CT and additional bronchoscopic finding (23). Pleural metastases were decided using a method previously described (23). Brain MRI was used to diagnose brain metastases (24). Bone metastases were diagnosed based on multiple hot uptakes on bone scans (25) or typical findings in radiography, CT or MRI. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the Korea Cancer Center Hospital and the Hallym University’s Sacred Heart Hospital.

Statistical analysis

A comparison of categorical variables based on smoking history was performed using the Mantel-Haenszel test to stratify analysis by histology (adenocarcinoma versus non-adenocarcinoma) or Pearson’s $\chi^2$ test when appropriate. Stratified analysis of continuous variables with respect to histology was also performed using Somers’ d test. Odds ratio (OR) for specific metastatic sites was obtained through logistic regression analysis adjusting for histology, T stage, and N stage. Overall survival (OS) was calculated from the time of diagnosis to the time of death from any cause. Survival curves were plotted using the Kaplan-Meier method. Log-rank tests were performed for univariate analysis. Multivariate analysis was performed using Cox regression. Stata (version 12.0; Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA) was used for statistical analyses. The 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were determined and P values from two-sided tests <0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Patient characteristics

Data from 914 patients are summarized in Table 1. The median age was 65 years and 71% of the patients were men. Thirty percent of patients had never smoked. The proportions of patients with adenocarcinoma (including bronchioloalveolar carcinoma (n=14)) and squamous cell carcinoma were 50% and 37%, respectively. Primary tumors ranged in size from 0.8-15.0 cm (median, 3.7 cm). The proportions of patients with stage I, II, III, and IV were 29%, 10%, 18%, and 43%, respectively. The metastatic sites of stage IV patients included bone (n=205), contralateral lung (n=126), brain (n=118), pleura (n=108), liver (n=39), and others including adrenal gland and distant node (n=113). LCDD was identified in 117 patients (13%) who were initially diagnosed with a benign disease (pulmonary tuberculosis (n=35), pneumonia/abscess (n=43), benign solitary lung nodule (n=17), unspecified disease (n=11)), or underwent delayed evaluation (n=11). LCID comprised 22% (n=205). In the majority of patients with LCID, primary tumors were detected in chest X-rays (n=190) and the reason for the thoracic evaluations were as follows: routine screenings (n=128), evaluation for benign diseases (e.g., trauma, liver abscess; n=45), surveillance for prior cancers (n=14), and preoperative evaluation for other diseases (e.g., osteoarthritis, spinal stenosis; n=8).

Clinical features associated with smoking status

Associations between clinical features and smoking status were evaluated; the results are listed in Table 1. Based on stratified analysis with respect to histology, distant metastases (M1) were more common in never-smokers than in smokers (59% and 36%,
respectively; P<0.001). Although LCDD was more frequently observed in never-smokers than in smokers (18% and 11%, respectively; P=0.038), the frequency of distant metastases in patients with LCDD was not significantly different compared with those without LCDD (49% and 42%, respectively; P=0.189). In addition, LCDD was not statistically linked to advanced T [29% vs. 24% (T1-2); P=0.134] and N stage [43% vs. 44% (N0-1); P=0.838]. Of interest, never-smokers were more likely to have LCID than smokers (31% and 19%, respectively; P=0.010). Although the proportion of T1-2 stage (P=0.057) in never-smokers was higher than in smokers, this was not statistically significant. Tumors of never-smokers, compared with smokers, showed a tendency toward small size (P=0.083). Age and N stage were not associated with smoking status.

When the extent of tumor spread for metastatic sites was analyzed according to smoking status (Table 2), brain (P=0.001), bone (P<0.001), pleura (P=0.001), and lung metastases (P=0.027) were frequently detected in never-smokers compared with smokers. However, the frequency of metastases to liver and other sites were not associated with smoking history.

Survival according to smoking history

Five hundred and seventy eight deaths were observed until June 2011. Median OS of never-smokers was longer than that of smokers [30.5 months (95% CI, 24.3-36.5) and 20.2 months (95% CI, 17.4-24.2], respectively; P=0.002]. When we analyzed survival outcomes by strata using smoking history and presence of distant metastases, LCDD was not associated with survival (data not shown). In contrast, patients with LCID showed favorable outcomes within the strata (Figure 1). Similarly, in the Cox model, LCID (P=0.001; HR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.48-0.82) remained a prognostic factor after adjusting for age, sex, smoking history, histology, and stage, whereas LCDD (P=0.987; HR, 1.00; 95% CI, 0.75-1.35) did not.

Discussion

In this study, we focused on different tumor extents and

**Table 1. Clinical features according to smoking status.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Smoking status</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Never-smoker, No [%]</td>
<td>Smokers, No [%]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>43 [16]</td>
<td>604 [95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>234 [84]</td>
<td>33 [5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histology</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>212 [77]</td>
<td>241 [38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>65 [23]</td>
<td>396 [62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (cm)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T stage</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1-2</td>
<td>224 [81]</td>
<td>466 [73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-4</td>
<td>53 [19]</td>
<td>171 [27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N stage</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0-1</td>
<td>160 [58]</td>
<td>352 [55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2-3</td>
<td>117 [42]</td>
<td>285 [45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M stage</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
<td>113 [41]</td>
<td>407 [64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>164 [59]</td>
<td>230 [36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCDD</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>227 [82]</td>
<td>570 [81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCID</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>86 [31]</td>
<td>119 [19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>191 [69]</td>
<td>518 [81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.071*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supportive/no treatment</td>
<td>264 [95]</td>
<td>586 [92]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, χ² test. Abbreviations: LCID, lung cancer with incidental detection; LCDD, lung cancer with delayed diagnosis.

**Table 2. Association between metastatic sites and smoking status.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metastatic sites</th>
<th>Smoking status</th>
<th>Adjusted OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Never-smoker, No [%]</td>
<td>Smokers, No [%]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>55 [20]</td>
<td>63 [10]</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.2-3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone</td>
<td>93 [34]</td>
<td>112 [18]</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.5-3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleura</td>
<td>55 [20]</td>
<td>53 [8]</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.3-3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>12 [4]</td>
<td>27 [4]</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.6-2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>38 [14]</td>
<td>75 [12]</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.7-3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
diagnostic processes according to smoking status in patients with NSCLC. In the histology-stratified analysis, never-smokers were frequently presented with distant metastases compared with smokers, which is partly consistent with previous data (6,10,19). Although never-smokers were more likely to present tumors with delayed diagnosis than smokers, this was not linked to a frequency of distant metastases at presentation. Never-smokers were also associated with a high probability of incidental detection, a favorable predictor for survival.

In the present study, never-smokers were positively associated with a frequency of distant metastases, in contrast to the advanced T and N stages. This association was significant in the analysis controlling for the effect of histology, the distribution of which is similar with other Korean studies (10,17,26). Since lung cancer is generally believed to be a smokers’ disease, a low clinical suspicion might contribute to a delayed diagnosis leading to a high frequency of advanced stage in never-smokers (20,27). Supporting this idea, we observed a high frequency of LCDD in never-smokers. This finding may indicate that physicians use inappropriate clinical thresholds to diagnose lung cancer in never-smokers. In addition, attention to pulmonary tuberculosis in a tuberculosis endemic area may be a culprit for missed diagnosis among never-smokers (15,28). However, further analysis showed no statistical association between LCDD and tumor extent. Thus, our data indicate that clinical threshold alone cannot explain the extent of tumor spread according to smoking status.

Although the incidence of LCID (22%) in this study appears high, it is within the range of previous reports (17,21,29). Considering previous data indicating a trend towards an increased number of asymptomatic patients across time periods (29), a recent series of our population may explain a high incidence of LCID. Of note, we observed a more incidental detected NSCLC in never-smokers than in smokers, which was in line with prior literature (17). This difference is significant after controlling for the effect of histology. The reason for this finding is unclear. A plausible explanation is that NSCLC in never-smokers, compared with smokers, is likely to be of the peripheral type, which is more easily detected on images than the central type.

Figure 1. Favorable survival in lung cancer with incidental detection (LCID) by strata using smoking history and stage [advanced (M1) vs. localized (M0)]. (A) never-smokers in localized stage; (B) never-smokers in advanced stage; (C) smokers in localized stage; (D) smokers in advanced stage.
(30,31). It is also possible that nicotine and smoking related nitrosamines to hyperstimulate neurotransmission may lead to biologically different tumors by releasing various molecules such as growth factors and angiogenesis factors (32-34). Further molecular studies need to be followed.

In our data, the frequency of distant metastases to the brain, pleura, bone, and lung was significantly high in never-smokers compared with smokers, whereas this finding was not observed regarding metastases to the liver and other distant organs. Although a limited number of metastases to the liver and other organs, as previously reported (35), may lead to statistical insignificance, this result indicates a potential association of smoking status with organ specificity of tumor metastases. Supporting this idea, specified metastatic patterns according to the presence of EGFR mutation, predominant in never-smokers, have been observed in NSCLC (23,36,37). Similarly, recent data have suggested links between genetic profiles and preferential metastatic sites have been suggested in other solid tumors (38-40). However, to clarify preferential metastatic sites of NSCLC in never-smokers, molecular studies are needed with further insights in biology for advanced disease.

In the survival analysis, an incidental diagnosis in both localized and advanced diseases was associated with favorable survival. The favorable survival in patients with LCID, which is partly in line with previous studies (17,21,41), may be attributable to theoretical bias such as lead-time, length-time, and over-diagnosis bias (42). Although these potential limitations are important in interpreting the benefit of screening, this is not the case for the current study. We believe that insights on different survivals according to the diagnostic process may be important. For example, the identification of incidental detection can be used for constructing therapeutic strategies and designing clinical trials for lung cancer patients. Furthermore, an increased number of incidentally detected lung cancer may be expected from wide-spread screening using thoracic examination (43,44). Thus, this study may justify a physician’s attention to the diagnostic process in NSCLC.

In addition to the retrospective nature, several other limitations can be addressed. First, we simplified delayed diagnosis based on the information at the time of referral, without consideration of the symptoms’ onset. However, a missed diagnosis apparently leading to a delay in diagnosis has been shown in a prior study (45). Indeed, there are various types of delays, and studies have used inconsistent criteria for delayed time (46). In this study, based on Canadian guidelines (47), the time from general practitioner to diagnosis was beyond the upper limit (4 weeks) in a majority of patients with LCDD (data not shown). Second, due to a limitation of CT for disease stage, particularly for the mediastinal lymph node (48), a potential bias in the distribution of N stage according to smoking status cannot be excluded. Last, a cautious interpretation is needed because the current study has been undertaken in an Asian population, who are more likely to be never-smokers than Western populations (9,10).

In conclusion, this study suggests a distinct metastatic pattern and diagnostic processes in never-smokers with NSCLC. The link between survival and incidental detection was also indicated. This finding adds new insights to understanding the clinical presentations of never-smokers. Further studies are needed to validate the results of this study.
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