High Prevalence of Hepatitis E in Humans and Pigs and Evidence of Genotype-3 Virus in Swine, Madagascar

Sarah Temmam, Lydia Besnard, Soa Fy Andriamandimby, Coralie Foray, Harentsoaiana Rasoamelinina-Andriamandisoa, Jean-Michel Héraud, Eric Cardinal, Koussay Dellagi, Nicole Pavio, Hervé Pascalis,* and Vincent Porphyrè

Centre de Recherche et de Veille sur les Maladies Émergentes dans l’Océan Indien (CRVOI), Plateforme de Recherche CYROI, Sainte Clotilde, La Réunion, France; CNRS, UMR557 Ecologie Microbiene, Lyon, France; International Center for Agronomical Research for Development (CIRAD), UMR112 SELMET, Saint Pierre, La Réunion, France; International Center for Agronomical Research for Development (CIRAD), UMR15 CMAEE, Saint Denis, La Réunion, France; Institut Pasteur de Madagascar, Virology Unit, Antananarivo, Madagascar; FOFIG, Department of Veterinary and Husbandry Research, Antananarivo, Madagascar; Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), Saint Denis, La Réunion, France; ANSES, Maisons-Alfort, France

Abstract. Hepatitis E virus (HEV) causes an orofecal disease transmitted through poor hygiene environments, contaminated food (mainly pork products), or by contacts with infected animals. Very little data are currently available regarding the disease in the Southwestern Indian Ocean Islands. We report the first sero- and viro-survey for HEV in human and swine in Madagascar. A seroprevalence rate of 14.1% (60 of 427) was measured in slaughterhouse workers. Seroprevalence of HEV in pigs was estimated to 71.2% (178 of 250), strongly suggesting the existence of a zoonotic cycle. Three out of 250 pig livers (1.2%) tested HEV RNA-positive by quantitative polymerase chain reaction. Phylogenetic analyses based on 1-kb sequences of the ORF 2-3 identified these viruses as HEV genotype 3. Sequences clustered in a distinct Malagasy sub-clade, possibly representative of a new sub-genotype, for which the date of emergence was estimated around 1989. Further studies are needed to confirm other transmission routes of HEV to humans, especially through non-zoonotic cycles.

INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis E virus (HEV), the single member of the family Hepeviridae, genus Hepeivirus, is a small non-enveloped virus with ~7,200 nucleotide positive-sense, single-stranded RNA genome1; there are four major recognized and two putative genotypes of HEV according to previous sequence analyses. Genotype 1 and 2, restricted to humans, are associated with epidemics in developing countries with poor hygiene conditions (mainly genotype 1 in Asia and Africa and genotype 2 in Central America and Central Africa).2 Genotypes 3 and 4 infect swine and humans, the latter being infected by the consumption of contaminated food, resulting in sporadic cases of hepatitis E in both developing and industrialized countries.3,4 Genotype 3 infects the broadest spectrum of hosts (human, swine, wild boar, deer, rabbit, mongoose, etc.) and has the greatest genetic diversity, with 10 identified subgenotypes (3a to 3j).5 Recently, new animal hepeviruses have been described in rats,6 poultry,9 however they infect swine and humans, the latter being infected by the consumption of contaminated food, resulting in sporadic cases of hepatitis E in both developing and industrialized countries. Genotype 3 infects the broadest spectrum of hosts (human, swine, wild boar, deer, rabbit, mongoose, etc.) and has the greatest genetic diversity, with 10 identified subgenotypes (3a to 3j). Recently, new animal hepeviruses have been described in rats,6 poultry,9 however they infect swine and humans, the latter being infected by the consumption of contaminated food, resulting in sporadic cases of hepatitis E in both developing and industrialized countries. Genotype 3 infects the broadest spectrum of hosts (human, swine, wild boar, deer, rabbit, mongoose, etc.) and has the greatest genetic diversity, with 10 identified subgenotypes (3a to 3j).5

The HEV infection in swine occurs with elevated seroprevalences, sometimes higher than 95%, though it remains mainly asymptomatic.12 In France, HEV was reported to affect more than 30% of pigs and 65% of swine herds.14 In humans, hepatitis E has been reported worldwide.15–20 It is considered as an emerging viral disease of importance to public health and a significant cause of acute clinical hepatitis among adults in Asia, Middle East and Africa.2,21,22 High lethality rates have been reported in some developing countries, especially among pregnant women.23 In addition to the consumption of contaminated food, humans can be infected by HEV by direct exposure to swine or swine effluent or to infected swine blood,24 but there likely exist other important modes of viral transmission.

People working in swine farms and slaughterhouses are known to be at higher risk of HEV infection.25,26

In the Southwestern Indian Ocean Islands, little information on HEV infection is available, essentially reported from the French overseas departments of La Réunion and Mayotte: a sporadic case of HEV, probably imported, was reported in Mayotte island, in the Comoros Archipelago.27 In Reunion Island, two human cases were reported in 2012, three human cases in 2008,28 and an imported hepatitis E case in 2003.29 No study has been carried out so far to determine the epidemiology of HEV infection in human population, nor the HEV status of the pig herds and risk factors associated with human contamination in these areas. Hence, the objectives of this study were 1) to assess HEV infection among humans in Madagascar by exploring the HEV seroprevalence in a high risk population, i.e., slaughterhouse workers; 2) to explore a possible pig-to-human zoonotic transmission cycle in Madagascar by assessing the HEV seroprevalence in swine herds; and 3) to identify the genotype of HEV circulating in pigs.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sampling description. Human sera. Human sera came from a serum bank stored at Institut Pasteur in Madagascar that was collected between September 27, 2008 and May 27, 2009. Sera were collected during a national cross-sectional serologic survey among voluntary slaughterhouse workers within the administrative center of the district. We selected 427 sera from workers that lived in the same 18 districts and 11 regions from where the sampled pigs originated. The demographic characteristics of the workers included in the study are presented in Table 1.

Samples from pigs. A total of 20,000 pigs were estimated to be slaughtered at the four abattoirs of Antananarivo, the capital city of Madagascar, during the 3 months of surveys. To detect an estimated prevalence of HEV viral contamination of 2% in the swine population (with a confidence level at 99%), blood sera and liver samples were collected from
250 animals (M/F = 111/139) from November 2010 to January 2011. Animals were all more than 6 months of age at the date of slaughtering. Blood samples were collected at the bleeding post and a small piece of liver tissue was cut from the left medial lobe close to the gallbladder, as previously described; all biological samples were transported in a cool box at 4°C, and then frozen and stored at −80°C. Because the absence of animal traceability in Madagascar excludes the possibility of the identification of an individual pig’s farm at source, we considered the district and region of production as areas of origin. The sampled animals originated from 18 districts from 11 of 22 administrative regions.

**Ethical considerations.** The human serobank used in this study was constituted under a human research protocol approved by the National Ethical Review Committee from the Malagasy Ministry of Public Health. (Authorization no. 228 – SANPFPS July 3, 2008) and amended for the current study (Authorization no. 039-SANPFPS June 4, 2012). The Malagasy Department of Veterinary Research and Husbandry does not, at this time, have a committee to review and approve scientific research protocols involving animals.

**Serological analysis.** Detection of anti-HEV in human sera. A commercial kit (EIAnon HEV Ab, Adaltis, Milano, Italy) was used, which detects immunoglobulin G (IgG) and IgM antibodies to the four HEV genotypes. The test was carried out according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Samples were considered positive when the ratio between the OD450 values of the sample to that of the cutoff was higher than 1.1 (cutoff = mean of the negative control + 0.350).

**Detection of antibodies to HEV in pig sera.** A commercial test validated for veterinary analysis was used: HEV ELISA 4.0v (MP Diagnostics, Illkirch, France). This test is a double sandwich ELISA detecting IgG, IgM, and IgA antibodies to a proprietary recombinant antigen, which is highly conserved between different HEV strains (genotypes 1 to 4). Analyses were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions except that 10 µL of sera were used. Samples were considered positive when the OD450 value of the sample was superior to the cutoff value (cutoff = mean of the negative control + 0.300).

**Molecular detection of HEV in pig liver.** A small piece of liver tissue (~1 mm³) was dissected on ice from the frozen samples and homogenized in DMEM medium using the TissueLyser (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, France) and a 3 mm tungsten bead for 2 min at 25 Hz. Total nucleic acids were extracted from the mixture supernatant using the EZ1 Viral mini kit v2.0 and the EZ1 BioRobot (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. The complementary DNA (cDNA) was generated by GoScript Reverse Transcriptase using random hexamers (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI). TaqMan HEV quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) was conducted as described by Jothikumar and others, i.e., primers and probe targeting a portion of the open reading frame (ORF) 3 region of HEV genotypes 1 to 4 in animals and environmental samples.

**Sequencing.** A sequence of 1,059 bp within the ORF 2-3 region was generated using a semi-nested PCR. The first round PCR was conducted on 5 µL of cDNA in a final volume of 25 µL containing 2X GoTaq Hot Start Mastermix, and 200 nM of primers JVHEV-F,31 and TqRev.32 Cycling conditions were as follows: 95°C for 2 min, and then 35 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec/60°C for 30 sec/72°C for 2 min followed by a final elongation step at 72°C for 7 min. Second round PCR was performed on 4 µL of primary PCR product in a final volume of 50 µL containing 200 nM of primers JVHEV-F and 3159N.33 Cycling conditions were the same as the first round PCR except that 40 cycles were performed. Positive amplifications were purified on a 1% (w/v) agarose gel electrophoresis with the QIAquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen), cloned in a pGem-T Easy vector (Promega), and finally sequenced (GATC Biotech, Konstanz, Germany). Sequences were primers trimmed and assembled for analysis using the Geneious Pro 5.3.4 software package.

**Phylogenetic analyses.** All alignments were generated in Geneious Pro using the MUSCLE alignment method. The DNA substitution model that best fitted the data was performed by the software jModelTest 0.1.136 and was considered for all phylogenetic analyses. We selected different models of nucleotide substitution using the corrected Akaike information criterion.

**Molecular evolutionary distances.** Evolutionary distances between sequences were calculated using MEGA 5,67 taking into account the best model of sequences evolution allowing correction of the estimates of evolutionary distance. Because MEGA does not contain the general time-reversible (GTR) model proposed, we used the Tajima-Nei correction, which is the nearest model to those proposed by jModelTest.

**Genotyping and sub-typing.** For the determination of HEV genotype of the Malagasy strains, a total of 40 GenBank sequences of full-genome HEV were selected according to their origin (Asia, Europe, Africa, and America), their host (human, swine, rabbit, mongoose, and wild boar) and their
genotype and sub-genotype. Avian HEV was defined as outgroup. Phylogenetic trees were constructed using the generated sequence of ~1-kb by maximum likelihood (ML) within PhyML. Nodal support was evaluated by 1,000 bootstrap replicates. Bayesian phylogenetic inference (BI) was carried out using MrBayes, with two independent runs of four incrementally heated, Metropolis-coupled Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) starting from a random tree. The MCMC were run for 1,000,000 iterations and associated model parameters being sampled every 200 generations. The initial 1,000 trees in each run were discarded as burning samples and the harmonic mean of the likelihood was calculated by combining the two independent runs.

To implement a maximum of sequences from the 10 HEV-3 sub-genotypes, phylogenies were restricted to a fragment of ~300 bp inside the previous set of the ORF 2-3 region, as previously described by Lu and collaborators. A total of 233 sequences were used. The BI was conducted as previously described for genotyping analyses except that MCMC were run for 1,000,000 iterations, associated model parameters was sampled every 1,000 generations and the initial 100,000 trees in each run were discarded as burning samples.

Date estimations. The same set of GenBank sequences as the one for sub-typing analyses was used, except that we retained only sequences for which the year of sampling was available (N = 65). The Bayesian MCMC analyses were performed using BEAST v. 1.6.1 (http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk) under a strict molecular clock setting. GTR + I + Γ were used, as proposed by jModelTest. An exponential-growth coalescent model was chosen as a prior on the tree. We ran a chain length of 100,000,000 by sampling trees every 1,000 generations. Convergence, Bayes factors, and burning were assessed using Tracer v1.4.1b (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tracer). The maximum clade credibility phylogeny for analyzing the MCMC data set was annotated by TreeAnotator in the BEAST package. The tree was visualized using FigTree v1.2.2. (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

Statistical and spatial analyses. For serological data from pigs, statistical analyses were performed in R software version 2.14.1. The χ² test was run to test the significance of the differences observed in seroprevalence repartition by gender and to compare the human and pig spatial seroprevalence distributions by district and region. Confidence intervals (CIs) based on these variables were also determined. For human serological data, same analyses were used to compare prevalence by age, sex, and duration of activity in slaughterhouses. The Mantel-Haenszel test was used to carry out adjustment for age when testing the association between duration of activity and serological status. The χ² test and confidence intervals for human variables were performed on STATA/IC11.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX). Human and pig data were geographically displayed with ArcGIS 10.0 (ESRI, Redlands, CA).

RESULTS

Seroprevalence to HEV in slaughterhouse workers. Of 427 human sera, 60 were positive for HEV, leading to a seroprevalence of 14.1% (CI 95% [10.9–17.7]). There was no significant difference between age groups (22.2%, 10.5%, 14.1%, 15.9%, 14.6% in age groups <20 years, 20–29 years, 30–40 years, 40–50 years, and 50–60 years, respectively, P value = 0.464) nor between males and females (13.4% and 20.5%, respectively, P value = 0.223). The seropositivity rates in slaughterhouse workers ranged from 5.3% (district of Bealanana, region of Sofia) to 31.4% (district of Fianarantsoa, region of Haute Matsiatra).

Table 2B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of workers</th>
<th>≤ 5 years (a)</th>
<th>≤ 5 years (b)</th>
<th>P value (a vs. b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤ 20</td>
<td>14.29% (1/7)</td>
<td>24.14% (7/29)</td>
<td>0.503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[20–35]</td>
<td>3.70% (1/27)</td>
<td>14.84% (27/182)</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[35–50]</td>
<td>5.56% (1/18)</td>
<td>13.79% (16/116)</td>
<td>0.294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>0.00% (0/5)</td>
<td>16.28% (7/43)</td>
<td>0.438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5.26% (3/57)</td>
<td>15.41% (57/370)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2A**

Hepatitis E virus (HEV) seroprevalence among swine and slaughterhouse workers according to the duration of professional activity of workers
The duration of professional activity of workers in slaughterhouses before blood sampling was 11 years on average (CI 95% [1–56]). Seroprevalences are presented in Table 2B according to the age of workers and the duration of their professional activity. There was no significant difference between age groups for a given duration of activity ($P$ value between 0.09 and 0.50). However, a significant difference was observed between people that worked for < 5 years, compared with those who worked for longer periods ($P$ value = 0.03), suggesting a correlation between the time of exposure and the likelihood of infection irrespective of the workers age.

Seroprevalence to HEV in swine populations. Of 250 sera collected from pigs at the slaughterhouse, 178 tested positive for HEV. Seroprevalence was estimated at 71.2% (CI 95% [65.2–76.7]). There was no significant difference between male and female (72.1% and 70.5%, respectively, $P$ value = 0.786). The highest seroprevalence in pigs was observed in the district of Mianarivo, region of Itasy (88.9% [51.8–99.7]), and the lowest in the district of Befandriana-Nord, region of Sofia (33.3% [7.5–70.1]) (Table 2A, Figure 1).

Spatial distribution of HEV seropositivity among swine and slaughterhouse workers. All 18 districts covered by the study were found positive for infection in swine, whereas only 12 of...
them had evidence of infection in humans. There were significant differences between the spatial distributions of human and swine HEV seroprevalences when tested at the district and the region levels ($P$ value $< 0.0001$, Table 2A).

Molecular detection of HEV in pig liver. A total of 250 livers were collected from the same pigs, which were serologically tested. Only three livers (1.2%) were found positive for HEV viral RNA, namely swHEV-MG-104, swHEV-MG-121, and swHEV-MG-190 (GenBank accessions nos.: JX507128–130). The three livers were sampled in December 2010 (one male and two female pigs). Two of these pigs originated from the Fianarantsoa and Mianarivo districts (located in the central highlands of Madagascar), and the third one from the Port-Berger district in the north-west (Figure 1). Interestingly, these regions had the highest seroprevalence rates to swine HEV and the highest rates to human HEV in slaughterhouse workers (district of Fianarantsoa), reflecting a probably active viral circulation in swine with an elevated risk of infection in humans. Of note, two animals detected positive for HEV viral RNA were concomitantly seropositive to HEV.

Genotyping, sub-typing and phylogenetic analysis of HEV in Malagasy pigs. When compared with homologous sequences 2 (ORF 2-3 sequences of 1-kb length) from human and swine HEV genotypes 1 to 4 deposited in the GenBank database the Malagasy HEV strains could be identified as genotype 3 (Figure 2). The three sequences branch together, forming a distinct group, with a high nodal support (posterior probability $P = 0.998$, ML bootstrap = 100). Within this group, swHEV-MG-104 and swHEV-MG-190 sequences fall into a sub-cluster supported by a high PP of 0.911 and a ML bootstrap $P$ value of 77 (Figure 2). Genetic distances between Malagasy HEV strains range between 7.4% ($±0.97$) and 9.8% ($±1.09$).

Sub-typing of the 3 HEV strains was performed by phylogenetic analysis of a small fragment (300 bp) of the ORF 2-3 region, as previously described. The Malagasy sequences also clustered in a distinct group, located at the root of clades formed by sub-genotypes 3c and 3i (Figure 3), with a strong nodal support ($P = 0.827$), suggesting a shared history between sub-genotypes 3c-, 3i-, and Malagasy-HEV. Table 3 shows the genetic distances between genotype 3 HEV sub-genotypes, as defined in Figure 3. Genetic distances between the Malagasy HEV clade and other sub-genotypes were in the same range as those observed between each other sub-type (15.6–22.4%), suggesting that the Malagasy HEV strains may form a distinct sub-genotype. It is most closely related to sub-type 3a (15.6%), and most distantly related to sub-type 3f (22.4%) according to the genetic distances.

Estimation of date of emergence of genotype 3 Malagasy HEV strains. The Bayesian MCMC analysis estimates the time to the most recent common ancestor (TMRCA) of each genotype and sub-genotype, with a 95% highest probability density, and is presented in Figure 4. The resulting phylogenetic tree topology is the same as the one obtained by the
Bayesian method for sub-typing (Figure 3). High posterior probabilities support the nodes defining each genotype and sub-type of HEV ($P = 1.0$ except for 3i-HEV for which $P = 0.97$). The TMRCA of HEV-3, HEV-4, HEV-1, and HEV-2 were estimated at 1915, 1923, 1957, and 1986, respectively. The estimated dates of emergence of the common ancestor of the Malagasy sub-type and the cluster formed by sub-types 3c- and 3i-HEV was estimated to appear in 1965. The Malagasy HEV clade apparently diverged from the 3c-3i cluster around 1989. Interestingly, TMRCA of sub-type 3c is also estimated to emerge around 1989, suggesting that the common ancestor of sub-types 3c-, 3i-, and Malagasy-HEV had emerged in 1965.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3a-HEV</th>
<th>3c-HEV</th>
<th>3e-HEV</th>
<th>3f-HEV</th>
<th>3g-HEV</th>
<th>3i-HEV</th>
<th>Malagasy HEV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3a-HEV</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.93%</td>
<td>3.71%</td>
<td>3.32%</td>
<td>3.71%</td>
<td>3.23%</td>
<td>2.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3c-HEV</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.43%</td>
<td>3.47%</td>
<td>3.89%</td>
<td>2.68%</td>
<td>2.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3e-HEV</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.73%</td>
<td>3.03%</td>
<td>4.29%</td>
<td>3.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3f-HEV</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.36%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3g-HEV</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.91%</td>
<td>3.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3i-HEV</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
<td>26.6%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malagasy HEV</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
<td>22.0%</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Genetic distances are expressed in percentages and are displayed below the diagonal. Standard error estimates, in italic, are shown above the diagonal. Analyses were conducted in MEGA5 using the Tajima-Nei model.
and then diversified in 3i-HEV around 1980 and in 3c- and Malagasy-HEV separate sub-types more recently, around 1989.

DISCUSSION

Hepatitis E is widespread all over the world, both in human and swine populations. In industrialized countries, sporadic human infection mainly occurs by the consumption of contaminated food. In contrast, multiple routes of human contamination are operative in developing countries (such as Madagascar): poor hygiene environments, exposure to infected swine, or swine effluents.2,3 As humans may be infected by zoonotic or environmental routes, multiple HEV genotypes may circulate among human and swine populations.33,43,44 To investigate a possible swine-to-human route of infection, we report here the first sero- and viro-survey among slaughterhouse workers and swine populations in Madagascar.

Antibodies to HEV were detected in Malagasy slaughterhouse workers with a global prevalence of 14.1%. Previous studies have identified workers in slaughterhouses and pig handlers as populations at high risk of infection, because of their frequent contacts with organs, manure, and blood from animals.45,46 In Madagascar, we found that HEV seroprevalence among slaughterhouse workers reached 33.3% in the district of Fianarantsoa, but the average seropositivity (14.1%) could be considered as modest when compared with other studies. Indeed, studies in Spain,47 Germany,48 Switzerland,49 and in the United States,24,50 have shown that HEV seroprevalence in exposed human populations, such as veterinarians and slaughterhouse workers, can reach more than 35%, whereas in non-exposed humans (i.e., blood donors) the seroprevalence is significantly lower. Further studies are needed to measure the seroprevalence of HEV in the Malagasy general population, and to determine if pig breeders are at higher risk of

Figure 4. Date estimations of hepatitis E virus (HEV) genotypes apparition and circulation. BEAST analysis is based on the fragment of 300 bp within ORF 2-3 of the 3 Malagasy HEV sequences and 65 globally representative GenBank sequences. Arrows indicate date positions. (A) Representation of the global diversification of HEV genotypes. Solid arrow represents the most recent common ancestor (TMRCA) for each defined genotype; dashed arrow represents TMRCA for non-distinct HEV genotype. (B) Sequences are colored by genotype (pink, green, orange, blue, and black for genotypes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, respectively). The HEV-3 sub-genotypes are mentioned in dashed lines. Standard deviation bars represent the 95% confidence interval of the mean estimated TMRCA. Scale bar indicates the number of years.
feces are higher in younger animals, as previously reported.53,54 Breeding (3 by the age of swine at which the animals are slaughtered. In tertively low level of ongoing viral infection in pigs may be caused 1.2% of livers were detected RNA virus positive. This rela-
tion and HEV burden in herds, and identify risks factors of contaminaion. Interestingly, because pigs fed on kitchen res-
tination and HEV burden in herds, and identify risks factors of contaminaion. Interestingly, because pigs fed on kitchen res-
sequences used for this analysis. Full-genome analysis may
to cluster this strain with the Malagasy HEV (data not
accession no. EU495232) is at the root of sub-types 3c and 3i,
as our Malagasy sequences. Unfortunately, we were not able
to cluster this strain with the Malagasy HEV (data not
shown), or to classify the Malagasy strains within an already
known sub-genotype, probably caused by the shortness of the
sequences used for this analysis. Full-genome analysis may
allow us to determine whether the Malagasy HEV strains
may form a new sub-type, and to better understand the origins
of these strains.
Nakano and others57 recently estimated the TMRCA of
HEV genotypes and sub-genotypes. Our observations slightly
differ from theirs, probably caused by different sequences
used in the data set. We estimated the divergence of strictly
human HEV (genotypes 1 and 2) and zoonotic HEV (geno-
types 3, 4, and 5) to be in 1794. Then in 1837, genotype 4-HEV
diverge from genotypes 3–5 clusters. Interestingly, genotype 4
is mainly found in Asian countries, and not in Old (Europe
and Africa) or New World countries. We estimated the
emergence of genotype 3-HEV around 1915 and that of the
Malagasy HEV around 1989. Moreover, a clear diversification
of genotype 3 seems to have occurred after the 70s (TMRCA of
sub-types 3b, 3f, 3a, 3e, 3i, 3c estimated to appear in 1970,
netic cluster formed by 3c-, 3i-, and Malagasy-HEV sub-types
is composed by sequences originating from Europe and Africa.
After 1965 (date of emergence of TMRCA of this cluster), a
diversification occurred and resulted in a distinct European
3i-HEV sub-type, and two other groups formed by sequences
both from Europe and Africa (3c-HEV and Malagasy-HEV).
Because international commercial exchanges and importation
of live animals have been regularly reported from Europe
(France, Germany, Belgium) since the 60s, and because few
animals were recently imported in Madagascar since the late
90s, our findings suggests that the HEV strains circulating in
Madagascar may have a common history with European and
African HEV strains.
Our study is the first reported so far on HEV infection in
humans and swine in Madagascar, and the circulation of the
virus in swine. The HEV strains circulating in Madagascar
among pigs are of genotype 3 and may have a common history
with European and African HEV strains. Further studies are
in preparation to explore the role of environmental contami-
nation, the role of other transmission routes, and the role of the
wild fauna in the viral cycle.
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