MPTP Mouse Models of Parkinson’s Disease: An Update
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Abstract

Among the most widely used models of Parkinson’s disease (PD) are those that employ toxins, especially 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP). Depending on the protocol used, MPTP yields large variations in nigral cell loss, striatal dopamine loss and behavioral deficits. Motor deficits do not fully replicate those seen in PD. Nonetheless, MPTP mouse models mimic many aspects of the disease and are therefore important tools for understanding PD. In this review, we will discuss the ability of MPTP mouse models to replicate the pathophysiology of PD, the mechanisms of MPTP-induced neurotoxicity, strain differences in susceptibility to MPTP, and the models’ roles in testing therapeutic approaches.
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Parkinson’s disease (PD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disease that has no cure. Developing animal models of this disease has been challenging. Despite numerous efforts to develop progressive toxic protocols in mice, few fully reflect the hallmarks of the disease. Indeed, no model fully recapitulates the progressive behavioral deterioration, advancing pathology with age, or fluctuations in motor function. The extent to which they reproduce many hallmarks of PD and the mechanisms at work in the sporadic forms of the disease vary greatly. Mouse models using 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) are among the most widely used. The number of original papers and reviews that have been written on these models has steadily increased over the past 20 years (Fig. 1). MPTP mouse models have shed light on the pathophysiology as well as some of the causes of the disease. More importantly, they have provided investigators with model platforms for testing symptomatic and neuroprotective drugs. This review will focus on the use of MPTP in rodents and how these models have advanced our understanding of this debilitating disease.

MPTP and its Metabolites

Structurally, the toxin, MPTP, resembles a number of known environmental compounds, including herbicides such as paraquat [1] and the garden insecticide/fish toxin, rotenone [2]; both have been shown to induce dopamine (DA) neuron degeneration [3-6]. MPTP was first discovered by a chemistry student in 1976, who was trying to synthesize a synthetic heroin, but instead produced MPTP, which kills dopaminergic (DAergic) neurons [7]. Others,
addicted to heroin, replicated this mistake in the early 1980s and developed severe PD-like symptoms. Dr. Langston, who treated many of these patients, recognized the potential of this toxin for creating a valid disease model [8]. He and colleagues soon identified the effects of MPTP administration in non-human primates and described the impairments that resembled the motor disabilities of idiopathic PD [8]. In 1986, Sonsalla and Heikila [9] showed that MPTP could have many of the same effects in mice.

MPTP is highly lipophilic and easily crosses the blood brain barrier, where it binds mainly in astrocyte lysosomes [10], and there is general agreement that astrocytes convert MPTP to its toxic metabolite, the 1-methyl-4-phenylpyridinium (MPP+) ion (but see [11]). Systemic administration of MPP+ does not damage central DAergic neurons, because it does not readily cross the blood brain barrier due to its charge. However, its direct infusion into the brain effectively destroys much of the DAergic nigrostriatal pathway [12]. MPP+ is an excellent substrate for the dopamine transporter (DAT), which explains its selectivity for DAergic neurons. Although the mechanisms of cell death induced by MPP+ have not been fully characterized, it is known that MPP+ is an effective inhibitor of complex I respiration in isolated mitochondria [13-16]. As a result, a rapid decrease in adenosine triphosphate (ATP) content occurs in the striatum and substantia nigra pars compacta (SNpc), the brain regions most sensitive to MPTP-induced neurotoxicity [17]. Interestingly, a significant ATP depletion can result from as little as 25% inhibition of complex I [18]. Cell death of DA neurons may occur through both apoptosis and necrosis, depending upon the regimen [19]. Following exposure to MPTP or MPP+, the hydropyridine or its metabolite is cleared from the brain within 12 hours, and the depletion of ATP is no longer evident 24 hours after administration [20-22]. However, the actual neuronal degeneration seems to take a longer period of time [19, 23-25]. These observations raise the possibility that MPTP triggers other events, ultimately responsible for the neurotoxicity.

One mechanism of MPTP-induced neurotoxicity involves oxidative stress [26]. The conversion of MPTP to MPP+ by monoamine oxidase-B (MOA-B) in astrocytes is followed by the accumulation of MPP+ in SNpc DAergic neurons via the activity of DAT. Such accumulation in DAergic neurons results in the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) by the mitochondria, including nitric oxide (NO), superoxide anion (O2−), hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), and hydroxyl radicals (•OH) [27, 28]. MPP+ also stimulates the release of DA [29]. Excessive auto-oxidation of both intracellular and extracellular DA results in the formation of cytotoxic quinones and highly reactive •OH. Excessive formation of •OH, which has a very short half-life and interacts close to their site of generation in vitro [30], can cause cell damage through chain reactions leading to membrane lipid peroxidation, alterations in membrane fluidity [31, 32], protein cross-linking, and DNA damage, which is mediated by base pair mutations [33]. Thus, excessive formation of •OH, which might overwhelm cellular antioxidant defense mechanisms, likely contributes to the death of DAergic neurons [34].

The mitochondrial apoptotic cascade has been suggested to play an important role in MPTP-induced DAergic neurotoxicity [35, 36]. In support of this idea, MPTP treatment upregulated components of the mitochondrial apoptotic cascade, including cytochrome c and caspase-9 in the substantia nigra (SN) [36]. In addition, the neuronal expression of p35, a potent and irreversible caspase inhibitor, and overexpression of the anti-apoptotic protein, Bcl-2, conferred a resistance to MPTP-induced neurotoxicity [36, 37]. The mitochondrial apoptotic pathway requires the release of cytochrome c from mitochondria in connection with opening of the mitochondrial transition pore. Importantly, MPP+ induces the opening of the mitochondrial transition pore through the inhibition of complex I and the production of ROS [38]. After cytochrome c is released, it then forms a complex with apoptosis protease activating factor 1 and pro-caspase-9, which results in caspase-9 activation.
followed by activation of downstream caspases [39]. The expression of the apoptosis-associated molecule, Nucling, is essential for the release of cytochrome c. The expression of apoptosis protease activating factor 1, and the induction of caspase-9 following a pro-apoptotic event. Following MPTP treatment in Nucling null mice, the release of cytochrome c was suppressed and DAergic neuron cell death in the SNpc was reduced [40].

There is evidence that supports the idea of excitotoxicity contributing to MPTP-induced DAergic neuron death [41-43]. In this scenario, the depletion of cellular ATP caused by inhibition of complex I of the electron transport chain in mitochondria results in depolarization of the membrane potential of SNpc neurons and an increase in extracellular glutamate levels [41, 44] which, in turn, stimulates N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors on the DAergic neurons [45]. A three-fold increase in extracellular glutamate has been measured with microdialysis, in vivo, following chronic MPTP treatment [41]. In addition the toxin treatment leads to an increase in the affinity for glutamate by glutamate transporters in the SNpc [41]. The glutamatergic sources contributing to these enhanced levels are not known, but could include glia in the vicinity, enhanced cortical or subthalamic release from axon terminals on DAergic neurons and/or arise from an exchange with glutamate/cystine antiporter, which is calcium (Ca^{2+}) insensitive but exchanges glutamate from the cytoplasm of the nerve terminal, although the latter remains controversial [41]. The stimulation of NMDA receptors by extracellular glutamate results in an elevation of intracellular Ca^{2+} via the opening of Ca^{2+} channels due to an inability of the cell to sequester and pump out Ca^{2+} [45]. Elevation of intracellular Ca^{2+} in SNpc neurons activates neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS) and NO is synthesized. NO plays a key role in MPTP-induced neurotoxicity [46, 47]. NO reacts with O_{2} to form peroxynitrite (ONOO\(^{-}\)). Once formed, ONOO\(^{-}\) can diffuse over several cell diameters where it can oxidize lipids, proteins, and damage DNA [48, 49]. DNA damage, in turn, activates the DNA-damage-sensing enzyme poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) [50, 51]. PARP activation induces PAR polymers and depletes nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD+) and ATP [52, 53]. The generation of PAR polymers, the ribosylation of proteins, and the loss of NAD+ and ATP signal to the mitochondria induce apoptosis inducing factor (AIF) release and translocation [54]. AIF, a mitochondrial flavoprotein that mediates caspase-independent cell death [55], translocates from the mitochondria to the nucleus to induce DNA fragmentation and nuclear condensation [56, 57]. The dismantling of the nuclear structure ultimately leads to cell death.

Inflammation also plays an important role in MPTP-induced neurotoxicity. MPTP treatment causes an inflammatory reaction characterized by infiltration of T cells into the SN and striatum, activation of the resident brain macrophages, microglia, and increased gene expression of the proinflammatory cytokines interleukin-1 \(\beta\) (IL-1\(\beta\)), tumor necrosis factor \(\alpha\) (TNFa), and interferon \(\gamma\) (INF\(\gamma\)) [58]. Moreover, activated microglia can be phagocytic and release pro-inflammatory factors such as TNFa, prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), INF\(\gamma\), and ROS such as NO, H\(_2\)O\(_2\), and O\(_2^{-}\), which are all toxic to neurons [59]. Injection of the bacterial endotoxin, lipopolysaccharide, into the SNpc induced PD-like changes characterized by activation of microglia and DAergic neuron cell death [60, 61]. Importantly, following MPTP treatment, microglial cell activation occurred prior to DAergic neuron death in the SNpc [62]. An increase in the number and size of microglia and a morphological change consistent with their entering an activated state has been observed in MPTP-treated mice [63]. In addition, microglial cell processes were observed in close association with degenerating profiles [63], supporting a role for microglia in the scavenging of dead and dying neurons [63, 64]. Furuya and colleagues [62] reported that caspase-11, which is predominantly expressed in microglia in the SN, can produce cell death by regulating the expression of cytotoxic cytokines. Caspase-11 null mice were resistant to the
neurotoxic effects of an acute MPTP treatment [62]. Interestingly, inhibition of microglia activation relieved the degeneration of DAergic neurons [65, 66]. Furthermore, NO, a lipophilic radical that is toxic to neurons, is one of the pro-inflammatory factors released by microglia. Inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) is upregulated in MPTP-treated mice [66], resulting in elevated NO production. The expression of iNOS in activated microglia contributes to the death of DAergic neurons in MPTP toxicity [67, 68].

Abnormal protein interactions in the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS), which degrades short-lived, damaged, and misfolded proteins in an ATP-dependent manner [69] has been proposed as a mechanism of DAergic neuron death in the SNpc [70]. In support of this hypothesis, systemic administration of a proteasome inhibitor led to degeneration of the nigrostriatal pathway [70]. Moreover, chronic and continuous MPTP administration in mice resulted in a long-lasting inhibition of the UPS and degeneration of DAergic neurons in the SN [71]. In contrast, other groups have reported that administration of the proteasome inhibitor did not produce DAergic neurotoxicity and did not enhance MPTP-induced neurotoxicity [72, 73]. One group reported that proteasome inhibitors protected against MPTP-induced neurotoxicity [74]. Thus, the contribution of a dysregulation of the UPS system in MPTP-induced neurotoxicity remains unclear.

One of the hallmarks of PD, the development of Lewy bodies, has been proposed to be due to a failure of the UPS. It has been proposed that Lewy bodies develop gradually, appearing first as insoluble proteinaceous granules intermingled with filaments that are both ubiquitin and α-synuclein positive (see [64] for review). Neither Lewy bodies nor inclusions that resemble these bodies were observed when mice were acutely or subchronically (subacutely) treated with MPTP [75-77]. However, chronic MPTP administration results in the formation of α-synuclein positive granular aggregates [75]. Chronic and continuous MPTP administration in mice produced inclusion bodies in remaining neurons in the SN [71]. Additionally, when mice were chronically treated with MPTP and probenecid (MPTP/P), the surviving DA neurons developed accumulations of α-synuclein and ubiquitin [78]. Ultrastructurally, the inclusions, which are more numerous in MPTP/P-treated mice compared to controls, contain granular and filamentous accumulations of protein and lipid droplets (Fig. 2) in association with lipofuscin granules [64]. Taken together, these findings raise the interesting possibility that scientists will be able to devise treatment regimens that fully recapitulate the process of Lewy body formation.

Not All Mouse Strains Are Vulnerable to the Toxic Effects of MPTP

The neurotoxic effects of MPTP have been demonstrated in humans and other primates, cats, rabbits, and in some rodents [79]. In rodents, only specific mouse strains are sensitive to MPTP [80-86], pointing to genetic influences on the actions of MPTP. Strains of mice differ in their response to MPTP with respect to the degree of striatal DA depletion [9, 83, 86, 87], amount of loss of midbrain DA neurons [88, 89], and behavioral deficits [89]. With regard to degree of SNpc neuron loss, different mouse strains can be characterized as either “sensitive” (i.e., >50% SNpc neuron loss) or “resistant” (i.e., <25% SNpc loss) [80, 88].

The basis for differences in sensitivity to MPTP between rodent strains is not well understood. Although several explanations have been offered, no single explanation fully accounts for the phenomenon. The neurotoxicity of MPTP is dependent on the activity of MAOB, the enzyme that catalyzes the conversion of the MPTP protoxin to the dyhydroxydridinium intermediate, 1-methyl-4-phenyl-2,3-dihydroxydridinium species (MPDP+), which is subsequently oxidized to the toxic MPP+. Thus, it has been proposed that differences in brain MAO-B levels could account for species and strain differences in sensitivity to MPTP. Rats are resistant to MPTP toxicity [84], and differences in MAO
activity have been proposed as the reason for their low susceptibility. The mouse strain most sensitive to MPTP, the C57BL/6 strain [85, 90], is the only species in which MAO-B activity was greater in the brain than in the liver [91]. Thus, the increased susceptibility of this mouse strain to MPTP may be due, in part, to the limited, systemic detoxification of MPTP by liver MAO-B [91]. However, contrary to this hypothesis, MAO-B overexpressing transgenic mice did not have alterations in their MPTP toxicity profiles compared with controls [92]. In addition, no differences in the vesicular monoamine transporter, which regulates the sequestration of MPP+ inside the cell, were observed in MPTP-sensitive versus resistant strains [93].

Another possible explanation for the strain differences in sensitivity to MPTP is that the different strains have different tolerances to oxidative changes. Although it is known that free radical formation plays an important role in MPTP-induced cell death [94-96], little is known about the different oxidation states of various mouse strains, specifically as it relates to the SNpc. The finding of no difference in free radical production in the striatum of the MPTP-sensitive strain, C57BL/6, and the MPTP-resistant strain, Swiss-Weber [97-99], suggests that free radical production alone is not a sufficient explanation to account for the differences in strain susceptibility.

Several other potential mechanisms for differential sensitivity to MPTP have been explored including differential uptake through the DAT [100], differences in DAT kinetics [101], changes in glutamate transporter function in astrocytes [102], control of Ca\(^{2+}\) influx into SN neurons [103], and functional changes in electron transport chain proteins [104]. Unfortunately, none of these studies are conclusive with regard to their individual role in MPTP-induced neurotoxicity.

Degree of sensitivity across mouse strains has been hypothesized to be related to coat color, with the pigmented strains being more sensitive than the albino strains [83, 87, 105, 106]. Although albinism is the result of a lack of melanin pigments, the absence of melanin in mice produced by a point mutation in the gene for tyrosinase, the enzyme that catalyzes the first two steps of melanin synthesis, located on chromosome 7, did not protect the mice from MPTP-induced behavioral deficits, DA depletion in the striatum, and neuron loss in the SNpc [107]. The possibility that the higher sensitivity to MPTP observed in pigmented mice [105, 108] is caused by a susceptibility gene located in the same chromosomal region warrants further investigation.

The emerging picture is that susceptibility to MPTP is mostly a polygenetic trait. The MPTP-sensitive strain, C57BL/6, has been crossbred with two MPTP resistant strains, SWR/J and AKR/J. The authors concluded that neuron loss in SNpc following MPTP treatment was autosomal dominant and the polymorphism was carried on the C57BL/6 allele [80]. In contrast, the crossbreeding of an MPTP-sensitive strain (C57BL/6) with a MPTP resistant strain (BALB/c) resulted in a generation of mice that were highly resistant to the toxic effects of MPTP. These results can best be explained by the action of a recessive susceptibility allele in the C57BL/6 genome [85]. Due to the fact that it is highly unlikely that the loci involved in the two studies are the same, the results, taken together, point to the involvement of multiple genes controlling the degree of MPTP toxicity. These findings raise the interesting possibility that sensitivity to MPTP could be controlled by interactions between multiple susceptibility and resistance alleles.

**Advantages and Caveats of MPTP Mouse Models**

A primary assumption in using the MPTP mouse model is that it faithfully reproduces the naturally occurring neurodegeneration. Certainly, MPP+ is a potent complex I inhibitor in mouse midbrains and the midbrains of PD patients [109]. Moreover, the loss of DA in the
striatum depends on the death of DAergic neurons and the degeneration of their axons. Thus, striatal DA loss should reflect the loss of SN DAergic cells as it does in PD patients. In addition, DAergic cell loss in the mouse midbrain follows a similar topographic pattern as seen in human PD. That is, neuronal loss is concentrated in the ventral tier and lateral SNpc neurons as well as in posterior regions, sparing the more anterior and medial cells [110]. But the jury is still out as to whether it faithfully recapitulates PD [111].

The mouse model is useful for studying mitochondrial dysfunction in Parkinsonism [16, 112, 113]. Since PD, like other neurodegenerative diseases, is by nature slow and progressive, the model should mimic the disease course, including the behavioral features of the disease. However, with acute and subchonic MPTP treatments, DAergic neurons die quickly and there is little progression in the loss of nigrostriatal DA [114]. The more progressive MPTP treatments include chronic administration of the toxin over weeks (e.g. MPTP plus probenecid [MPTP/P] model)[114], is more progressive, with neurons continuing to die after completion of toxin administration. The pattern of DAergic terminal loss in the striatum, however, does seem to replicate that of PD with most methods of MPTP delivery [110]. In addition, extra-nigral pathology has been demonstrated in reduced levels of monoamines other than DA [115].

The earliest use of the toxin employed a regimen of repeated injections of 20 mg/kg over 2 days at 2 hour intervals or 10 mg/kg over 1 day at 1 hour intervals [9]. In general, MPTP rapidly kills DAergic neurons at first (as visualized by tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) immunoreactivity (Table 1)), but can, over time, continue to cause cell death [116]. It is well known that MPTP protocols in mice deliver dramatically different results depending upon the timing used for the regimen. MPTP is generally delivered systemically by repeated i.p. or s.c. injections – i.v. injections are no longer used. If injections are closely spaced (over a single day), the effects on DAergic neurons can be additive (Table 1). This acute regimen leads to a 40-50% loss of midbrain DAergic neurons [81, 114]. Neurons do not appear to die by apoptosis [19] and inclusions bodies have not been found in the remaining DAergic neurons [117]. However, mouse mortality can be 50% or more [115]. If the administration is spread out over multiple days (once or twice daily injections over 5-10 days; subchronic or subacute regimen), the toxin appears to be excreted more efficiently (during the first 3-12 hours) and the SN of mice do not lose as many DAergic cells [110] (Table 1). The subchronic regimen induces apoptosis [118]. In addition, no inclusions are formed in the remaining neurons [117, 119]. Mice survive this subchronic (subacute) delivery very well. When the toxin is delivered chronically with a minipump for 28 days, levels of MPP+ remain measurable in the striatum for at least 21 days. There appears to be no mortality associated with this delivery method, although animals had to be hydrated with 0.9% saline during the period of minipump delivery of MPTP. The minipump mode of administration kills up to 75% of SNpc DAergic neurons, reduces striatal metabolites of DA by 85%, and induces the formation of α-synuclein-positive inclusions which appeared as ‘whorls’ in ultrastructural photomicrographs [71] (but see [119], Table 1).

When MPTP is co-administered with probenecid (MPTP/P), which retards the renal and CNS clearance of the toxic metabolites of MPTP, DAergic neurons are lost over a 5-8 week period [111, 110]. There is however some mortality associated with this chronic method. Approximately 15 percent of the mice die unless they are kept well hydrated (Meredith, personal observation). Cell death at first involves apoptosis but later, neurons seem to die through other mechanisms [41, 120]. Within a week after this regimen, approximately 50% of SN DAergic neurons have been lost and by 3 weeks post-treatment, up to 70% neurons have died, and a greater than 90% reduction in striatal DA levels is observed (Table 1). The SN neuron loss (between 65-70%) and striatal DA loss (76%) can still be demonstrated 6 months later [110]. In addition, α-synuclein-positive inclusions have been detected in the
The nigrostriatal degeneration with MPTP/P therefore appears to be slower than with other models. As noted above, a single dose of MPTP alone causes striatal DA depletion to peak within 12 hours, but with the MPTP/P combination, the striatal DA loss is slowed. Therefore, MPTP/P kills more DA neurons than MPTP alone [110]. This chronic regimen can thus provide a short preclinical ‘window’ following toxin administration for the introduction of neuroprotective strategies.

Certainly, for the single injection, acute and subchronic protocols, the DAergic neurons disappear rapidly and their loss of TH immunoreactivity may not reflect actual cell death, since MPTP dramatically blocks TH gene expression [122]. The TH-immunoreactive neurons begin to ‘reappear’ a few days after toxin administration. Ever since the introduction of unbiased stereology to count TH-immunoreactive neurons stained for Nissl substance at least 7 days following any MPTP treatment, investigators have been able to provide more accurate estimates of DAergic neuronal loss in all MPTP mouse models [114].

A more recent, progressive MPTP model requires repeated injections of escalating doses of MPTP over 4 weeks [123]. The loss of TH-positive neurons is most pronounced following the final, relatively high (32 mg/kg), dose of the toxin. Almost 70% of the neurons are lost after that stage (Table 1). There are also behavioral changes in the open field that appear to be progressive. The mortality was not reported in this study but could be an important factor. Nevertheless, progression in a model with MPTP is an important advance on the more acute models, and compares favorably with the chronic MPTP model, in which mice continue to lose neurons in the SNpc for 3 weeks after the final injection. Thus, it seems that pre-treating mice with the toxin creates a “stage” whereby DAergic neurons become more sensitive [123].

The apparent lack of Lewy body-like inclusions bodies in the SN is true of all MPTP mouse models [64, 117] (Table 1). Minipump delivery reveals ‘whorls’ in the cytoplasm of remaining DAergic neurons [71]. In the chronic MPTP/P model, SN DAergic neurons have α-synuclein-positive inclusions and secondary lysosomes filled with proteinaceous debris and lipid droplets (Fig. 2), the latter of which resemble early deposits in human midbrains of PD patients [64]. When MPTP is delivered i.p. via minipump for 14 days, α-synuclein-positive inclusions are also found in the SN DAergic neurons [119]. However, when the toxin is delivered for 28 days either s.c. or i.p. with a minipump, no inclusions are found [119]. Gibrat and colleagues [119] suggest that such differences in inclusion formation are directly related to the sustained action of MPTP on the mitochondria but they cannot explain why longer toxin infusion did not yield inclusions. Thus, the cytoplasm of DAergic neurons of several models show α-synuclein and ubiquitin-positive deposits [64, 71, 121], which may represent a “pre” Lewy body stage.

An interesting rat model has been introduced using MPP+ infused directly into the brain using an osmotic minipump that delivers the toxin to the left lateral cerebral ventricle [12]. This model is unilateral in order to avoid the moribund condition that arises with extensive bilateral loss of DAergic neurons, as seen with 6-hydroxydopamine lesions. This MPP+ treatment produces a dose-dependent, unilateral loss of striatal DA on the side of the infusion (Table 1). The doses can be varied, but the use of high doses also reduce serotonin levels significantly. One interesting feature of this model is the progressive loss of DA neurons over weeks and the initiation of DA loss in the contralateral striatum. This model may mimic the human condition better than the mouse MPTP models, since unilateral motor deficits are generally encountered in the early stages before bilateral deficits show up, similar to idiopathic disease. Lewy-body-like inclusions have not been identified, but there are proteinaceous inclusion bodies in the striatum that stain positive for α-synuclein. No
behavioral assessments were performed so motor deficits cannot be assessed. While the model is technically challenging, it produces a reliable response with low variation, thus making it appealing for testing neuroprotective strategies during the phase of toxic insult and ongoing degeneration, the stage at which PD patients present with the disease.

There remain a few, important, unresolved issues with mouse MPTP models such as the inflammatory response. Neuroinflammation is a hallmark of idiopathic PD [124]. Activated microglia are known to produce pro-inflammatory molecules such as chemokines, cytokines, NO, and ROS [125-127]. The phagocytic activity is beneficial during neuronal development and injury, but dysregulation or excessive activation, can lead to an increased oxidative burden for neurons. Microglial-induced inflammation can be sustained and progressive [128, 129]. Microgliosis persists for years in humans and non-human primates following an acute exposure to MPTP [128, 130] and has been observed in acute and chronic MPTP mouse models [63, 131, 132], but the relationship to DAergic cell death remains undetermined.

Another unresolved issue is the question as to whether older mice, which are more sensitive to MPTP than young adults, would reveal the Parkinsonian pathophysiology better, and the need to validate the relationship between key neurodegenerative features with results from behavioral tests sensitive enough to measure Parkinsonism in rodents [111]. Certainly, the age of the mouse is an important limiting factor in the effectiveness of MPTP. Older mice are approximately 1-2 fold more sensitive to the toxin [133].

**Behavioral Deficits in MPTP models**

Interpreting rodent behavior as Parkinsonian following DAergic lesions is very challenging [134]. Rodent locomotion is controlled centrally in a different manner than that of primates, including humans, and reports on motor deficits in mice administered MPTP differ widely [111]. The most common behavioral test is the open field, where changes in the time spent moving, rearing, or the distance traveled are measured by breaks in infrared beams that cross the box. Using the open field box, mice show a paucity of movement shortly after toxin administration ceases [84], but these deficits may disappear over time, perhaps due to the diminishing effects of the toxin on peripheral organs [112]. There are, however, a few reports that show that MPTP-treated mice have reduced locomotion and rearing many weeks later [71, 135, 136], but there are also reports of no change in locomotion and even hyperactivity in mice [132, 137-139].

Another popular way of measuring behavior in MPTP-treated mice is with the Rotarod. This automated device provides an end-point measurement that marks the duration the mouse ran or walked on the rod. In order to use the equipment successfully, mice must be trained to stay on the rod at speeds that exceed their normal pace [140, 141]. Once animals are trained, they can be tested following DAergic lesions. While DA depletion can be detected, especially with unilateral lesions [141], the extent of depletion cannot be correlated with the end-point measure, and bilateral MPTP lesions are even more difficult to detect [111] (but see [132]). Moreover, the rod does not detect changes in balance or coordination unless stepping is videotaped [142].

Mice, like other rodents, utilize their forepaws for most “activities of daily living”, and the basal ganglia circuitry define many aspects of forepaw movement but have little impact on hindlimb activity [111]. Thus, tests of forepaw usage will more likely exemplify basal ganglia deficits compared to general tests of movement [111]. Studies have shown that the pole or grid test, both of which focus on forepaw manipulation, can detect even subtle deficits in the DAergic system [84, 111, 143, 144]. Other forepaw tests such as the adhesive removal test, the elevated beam traversal test (missteps in forepaws or hindpaws) and the
stepping test (forepaw test) are all sensitive to a lesion of the nigrostriatal pathway [145, 146]. However, none of the motor deficits are easily correlated with loss of SN neurons, striatal DA levels, or the dose of MPTP [111]. More sophisticated tests are needed to detect the subtleties of motor dysfunction in quadrupedal rodents.

Testing Therapeutic Treatments with the Mouse MPTP models

Traditionally, therapies for symptomatic relief of PD disabling deficits were tested using the 6-hydroxydopamine rat model. However, once the MPTP mouse models were developed, they became increasingly used for testing neuroprotective treatments (Fig. 1). MPTP-treated mice receive a therapeutic treatment either shortly before or after toxin administration. Measures of treatment success often include 1) behavioral tests of the model in the open field, on the rotarod and/or on the grid or traversal beam to measure footfaults; 2) “rescue” of TH-immunoreactive neurons in the SN, and 3) enhanced DA levels in the striatum. MPTP mouse models have been used to test the efficacy of many different types of drugs, including drugs that potentially neuroprotect the DAergic neurons in the midbrain. This review cannot begin to cover the extensive literature in which MPTP mouse models have been employed to test therapeutic approaches to abrogating the pathophysiology of Parkinsonism. The paragraphs below provide selected examples of therapies tested with MPTP-treated mice.

The potential causes of cell death in PD are not known. Among them, oxidative stress, inflammation and excitotoxicity have been suggested as important perpetrators. Free radical generation is increased in PD and mouse MPTP models. A number of drugs are known to scavenge free radicals and are, therefore, thought to protect DA neurons. Several of these drugs have been tested using MPTP models. For example, free radical scavengers have the ability to inhibit peroxide formation following oxidative metabolism of DA [147]. Various MAO-B inhibitors have been tested in mice and found to rescue DAergic neurons following MPTP [76, 148]. Of course, MPTP is converted to MPP+ via MAO-B; thus inhibitors of this enzyme are particularly effective in preventing MPTP toxicity and may not be as relevant for treating idiopathic PD. Nevertheless, MAO-B inhibitors play other roles in regulating free radicals. For example, Selegeline, a MAO-B inhibitor, increases the activity of antioxidant enzymes, such as superoxide dismutase, when administered before MPTP treatment [149]. There are also reports that DA D2 receptor agonists, such as bromocriptine, pergolide, cabergoline, and ropinirole scavenge hydroxyl or nitric oxide radicals [150]. A number of different free radical scavengers have been tested in MPTP models and in PD patients with mixed success.

Many investigators have implicated inflammation in the death of DAergic neurons. As noted above, microglial activation of the SN has been demonstrated in human patients exposed to MPTP and in mouse MPTP models [128, 130]. Activated microglia are thought to contribute to the DAergic neuron demise by releasing toxic compounds including ROS, reactive nitrogen species, and pro-inflammatory cytokines [151-153]. Several studies have used the MPTP mouse model to explore the protective activity of a family of transcription factors, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs), which have anti-inflammatory effects. The activation of PPARs can protect the DAergic neurons when administered prior to MPTP treatment [154]. Another compound, minocycline, exerts anti-inflammatory effects, which are distinct from its antimicrobial actions [155]. Several labs have studied the anti-inflammatory effects in a mouse MPTP model. Du and colleagues [156] showed that minocycline reduced glial-activated iNOS expression and prevented the death of DAergic neurons when administered in advance of MPTP treatment. They also showed that minocycline protect against MPTP toxicity when administered by 4 hours after MPTP treatment [156]. However, the studies of Yang and colleagues [157] using minocycline in the MPTP-treated mouse pointed to an increase in DAergic cell death, even though the drug
was able to inhibit microglial activation. These studies differed in the time of administration and the MPTP protocol, which could account for the different results.

Glutamate excitotoxicity has often been raised as the mechanism of cell death in PD and the NMDA receptor has been considered as the trigger for this toxicity. MPTP exposure in mice triggers numerous cytotoxic changes, especially in the mitochondria, which may ultimately lead to DA depletion and cell death, which can occur within a few hours. The DAergic neurons have reduced levels of bioenergy due to compromise of mitochondrial respiration, raising the question whether neurons are able to cope with even low levels of glutamate stimulation and subsequent Ca\(^{2+}\) influx. Numerous studies have examined whether NMDA antagonists in MPTP-treated mice could protect the DAergic neurons against glutamate toxicity but results have generally been disappointing [158-162]. Blocking the NMDA receptor has not been able to prevent degeneration in MPTP models, but antagonists have transiently protected the striatum from DA depletion [158]. Nevertheless, extracellular glutamate levels are significantly elevated following chronic MPTP treatment [42], suggesting further that glutamate may be important in neurodegeneration in PD. It is well known that adult SN DAergic neurons are Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent pacemakers, a process that is driven primarily by L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels [163-165]. Thus, already compromised neurons may be subject to sustained high levels of cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) through glutamate stimulation. Calcium further enhances free radical production and could be important in DAergic death. In MPTP models, these L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels have been blocked to decrease the amount of stress on the neurons and, therefore, provide neuroprotection [103, 144]. Such an approach appears to protect the DAergic neurons better than a blockade of the NMDA receptors, suggesting that Ca\(^{2+}\) influx through ion channels is a key factor in triggering DAergic cell death.

Conclusions

The mouse MPTP model has been an important tool for understanding PD, despite the fact that this model does not fully recapitulate the broad spectrum of PD symptoms. Chronic or continuous treatment with MPTP produces a barrage of insults to SNpc DAergic neurons including ATP deprivation, oxidative stress, activation of the mitochondrial apoptotic cascade, excitotoxicity, inflammation, dysregulation of the UPS, and the formation of inclusion bodies. The effects of this barrage of insults, acting in isolation and in combination, ultimately leads to the demise of SNpc DAergic neurons, sometimes in a progressive manner. Research on strain differences has revealed that sensitivity to MPTP is likely controlled by an interaction between multiple susceptibility and resistance alleles [80, 85]. This is an important line of research since the identification of the genes that confer susceptibility and resistance to MPTP will lead to new therapeutic targets. No model is perfect, however. The fact that rodents have a behavioral repertoire that preclude replication of many typical PD motor deficits is a disadvantage for these rodent models [111]. Nevertheless, the use of the MPTP mouse model has resulted in a better understanding of the causes of cell death, the role of mitochondria in the disease, and the exploration of neuroprotection and neurorestorative strategies. Moreover, there have been important advances in the development of MPTP models that more faithfully reproduce a PD-like disease progression. For example, chronic MPTP models provide a short preclinical ‘window’ following toxin administration for the introduction of neuroprotective strategies [41, 63, 78, 110, 121] and i.c.v. strategies produce a progressive neuron loss [12].
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Figure 1.
Diagrams indicating estimates of total number of papers produced involving (A) MPTP and (B) MPTP administered only to mice.
Figure 2.
Electron micrographs illustrating proteinaceous and lipid inclusions in MPTP/P-treated mice. (A) Secondary lysosomes with parallel membranes and lipid droplet (asterisk). (B) Lipid droplets (asterisk) among proteinaceous deposits (arrow).
Table 1
Mouse model features that recapitulate PD. General definitions of the models appear below*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Toxin</th>
<th>Time to greatest DA cell loss</th>
<th>Striatal DA loss</th>
<th>DAergic cell loss in SN</th>
<th>Inclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single dose MPTP (30 mg/kg in mice)</td>
<td>12 hours</td>
<td>&gt;80% loss of DA after 1 day and &gt;40% loss after 30 days</td>
<td>20-30%</td>
<td>Not examined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPTP, acute <em>(mice)</em></td>
<td>12 hours</td>
<td>&gt;90% loss of DA 7 days after treatment</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPTP, subchronic <em>(subacute)</em> in mice</td>
<td>12 hours</td>
<td>53% loss of DA 30 days after treatment</td>
<td>24-40%</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPTP/P, chronic <em>(mice)</em></td>
<td>3 weeks post-treatment</td>
<td>95-98% loss of DA 3 weeks after treatment; 76% loss after 6 months</td>
<td>50% post-treatment and 70% 3 weeks post-treatment</td>
<td>Proteinaceous and lipid inclusions in secondary lysosomes that are α-synuclein-positive but do not resemble Lewy bodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPTP, chronic with escalating doses in mice</td>
<td>At the end of treatment (4 weeks)</td>
<td>Dose-dependent loss of DA; greater than 70% loss of DA with the two highest doses only</td>
<td>24% loss after 1 week and 62% after 4 weeks following the highest dose</td>
<td>Not examined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPP+, chronic pump in rats</td>
<td>21 days</td>
<td>85% loss of DA metabolites in dorsal striatum</td>
<td>75-80%</td>
<td>α-synuclein-positive inclusions that do not resemble Lewy bodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPP+, chronic pump in rats</td>
<td>42 days or longer</td>
<td>Dose-dependent loss of DA</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>No inclusions in DA neurons</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* MPTP, acute – 4 injections of MPTP in one 24 h period, 2 hours apart; injections are generally 20-30 mg/kg

* MPTP, subchronic (subacute) – daily injections over 5 – 10 days. The toxin injected varies from 15mg/kg to 30mg/kg

* MPTP, chronic –
  - MPTP/P model, 25 mg/kg injected every 3.5 days for 5 weeks;
  - Minipump delivery is continuous, either s.c. or i.p.; 23 or 46 mg/kg/day for 14 days or 46 mg/kg/day for 28 days;
  - Escalating dose model included daily injections for 5 days, with the weekend off for 4 weeks. The injected doses were scaled up over time from 4 mg/kg to 32 mg/kg