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ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus

- Physical exercise has beneficial effects on cardiovascular risk factors, however, the knowledge about the effect of exercise intensity, specifically walking speed, on cardiovascular risk factors is limited.
- We report the relation between walking speed and changes in cardiovascular risk factors in participants of a 12-day walking tour to Santiago de Compostela.

Key messages

- In subjects walking a 12-day walking tour to Santiago de Compostela, with long daily stages:
  - Walking the same distance with higher walking speed was related to a higher increase in HDL-cholesterol, while walking with lower walking speed was related to a larger decreases in LDL-cholesterol and total cholesterol
  - There was no relation between walking speed and changes in weight, waist circumference, blood pressure, triglycerides or glucose.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- All subjects walked the same overall distance, walking speed was measured, and measurements of cardiovascular risk factors were conducted every other day.
- This is a small study with 29 participants walking 281 km in 12 days. Whether the results of this study can be extrapolated to less exercise, and other types of exercise is not known.
ABSTRACT

Objectives: Physical exercise has beneficial effects on cardiovascular risk factors. Knowledge about the effect of exercise intensity, specifically walking speed, on cardiovascular risk factors is limited. We report the relation between walking speed and changes in cardiovascular risk factors in participants of a 12-day walking tour to Santiago de Compostela.

Design: Prospective cohort study

Setting: Single-centre study with healthy middle-aged volunteers.

Participants: Healthy middle-aged men (n=15) and women (n=14). Subjects using lipid-lowering medication were excluded.

Intervention: Participants walked 281±10 km of the classical route to Santiago de Compostela, in 12 days in 2009.

Primary and secondary outcome measures: Walking speed was recorded and blood pressure, weight, waist circumference, lipids and glucose were measured every other day. Changes in risk factors were compared between gender-pooled groups with high and low walking speed. Secondly, the relation between walking speed and changes in risk factors was quantified using a linear mixed effects model.

Results: In the high speed (4.6±0.2 km/h) group HDL-c increased more than in the low speed (4.1±0.2 km/h) group (difference in change between groups: 0.20; 95%CI -0.02-0.42 mmol/L) while LDL-c and total cholesterol decreased more in the low speed group (differences in changes between groups: LDL-c: -0.50; 95%CI -0.88--0.12 mmol/L and total cholesterol: -0.75; 95%CI -1.19--0.31 mmol/L). A 1 km/h higher walking speed was related to an increase in HDL-c (0.24; 95%CI 0.12-0.30 mmol/L), LDL-c (0.18; 95%CI -0.16-0.42 mmol/L) and total cholesterol (0.36; 95%CI 0.12-0.60 mmol/L), adjusted for age, gender, smoking, BMI and heart rate, during the whole walking tour.

Conclusions: Walking the same distance faster improves HDL-c more, while LDL-c and total cholesterol decrease more with lower walking speed in healthy middle-aged subjects.
INTRODUCTION

Exercise has an inverse dose-response relation with all-cause mortality and is related to a lower risk of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes.[1,2] An important part of these long-term beneficial effects of exercise is caused by improvement of classical cardiovascular risk factors as physical activity lowers body weight, lowers blood pressure, decreases insulin resistance and glucose intolerance, lowers plasma triglycerides and increases high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c).[3] For these reasons, physical exercise is widely recommended in guidelines for treatment and prevention of cardiovascular diseases.[4-6] Guidelines recommend a minimum weekly physical activity equal to 150 minutes of brisk walking, however, it is not specified at what intensity this exercise should be preferably conducted.[4-6] Brisk walking or shorter periods of exercise at a higher intensity (for example running) are considered equally effective.[4-6] However, the results from studies evaluating the effects of exercise intensity on cardiovascular risk factors are conflicting. Several randomised clinical trials report no differences between various intensities of exercise and conclude that the total amount of exercise is more important than exercise intensity.[7-10] Other studies conclude that exercise at a higher intensity results in more beneficial changes in cardiovascular risk factors compared to exercise at a lower intensity,[11-15] although not all studies adequately control for differences in the total amount of exercise.[12,13] Walking is one of the most accessible forms of physical exercise and is, together with gardening, the major component of leisure time physical activity.[16] Walking speed is an easy parameter to express exercise intensity and can be measured outside a laboratory with limited resources. Results from large epidemiologic studies show a relation between increased walking speed and a decreased risk for cardiovascular disease and diabetes.[17-20] However, in these studies the walking speed was not measured, but assessed using questionnaires where study participants estimated their usual walking speed in broad categories such as ‘easy’, ‘average’ or ‘brisk’. Furthermore, these studies did not evaluate the effects of walking speed on cardiovascular risk factors.
In the Santiago study, 29 healthy, middle-aged men and women walked an equal distance consisting of 281 km at their own individual preferred speed during 12 days in Spain.[21] Marked inter-individual differences in changes in cardiovascular risk factors were observed, predominantly in plasma lipids.[21] In the present study, we evaluated the influence of the measured walking speed on changes in plasma lipids, blood pressure, weight, waist circumference and glucose.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Subjects and exercise

Healthy male and female participants between 40-70 years of age were recruited by an announcement in the magazine of the Dutch Saint James Fellowship. The design of the SANTIAGO study is described in detail elsewhere.[21] Briefly, the SANTIAGO study is a non-randomized intervention study on the immediate and longer-term effects of long daily periods of walking on vascular function and cardiovascular risk factors. Participants already intended to walk part of the Santiago de Compostela pilgrimage. Subjects diagnosed with diabetes mellitus, uncontrolled hypertension or a history of cardiovascular disease were excluded, as well as subjects using lipid lowering-medication. The intervention consisted of walking part of the Camino Francés, the classical pilgrimage route to Santiago de Compostela,[22] from June 28th until July 10th 2009, covering 281 kilometers, between Hospital de Órbigo and Santiago de Compostela in Spain. Mean daily walked distance was 23±1 km, mean daily walked time 5.39±0.36 hours and mean steps per day 31,058±2,154. All participants completed the 12-day walking tour. For the present study, the data of the 29 persons (15 males, 14 females) in the intervention group were used. The SANTIAGO study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the UMC Utrecht. All participants gave written informed consent before inclusion.
Measurement of walking speed

All participants used a diary, to record their exact time of departure, time of arrival and resting time and the daily walking time was calculated. Participants walked at their individually preferred speed. All participants carried a pedometer (Digiwalker SW-200, Yamax USA Inc., San Antonio, USA), measuring the number of steps daily. From these data, the walking speed was calculated in km/h by dividing the total distance covered during the study by the total walking time. Walking speed was also expressed in steps/h by dividing the total number of steps by the total walking time.

Measurement of cardiovascular risk factors

Measurements were conducted in Spain, at the start, after arrival and at every other day in between during the walking tour. All measurements were conducted in the fasted state, before the start of the walking distance that day. Measurements included weight, waist circumference and blood pressure. Weight was measured without shoes on the same balance during the whole study. Waist circumference was measured in standing position with a tape measure just above the iliac spine. Blood pressure was calculated as the mean of three recordings in seated position at the arm with the highest value at the baseline visit, using an automated blood pressure device (Omron 705 IT, Hoofddorp, The Netherlands)). Furthermore, blood was obtained with a finger prick, for immediate analysis of total cholesterol, HDL-c, triglycerides and glucose with a portable LDX analyzer (Cholestech Corporation, Hayward, USA). LDL-cholesterol (LDL-c) was calculated.

Data analyses

Continuous variables are expressed as mean±standard deviation (SD) when normal distributed, and as median (interquartile range) in case of skewed distribution. Categorical variables are expressed as percentage (%). To analyze the role of walking speed on the change in cardiovascular risk factors, we
first compared the changes in cardiovascular risk factors between participants walking with high speed and participants walking with low speed. To prevent overrepresentation of male participants in the high speed group, initially men and women were classified separately as walking with high or low speed according to the median speed of their sex. Thereafter, males and females classified as high speed were pooled in the high speed group, and males and females classified as low speed were pooled in the low speed group.

Secondly, a linear mixed effects model was used. In this model, the relation between walking speed and changes in cardiovascular risk factors was adjusted for differences in baseline values of cardiovascular risk factors (using a random intercept) and for changes in cardiovascular risk factors due to the progression of the walking tour (using a fixed time-dependent variable). To investigate the effect of walking speed, an interaction variable of walking speed and progression of the walking tour (represented by the fixed time-dependent variable) was added to the model. The β coefficients with 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) of this interaction terms are reported, denoting the change in the specific risk factor per 2 days which is related to an increase in walking speed of 1 km/h or 1000 steps/h. In model I the unadjusted relation between walking speed and changes in cardiovascular risk factors during the walking tour is presented. In model II, adjustments were made for the potential confounding variables age and gender. In model III additional adjustments were made for current smoking, heart rate at baseline as the best available measure for physical fitness and baseline body mass index (BMI). The main results are based upon this model. In a sensitivity analysis, we additionally adjusted model III for baseline characteristics with large differences between the low and the high speed group: systolic and diastolic blood pressure, HDL-c, LDL-c and triglycerides.

For all analyses SPSS version 15.0.1 was used.
RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

The high speed group consisted of 8 men and 7 women, 60.9±3.5 years old, who walked with an average
speed of 4.6±0.2 km/h, while the low speed group comprised 7 men and 7 women, 58.1±6.6 years old,
with a mean walking speed of 4.1±0.2 km/h (Table 1). Both groups walked a similar overall distance
(284±7 and 278±11 km respectively). At baseline the systolic and diastolic blood pressure
(148±18/87±10 versus 138±8/81±9 mmHg) and heart rate (69±10 versus 63±10 beats/minute) were
higher in the high speed group compared to the low speed group, and BMI was lower (24.2±2.2 versus
27.0±2.7 kg/m²). The baseline lipid profile was more favorable in the high speed group than in the low
speed group (HDL-c 1.45±0.39 versus 1.24±0.36 mmol/L, LDL-c 3.4±0.5 versus 3.7±0.8 mmol/L and
triglycerides 1.1±0.5 versus 1.5±0.9 mmol/L respectively).

Changes in cardiovascular risk factors according to high or low walking speed

The whole study population together showed decreases in weight (-1.4±1.8 kg), waist circumference (-
1.8±2.9 cm), LDL-c (-0.60±0.60 mmol/L), total cholesterol (-0.60±0.70 mmol/L), triglycerides (-
0.39±0.58 mmol/L) and systolic (-9±9 mmHg) and diastolic (-5±4 mmHg) blood pressure during the
walking tour, while HDL-c increased (0.20±0.30 mmol/L).[21] In figure 1A-I the changes in
cardiovascular risk factors for the high and low speed group during the walking period are shown. The
HDL-c in the high speed group increased more than in the low speed group (difference in change
between the groups 0.20; 95%CI -0.02-0.42 mmol/L) (Figure 1A). In the low speed group, the decreases
in LDL-c and total cholesterol were larger than in the high speed group (differences in changes in LDL-
c between the groups -0.50; 95%CI -0.88--0.12 and for total cholesterol -0.75; 95%CI -1.19--0.31)
(figure 1B and 1C). Furthermore, weight decreased more in the low speed group (difference in change
between the groups -1.6; 95%CI -2.9--0.3 kg) (figure 1G). The decreases in blood pressure were larger
in the high speed group compared to the low speed groups, although this difference was not statistically significant (difference in change between the groups -4; 95%CI -11-3 mmHg for systolic and -2; 95%CI -5-1 mmHg for diastolic blood pressure).

The quantitative influence of walking speed on the change in cardiovascular risk factors

A 1 km/h higher walking speed is related to an increase in HDL-c of 0.04 mmol/L (95%CI 0.02-0.05) per 2 days walking (Table 2). For the whole 12-day walking tour the increase in HDL-c related to a 1 km/h higher walking speed is then 6 times 0.04 mmol/L (0.24 mmol/L; 95%CI 0.12-0.30). Furthermore, a 1 km/h higher walking speed is related to an increase in LDL-c of 0.03 (95%CI -0.01-0.07) mmol/L per 2 days walking and for total cholesterol this is 0.06 (95%CI 0.02-0.10) mmol/L per 2 days walking. For the whole walking tour, a 1 km/h higher walking speed is related to a LDL-c increase of 0.18 mmol/L (95%CI -0.16-0.42) and an increase in total cholesterol of 0.36 mmol/L (95%CI 0.12-0.60) mmol/L. Lower or higher walking speed was not related to differences in blood pressure, weight, waist circumference, triglycerides or glucose (Table 2).

Similar analyses were performed with walking speed expressed in steps/hour in stead of km/h, with similar results. A 1000 steps/hour faster walking speed was associated with increases in HDL-c of 0.01 mmol/L (95%CI 0.00-0.02), LDL-c of 0.02 mmol/L (95%CI 0.00-0.04) and total cholesterol of 0.03 mmol/L (95%CI 0.00-0.05) per 2 days of walking (Table 3). Adjusting all analyses for the total walked distance did not change the results, as expected, as differences in total walking distance between subjects were very small. In a sensitivity analysis, we additionally adjusted for baseline values of LDL-c, HDL-c, triglycerides and systolic and diastolic blood pressure, which did not change the results markedly.
DISCUSSION

In the present study, it is shown that walking speed significantly relates to changes in the lipid profile in healthy middle-aged men and women walking 12 days to Santiago de Compostela. A higher walking speed was related to an increase in HDL-c, LDL-c and total cholesterol. Differences in walking speed were not related to changes in blood pressure, weight, waist circumference, triglycerides or glucose. Several well designed randomized controlled trials, controlling for exercise volume, report no effects of exercise intensity on plasma lipoproteins, or on other cardiovascular risk factors.[7-10] These trials describe long-term changes (after 3-8 months) in cardiovascular risk factors and the total weekly amount of exercise is limited (not more than 3 hours or 1000-1200 calories per week).[7-10] The present study describes changes in cardiovascular risk factors during exercise, and the daily amount of exercise in the current study was almost twice the amount of weekly exercise in the trials described above (5.39±0.36 hours daily in the present study).

Possibly, the changes in lipoproteins related to the walking speed described in the current study are present for a limited time span shortly after very large bouts of exercise and are therefore not seen in the studies described above. Other randomized trials report larger decreases in weight, waist circumference and diastolic blood pressure,[13] or larger increases in HDL-c,[12] for higher compared to lower intensity exercise, but these studies did not control for differences in the total amount of exercise, so the reported effects could be due to the higher exercise volume instead of the higher intensity. In the present study all participants walked almost the same distance and in addition we adjusted the analyses for the small differences in total walking distance, which did not change the results.

There is no doubt that physical exercise should be advised to everyone who is capable to exercise, as physical exercise has multiple beneficial health effects.[1-3] Furthermore, more exercise is better, as there is a clear inverse dose-response relation between exercise and all-cause mortality.[2] But should we advise people to walk with high speed or with low speed? In the present study, walking with higher
speed increases HDL-c more, but at the expense of less LDL-c decrease, and walking with lower speed leads to less HDL-c increase but a more profound LDL-c decrease. Does the extra increase in HDL-c related to a higher walking speed outweighs the less decrease in LDL-c? This question cannot be answered with the results of the current study. However, in large prospective cohort studies in the healthy population, an increased walking speed assessed by a questionnaire has been related to a lower risk for coronary heart disease and diabetes, independent of walking volume.[17-20] This finding can lead to the speculation that the extra increase in HDL-c related to a higher walking speed could be more important than the less decrease in LDL-c. However, drawing conclusions from the combined findings of these two completely different types of studies is a step to far.

Several pathophysiological mechanisms can be considered to explain the exercise-induced and intensity-independent changes in LDL-c and HDL-c. Exercise-induced changes in LDL-c may be due to dilution as a result of an increase in plasma volume,[23] a decrease in body weight or a change in body fat distribution,[24] an up-regulated expression of hepatic LDL-receptors,[25] an increased cholesterol transfer from apoA-containing particles (LDL-c, VLDL) to HDL particles,[26] and the use of cholesterol for cellular metabolism and repair due to muscle damage immediately after intense exercise.[23] Exercise-induced HDL-c changes may be explained by the increased acceptance of free cholesterol from peripheral tissues by nascent HDL-particles,[27] increased HDL particle maturation by cholesterol esterification due to increased lecithin:cholesterol acyltransferase (LCAT),[28] increased breakdown of triglyceride-rich particles resulting from an increased lipoprotein lipase activity, leading to uptake of the cholesterol content by HDL-c particles,[29] which could lead to prolonged HDL-particle survival,[30] and finally a decrease in cholesteryl ester transfer protein (CETP) leading to a reduced shift of cholesterol esters from HDL to non-HDL lipoproteins.[31] Which of these mechanisms is responsible for the observed increases in HDL-c and LDL-c related to higher walking speed in the present study is unknown. As the differences between the low and high walking speed groups occurred
rapidly, within several days, and the amount of daily exercise was large, it is conceivable that consumption of cholesterol, from both HDL and LDL particles, for cellular metabolism and cellular repair due to muscle damage contributes to the observed changes. This explanation is more likely than other, more long term metabolic adaptations. The overall duration of exercise could have a higher impact than the small differences in intensity of this exercise on the amount of cholesterol needed for cellular metabolism and repair of muscle damage, leading to less increase in HDL-c and more decrease in LDL-c with longer exercise at a lower walking speed.

A strength of this study is the equal amount of exercise, in this case the total walking distance, for all participants, eliminating this factor as a possible confounder in the relation between walking speed and changes in cardiovascular risk factors. Furthermore, walking speed was measured and not assessed with a questionnaire like in many cohort studies, and the consistent results for walking speed expressed in km/h and steps/h strengthen our findings.

We also acknowledge study limitations. The results of the present study are derived from a small group of subjects walking 281 km in 12 days. Whether the relation between walking speed and the change in lipoproteins can be extrapolated to smaller amounts of exercise and other types of exercise is not known. Secondly, participants walking with low speed were metabolically unhealthier as baseline than subjects walking with high speed. Whether the worse baseline metabolic profile (such as higher BMI) is the cause of the lower walking speed achieved, or the consequence of for example a lower physical fitness which also results in a lower walking speed, is unclear and cannot be determined from the present study. Therefore, we adjusted the mixed linear effect models for baseline differences between the high and low speed groups, which did not change the results. Furthermore, we were not able to adjust for differences in the cardiorespiratory fitness level of the participants, as this was not measured. However, by adjusting for the heart rate at baseline as a proxy for cardiorespiratory fitness and for other variables related to
cardiorespiratory fitness such as age, gender and BMI, residual confounding of cardiorespiratory fitness is less likely.

In conclusion, during a 12-day walking tour to Santiago de Compostela with long daily walking stages, walking the same distance with a higher walking speed was related to a more pronounced increase in HDL-c, but to less decrease in LDL-c and total cholesterol, in healthy middle-aged men and women.

SUMMARY BOX

What this study adds.

In healthy middle-aged male and female subjects walking a 12-dag walking tour with long daily stages:

- A higher walking speed is related to a higher increase in HDL-cholesterol.
- A higher walking speed is related to attenuated decrease in LDL- and total cholesterol.
- Walking speed was not related to changes in blood pressure, weight, waist circumference, triglycerides or glucose.
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Table 1. Baseline characteristics for all participants and according to walking speed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>high speed group (n=15)</th>
<th>low speed group (n=14)</th>
<th>all participants (n=29)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean walking speed (km/h)</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking speed range (km/h)</td>
<td>4.2-5.0</td>
<td>3.8-4.5</td>
<td>3.8-5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of steps/hour</td>
<td>6309 ± 582</td>
<td>5547 ± 437</td>
<td>5941 ± 639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total walking time (hours)</td>
<td>62 ± 3</td>
<td>68 ± 3</td>
<td>65 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total walking distance (km)</td>
<td>284 ± 7</td>
<td>278 ± 11</td>
<td>281 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male subjects</td>
<td>8 (53%)</td>
<td>7 (50%)</td>
<td>15 (52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>60.9 ± 3.5</td>
<td>58.1 ± 6.6</td>
<td>59.5 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking</td>
<td>3 (20%)</td>
<td>2 (14%)</td>
<td>5 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>148 ± 18</td>
<td>138 ± 18</td>
<td>143 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>87 ± 10</td>
<td>81 ± 9</td>
<td>84 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats/minute)</td>
<td>69 ± 10</td>
<td>63 ± 10</td>
<td>66 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.2 ± 2.2</td>
<td>27.0 ± 2.7</td>
<td>25.5 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>88 ± 10</td>
<td>92 ± 11</td>
<td>90 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.39</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.36</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol/HDL-c ratio</td>
<td>3.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>5.0 ± 2.1</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-c/HDL-c ratio</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Baseline characteristics are shown according to walking speed and for all participants together. In order to avoid predominantly male subjects in the high speed group, the high speed group is gender-pooled and consists of the 8 men and 7 women with a walking speed high then the median speed for their gender. BMI= body mass index, LDL= low density lipoprotein, HDL= high density lipoprotein
Table 2. The effect of walking speed in km/h on the changes per 2 days in cardiovascular risk factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HDL-cholesterol</th>
<th>LDL-cholesterol</th>
<th>Total Cholesterol</th>
<th>Triglycerides</th>
<th>Systolic BP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>β (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td><strong>β (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td><strong>β (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td><strong>β (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td><strong>β (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model I</td>
<td>0.03 (0.02 - 0.05)*</td>
<td>0.02 (-0.02 - 0.06)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.01 - 0.09)*</td>
<td>-0.02 (-0.06 - 0.03)</td>
<td>0.03 (-0.74 - 0.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model II</td>
<td>0.04 (0.02 - 0.05)*</td>
<td>0.02 (-0.02 - 0.06)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.01 - 0.10)*</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.06 - 0.03)</td>
<td>-0.07 (-0.84 - 0.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model III</td>
<td>0.04 (0.02 - 0.05)*</td>
<td>0.03 (-0.01 - 0.07)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.02 - 0.10)*</td>
<td>0.00 (-0.05 - 0.04)</td>
<td>-0.07 (-0.85 - 0.70)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Diastolic BP</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Waist circ.</th>
<th>Glucose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>β (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td><strong>β (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td><strong>β (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td><strong>β (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model I</td>
<td>0.01 (-0.43 - 0.45)</td>
<td>0.06 (-0.06 - 0.18)</td>
<td>0.15 (-0.25 - 0.56)</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.05 - 0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model II</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.45 - 0.42)</td>
<td>0.05 (-0.07 - 0.18)</td>
<td>0.07 (-0.33 - 0.47)</td>
<td>-0.02 (-0.06 - 0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model III</td>
<td>-0.03 (-0.47 - 0.41)</td>
<td>0.06 (-0.06 - 0.19)</td>
<td>0.18 (-0.21 - 0.57)</td>
<td>0.00 (-0.04 - 0.04)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The regression coefficient $\beta$ (with 95% confidence interval (95%CI)) denotes the mean change in the risk factor per 2 days which is associated with a 1 km/h higher walking speed. For example, a 1 km/h higher walking speed is associated with an increase in HDL-cholesterol of 0.04 (95%CI 0.02-0.05) mmol/L (Model III) per 2 days, translating to 0.24 (95%CI 0.12-0.30) mmol/L during the whole 12-day walking tour.

Model I= crude

Model II= age and gender

Model III = age, gender, current smoking, BMI and heart rate at baseline

*= p< 0.05, BP= blood pressure, Waist circ.= waist circumference, LDL= low density lipoprotein, HDL= high density lipoprotein.
Table 3. The effect of walking speed in 1000 steps/h on the changes per 2 days in cardiovascular risk factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>HDL-cholesterol</th>
<th>LDL-cholesterol</th>
<th>Total Cholesterol</th>
<th>Triglycerides</th>
<th>Systolic BP</th>
<th>Diastolic BP</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Waist circ.</th>
<th>Glucose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model I</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00 - 0.02)*</td>
<td>0.02 (0.00 - 0.04)*</td>
<td>0.02 (0.00 - 0.05)*</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.03 - 0.01)</td>
<td>-0.41 (-0.81 - -0.01)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model II</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00 - 0.02)*</td>
<td>0.02 (0.00 - 0.04)*</td>
<td>0.02 (0.00 - 0.05)*</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.03 - 0.01)</td>
<td>-0.40 (-0.79 - -0.00)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model III</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00 - 0.02)*</td>
<td>0.02 (0.00 - 0.04)*</td>
<td>0.03 (0.00 - 0.05)*</td>
<td>0.00 (-0.03 - 0.02)</td>
<td>-0.36 (-0.76 - 0.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model I</td>
<td>-0.10 (-0.33 - 0.13)</td>
<td>0.01 (-0.05 - 0.08)</td>
<td>0.09 (-0.12 - 0.30)</td>
<td>0.00 (-0.03 - 0.02)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model II</td>
<td>-0.09 (-0.32 - 0.14)</td>
<td>0.01 (-0.05 - 0.08)</td>
<td>0.09 (-0.12 - 0.30)</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.03 - 0.02)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model III</td>
<td>-0.06 (-0.29 - 0.17)</td>
<td>0.01 (-0.05 - 0.08)</td>
<td>0.12 (-0.08 - 0.32)</td>
<td>0.00 (-0.02 - 0.02)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The regression coefficient β (with 95% confidence interval (95% CI)) denotes the mean change in the risk factor per 2 days which is associated with a 1000 steps/h higher walking speed. For example, a 1000 steps/h higher walking speed is associated with an increase in HDL-cholesterol of 0.01 (95%CI 0.00-0.02) mmol/L (Model III) per 2 days, translating to 0.06 (95%CI 0.00-0.12) mmol/L during the whole 12-day walking tour.

Model I= crude

Model II= age and gender

Model III = age, gender, current smoking, BMI and heart rate at baseline

*= p< 0.05, BP= blood pressure, Waist circ.= waist circumference, LDL= low density lipoprotein, HDL= high density lipoprotein
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Figure 1A-I. Changes in cardiovascular risk factors during the walking tour according to walking speed.

Changes in cardiovascular risk factors from baseline values during the walking tour for the high speed group (●) and the low speed group (□). Measurements were conducted at day 0, and every other day. Data are presented as mean with standard error of the mean.
Figure 1A-I. Changes in cardiovascular risk factors during the walking tour according to walking speed.
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ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus

- Physical exercise has beneficial effects on cardiovascular risk factors; however, the knowledge about the effect of exercise intensity, specifically walking speed, on cardiovascular risk factors is limited.

- We report the relation between walking speed and changes in cardiovascular risk factors in participants of a 12-day walking tour to Santiago de Compostela.

Key messages

- In subjects walking a 12-day walking tour to Santiago de Compostela, with long daily stages:

- Walking the same distance with higher walking speed was related to a higher increase in HDL-cholesterol, while walking with lower walking speed was related to a larger decreases in LDL-cholesterol and total cholesterol, adjusted for age, gender, smoking, BMI and heart rate.

- There was no relation between walking speed and changes in weight, waist circumference, blood pressure, triglycerides or glucose.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- All subjects walked the same overall distance, walking speed was measured, and measurements of cardiovascular risk factors were conducted every other day.

- This is a small study with 29 participants walking 281 km in 12 days. Whether the results of this study can be extrapolated to less exercise, and other types of exercise is not known.
ABSTRACT

Objectives: Physical exercise has beneficial effects on cardiovascular risk factors. Knowledge about the effect of exercise intensity, specifically walking speed, on cardiovascular risk factors is limited. We report the relation between walking speed and changes in cardiovascular risk factors in participants of a 12-day walking tour to Santiago de Compostela.

Design: Prospective cohort study

Setting: Single-centre study with healthy middle-aged volunteers.

Participants: Healthy middle-aged men (n=15) and women (n=14). Subjects using lipid-lowering medication were excluded.

Intervention: Participants walked 281±10 km of the classical route to Santiago de Compostela, in 12 days in 2009.

Primary and secondary outcome measures: Walking speed was recorded and blood pressure, weight, waist circumference, lipids and glucose were measured every other day. Changes in risk factors were compared between gender-pooled groups with high and low walking speed. Secondly, the relation between walking speed and changes in risk factors was quantified using a linear mixed effects model.

Results: In the high speed (4.6±0.2 km/h) group HDL-c increased more than in the low speed (4.1±0.2 km/h) group (difference in change between groups: 0.20; 95%CI -0.02-0.42 mmol/L) while LDL-c and total cholesterol decreased more in the low speed group (differences in changes between groups: LDL-c: -0.50; 95%CI -0.88--0.12 mmol/L and total cholesterol: -0.75; 95%CI -1.19--0.31 mmol/L). A 1 km/h higher walking speed was related to an increase in HDL-c (0.24; 95%CI 0.12-0.30 mmol/L), LDL-c (0.18; 95%CI -0.16-0.42 mmol/L) and total cholesterol (0.36; 95%CI 0.12-0.60 mmol/L), adjusted for age, gender, smoking, BMI and heart rate, during the whole walking tour.

Conclusions: Walking the same distance faster improves HDL-c more, while LDL-c and total cholesterol decrease more with lower walking speed in healthy middle-aged subjects.
INTRODUCTION

Exercise has an inverse dose-response relation with all-cause mortality and is related to a lower risk of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes.[1,2] An important part of these long-term beneficial effects of exercise is caused by improvement of classical cardiovascular risk factors as physical activity lowers body weight, lowers blood pressure, decreases insulin resistance and glucose intolerance, lowers plasma triglycerides and increases high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c).[3] For these reasons, physical exercise is widely recommended in guidelines for treatment and prevention of cardiovascular diseases.[4-6] Guidelines recommend a minimum weekly physical activity equal to 150 minutes of brisk walking, however, it is not specified at what intensity this exercise should be preferably conducted.[4-6] Brisk walking or shorter periods of exercise at a higher intensity (for example running) are considered equally effective.[4-6] However, the results from studies evaluating the effects of exercise intensity on cardiovascular risk factors are conflicting. Several randomised clinical trials report no differences between various intensities of exercise and conclude that the total amount of exercise is more important than exercise intensity.[7-10] Other studies conclude that exercise at a higher intensity results in more beneficial changes in cardiovascular risk factors compared to exercise at a lower intensity,[11-15] although not all studies adequately control for differences in the total amount of exercise.[12,13]

Walking is one of the most accessible forms of physical exercise and is, together with gardening, the major component of leisure time physical activity.[16] Walking speed is an easy parameter to express exercise intensity and can be measured outside a laboratory with limited resources. Results from large epidemiologic studies show a relation between increased walking speed and a decreased risk for cardiovascular disease and diabetes.[17-20] However, in these studies the walking speed was not measured, but assessed using questionnaires where study participants estimated their usual walking speed in broad categories such as ‘easy’, ‘average’ or ‘brisk’. Furthermore, these studies did not evaluate the effects of walking speed on cardiovascular risk factors.
In the Santiago study, 29 healthy, middle-aged men and women walked an equal distance consisting of 281 km at their own individual preferred speed during 12 days in Spain.[21] Marked inter-individual differences in changes in cardiovascular risk factors were observed, predominantly in plasma lipids.[21] In the present study, we evaluated the influence of the measured walking speed on changes in plasma lipids, blood pressure, weight, waist circumference and glucose.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Subjects and exercise

Healthy male and female participants between 40-70 years of age were recruited by an announcement in the magazine of the Dutch Saint James Fellowship. The cohort size of 30 participants was based on a sample size calculation to detect a difference in endothelial function in the original Santiago study [21]. Subjects diagnosed with diabetes mellitus, uncontrolled hypertension or a history of cardiovascular disease were excluded, as well as subjects using lipid lowering-medication. There were 49 subjects responding to the advertisement and applied for participation in the intervention group of the Santiago study. One subject was not eligible because of a history of diabetes mellitus, and 1 subjects was not eligible because of uncontrolled hypertension (systolic blood pressure >170 mmHg). From the remaining 47 eligible subjects, the first 15 males and 15 females were recruited for participation. After signing informed consent form, but before start of the intervention period, 1 female subject ended participation for personal reasons. The design of the SANTIAGO study is described in more detail elsewhere.[21] Briefly, the SANTIAGO study is a non-randomized intervention study on the immediate and longer-term effects of long daily periods of walking on vascular function and cardiovascular risk factors. Participants already intended to walk part of the Santiago de Compostela pilgrimage. Subjects diagnosed with diabetes mellitus, uncontrolled hypertension or a history of cardiovascular disease were
excluded, as well as subjects using lipid lowering medication. The intervention consisted of walking part of the Camino Francés, the classical pilgrimage route to Santiago de Compostela,[22] from June 28th until July 10th 2009, covering 281 kilometers, between Hospital de Órbigo and Santiago de Compostela in Spain. Mean daily walked distance was 23±1 km, mean daily walked time 5.39±0.36 hours and mean steps per day 31,058±2,154. All participants completed the 12-day walking tour. For the present study, the data of the 29 persons (15 males, 14 females) in the intervention group were used. The SANTIAGO study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the UMC Utrecht. All participants gave written informed consent before inclusion.

Measurement of walking speed

All participants used a diary, to record their exact time of departure, time of arrival and resting time and the daily walking time was calculated. Participants walked at their individually preferred speed and were unaware that the effects of their walking speed would become subject of evaluation. Participants walked at their individually preferred speed. All participants carried a pedometer (Digiwalker SW-200, Yamax USA Inc., San Antonio, USA), measuring the number of steps daily. The participants were instructed to wear the pedometer at their belt or waistband at the left or right side of the body. From these data, the walking speed was calculated in km/h by dividing the total distance covered during the study by the total walking time. Walking speed was also expressed in steps/h by dividing the total number of steps by the total walking time.

Measurement of cardiovascular risk factors

Measurements were conducted in Spain, at the start, after arrival and at every other day in between during the walking tour. All measurements were conducted in the fasted state, before the start of the walking distance that day. Measurements included weight, waist circumference and blood pressure.
Weight was measured without shoes on the same balance during the whole study. Waist circumference was measured in standing position with a tape measure just above the iliac spine. Blood pressure was calculated as the mean of three recordings in seated position at the arm with the highest value at the baseline visit, using an automated blood pressure device (Omron 705 IT, Hoofddorp, The Netherlands)). Furthermore, blood was obtained with a finger prick, for immediate analysis of total cholesterol, HDL-c, triglycerides and glucose with a portable LDX analyzer (Cholestech Corporation, Hayward, USA).

LDL-cholesterol (LDL-c) was calculated. **No information about dietary intake at baseline or during the study was obtained. Participants were not instructed on their diet.**

**Data analyses**

Continuous variables are expressed as mean±standard deviation (SD) when normal distributed, and as median (interquartile range) in case of skewed distribution. Categorical variables are expressed as percentage (%). To analyze the role of walking speed on the change in cardiovascular risk factors, we first compared the changes in cardiovascular risk factors between participants walking with high speed and participants walking with low speed. **As there is no generally accepted cut-off point for high or low walking speed, the study population was divided based on median walking speed, which also has the advantage of creating groups of equal size.** To prevent overrepresentation of male participants in the high speed group, initially men and women were classified separately as walking with high or low speed according to the median speed of their sex. Thereafter, males and females classified as high speed were pooled in the high speed group, and males and females classified as low speed were pooled in the low speed group.

Secondly, a linear mixed effects model was used. In this model, the relation between walking speed and changes in cardiovascular risk factors was adjusted for differences in baseline values of cardiovascular
risk factors (using a random intercept) and for changes in cardiovascular risk factors due to the
progression of the walking tour (using a fixed time-dependent variable). To investigate the effect of
walking speed, an interaction variable of walking speed and progression of the walking tour (represented
by the fixed time-dependent variable) was added to the model. The $\beta$ coefficients with 95% confidence
intervals (95%CI) of this interaction terms are reported, denoting the change in the specific risk factor
per 2 days which is related to an increase in walking speed of 1 km/h or 1000 steps/h. In model I the
unadjusted relation between walking speed and changes in cardiovascular risk factors during the
walking tour is presented. In model II, adjustments were made for the potential confounding variables
age and gender. In model III additional adjustments were made for current smoking, heart rate at
baseline as the best available measure for physical fitness and baseline body mass index (BMI). The
main results are based upon this model. In a sensitivity analysis, we additionally adjusted model III for
baseline characteristics with large differences between the low and the high speed group: systolic and
diastolic blood pressure, HDL-c, LDL-c and triglycerides.

For all analyses SPSS version 15.0.1 was used.
RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

The high speed group consisted of 8 men and 7 women, 60.9±3.5 years old, who walked with an average speed of 4.6±0.2 km/h, while the low speed group comprised 7 men and 7 women, 58.1±6.6 years old (p-value for age between groups = 0.17), with a mean walking speed of 4.1±0.2 km/h (p-value for walking speed between groups < 0.01) (Table 1). The median speed of the men (n=8) in the high speed group was 4.62 (IQR 4.57-4.92), of the women in the high speed group (n=7) 4.52 (IQR 4.24-4.62), of the men in the low speed group (n=7) this was 4.23 (IQR 4.01-4.33) and of the women in the low speed group (n=7) this was 4.08 (IQR 3.94-4.10) km/h. Walking speed varied during the 12-day pilgrimage from 4.37 (IQR 4.21-4.80) to 5.01 (IQR 4.78-5.16) in the high speed group, and from 3.77 (IQR 3.50-4.07) to 4.30 (IQR 4.29-4.51) in the low speed group. Both groups walked a similar overall distance (284±7 and 278±11 km respectively, p = 0.13). At baseline the systolic and diastolic blood pressure (148±18/87±10 versus 138±8/81±9 mmHg, p-values respectively 0.16 and 0.11) and heart rate (69±10 versus 63±10 beats/minute, p=0.14) were higher in the high speed group compared to the low speed group, and BMI was lower (24.2±2.2 versus 27.0±2.7 kg/m², p < 0.01). The baseline lipid profile was more favorable in the high speed group than in the low speed group (HDL-c 1.45±0.39 versus 1.24±0.36 mmol/L, p=0.14 LDL-c 3.4±0.5 versus 3.7±0.8 mmol/L, p=0.22, and triglycerides 1.1±0.5 versus 1.5±0.9 mmol/L, p=0.12, respectively).

Changes in cardiovascular risk factors according to high or low walking speed

The whole study population together showed decreases in weight (-1.4±1.8 kg), waist circumference (-1.8±2.9 cm), LDL-c (-0.60±0.60 mmol/L), total cholesterol (-0.60±0.70 mmol/L), triglycerides (-0.39±0.58 mmol/L) and systolic (-9±9 mmHg) and diastolic (-5±4 mmHg) blood pressure during the walking tour, while HDL-c increased (0.20±0.30 mmol/L).[21] Most of these changes were short-lived;
after two months, there was only a significant difference in change of weight (-2.0 kg; 95%CI -3.2 to -0.8) in the participants walking the pilgrimage compared to controls who did not walk the pilgrimage, while there were no differences in changes in the other cardiovascular risk factors between the groups [21]. In figure 1A-I the changes in cardiovascular risk factors for the high and low speed group during the walking period are shown. The HDL-c in the high speed group increased more than in the low speed group (difference in change between the groups 0.20; 95%CI -0.02-0.42 mmol/L) (Figure 1A). In the low speed group, the decreases in LDL-c and total cholesterol were larger than in the high speed group (differences in changes in LDL-c between the groups -0.50; 95%CI -0.88--0.12 and for total cholesterol -0.75; 95%CI -1.19--0.31) (figure 1B and 1C). Furthermore, weight decreased more in the low speed group (difference in change between the groups -1.6; 95%CI -2.9--0.3 kg) (figure 1G). The decreases in blood pressure were larger in the high speed group compared to the low speed groups, although this difference was not statistically significant (difference in change between the groups -4; 95%CI -11-3 mmHg for systolic and -2; 95%CI -5-1 mmHg for diastolic blood pressure).

The quantitative influence of walking speed on the change in cardiovascular risk factors

A 1 km/h higher walking speed is related to an increase in HDL-c of 0.04 mmol/L (95%CI 0.02-0.05) per 2 days walking (Table 2). For the whole 12-day walking tour the increase in HDL-c related to a 1 km/h higher walking speed is then 6 times 0.04 mmol/L (0.24 mmol/L; 95%CI 0.12-0.30). Furthermore, a 1 km/h higher walking speed is related to an increase in LDL-c of 0.03 (95%CI -0.01-0.07) mmol/L per 2 days walking and for total cholesterol this is 0.06 (95%CI 0.02-0.10) mmol/L per 2 days walking. For the whole walking tour, a 1 km/h higher walking speed is related to a LDL-c increase of 0.18 mmol/L (95%CI -0.16-0.42) and an increase in total cholesterol of 0.36 mmol/L (95%CI 0.12-0.60) mmol/L. Lower or higher walking speed was not related to differences in blood pressure, weight, waist circumference, triglycerides or glucose (Table 2).
Similar analyses were performed with walking speed expressed in steps/hour in stead of km/h, with similar results. A 1000 steps/hour faster walking speed was associated with increases in HDL-c of 0.01 mmol/L (95%CI 0.00-0.02), LDL-c of 0.02 mmol/L (95%CI 0.00-0.04) and total cholesterol of 0.03 mmol/L (95%CI 0.00-0.05) per 2 days of walking (Table 3). Adjusting all analyses for the total walked distance did not change the results, as expected, as differences in total walking distance between subjects were very small. In a sensitivity analysis, we additionally adjusted for baseline values of LDL-c, HDL-c, triglycerides and systolic and diastolic blood pressure, which did not change the results markedly.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, it is shown that walking speed significantly relates to changes in the lipid profile in healthy middle-aged men and women walking 12 days to Santiago de Compostela. A higher walking speed was related to an increase in HDL-c, LDL-c and total cholesterol. Differences in walking speed were not related to changes in blood pressure, weight, waist circumference, triglycerides or glucose. Several well designed randomized controlled trials, controlling for exercise volume, report no effects of exercise intensity on plasma lipoproteins, or on other cardiovascular risk factors.[7-10] These trials describe long-term changes (after 3-8 months) in cardiovascular risk factors and the total weekly amount of exercise is limited (not more than 3 hours or 1000-1200 calories per week).[7-10] The present study describes changes in cardiovascular risk factors during exercise, and the daily amount of exercise in the current study was almost twice the amount of weekly exercise in the trials described above (5.39±0.36 hours daily in the present study).

Possibly, the changes in lipoproteins related to the walking speed described in the current study are present for a limited time span shortly after very large bouts of exercise and are therefore not seen in the...
studies described above. Other randomized trials report larger decreases in weight, waist circumference and diastolic blood pressure,[13] or larger increases in HDL-c,[12] for higher compared to lower intensity exercise, but these studies did not control for differences in the total amount of exercise, so the reported effects could be due to the higher exercise volume instead of the higher intensity. In the present study all participants walked almost the same distance and in addition we adjusted the analyses for the small differences in total walking distance, which did not change the results.

There is no doubt that physical exercise should be advised to everyone who is capable to exercise, as physical exercise has multiple beneficial health effects.[1-3] Furthermore, more exercise is better, as there is a clear inverse dose-response relation between exercise and all-cause mortality.[2] But should we advise people to walk with high speed or with low speed? In the present study, walking with higher speed increases HDL-c more, but at the expense of less LDL-c decrease, and walking with lower speed leads to less HDL-c increase but a more profound LDL-c decrease. Does the extra increase in HDL-c related to a higher walking speed outweighs the less decrease in LDL-c? This question cannot be answered with the results of the current study. However, in large prospective cohort studies in the healthy population, an increased walking speed assessed by a questionnaire has been related to a lower risk for coronary heart disease and diabetes, independent of walking volume.[17-20] This finding can lead to the speculation that the extra increase in HDL-c related to a higher walking speed could be more important than the less decrease in LDL-c. However, drawing conclusions from the combined findings of these two completely different types of studies is a step to far.

Several pathophysiological mechanisms can be considered to explain the exercise-induced and intensity-independent changes in LDL-c and HDL-c. Exercise-induced changes in LDL-c may be due to dilution as a result of an increase in plasma volume,[23] a decrease in body weight or a change in body fat distribution,[24] an up-regulated expression of hepatic LDL-receptors,[25] an increased cholesterol transfer from apoA-containing particles (LDL-c, VLDL) to HDL particles,[26] and the use of
cholesterol for cellular metabolism and repair due to muscle damage immediately after intense exercise.[23] Exercise-induced HDL-c changes may be explained by the increased acceptance of free cholesterol from peripheral tissues by nascent HDL-particles,[27] increased HDL particle maturation by cholesterol esterification due to increased lecithin:cholesterol acyltransferase (LCAT),[28] increased breakdown of triglyceride-rich particles resulting from an increased lipoprotein lipase activity, leading to uptake of the cholesterol content by HDL-c particles,[29] which could lead to prolonged HDL-particle survival,[30] and finally a decrease in cholesteryl ester transfer protein (CETP) leading to a reduced shift of cholesterol esters from HDL to non-HDL lipoproteins.[31] Which of these mechanisms is responsible for the observed increases in HDL-c and LDL-c related to higher walking speed in the present study is unknown. As the differences between the low and high walking speed groups occurred rapidly, within several days, and the amount of daily exercise was large, it is conceivable that consumption of cholesterol, from both HDL and LDL particles, for cellular metabolism and cellular repair due to muscle damage contributes to the observed changes. This explanation is more likely than other, more long term metabolic adaptations. The overall duration of exercise could have a higher impact than the small differences in intensity of this exercise on the amount of cholesterol needed for cellular metabolism and repair of muscle damage, leading to less increase in HDL-c and more decrease in LDL-c with longer exercise at a lower walking speed.

Walking a pilgrimage requires a considerable amount of time, a thorough preparation and a good physical and mental health. Our findings can be generalised to healthy middle-aged males and females who satisfy these conditions, and possibly to other types of exercise, consisting of prolonged daily periods of moderate intensity. However, the results of the present study are based on a relatively small group of subjects walking 281 km in 12 days. Therefore, no statistical interaction tests and no subgroup analyses could be performed. Whether the relation between walking speed and the change in lipoproteins can be extrapolated to smaller amounts or other types of exercise is not known. The current
A study reports pragmatic research about exercise in real life, however, more research needs to be done in a controlled lab-based setting in order to fully explore and understand the results of this study.

A strength of this study is the equal amount of exercise, in this case the total walking distance, for all participants, eliminating this factor as a possible confounder in the relation between walking speed and changes in cardiovascular risk factors. Furthermore, walking speed was measured and not assessed with a questionnaire like in many cohort studies, and the consistent results for walking speed expressed in km/h and steps/h strengthen our findings.

We also acknowledge study limitations. The results of the present study are derived from a small group of subjects walking 281 km in 12 days. Whether the relation between walking speed and the change in lipoproteins can be extrapolated to smaller amounts of exercise and other types of exercise is not known. Secondly, participants walking with low speed were metabolically unhealthier as baseline than subjects walking with high speed. Whether the worse baseline metabolic profile (such as higher BMI) is the cause of the lower walking speed achieved, or the consequence of for example a lower physical fitness which also results in a lower walking speed, is unclear and cannot be determined from the present study. Therefore, we adjusted the mixed linear effect models for baseline differences between the high and low speed groups, which did not change the results. Furthermore, we were not able to adjust for differences in the dietary pattern or cardiorespiratory fitness level of the participants, as these variables were not measured. However, by adjusting for the heart rate at baseline as a proxy for cardiorespiratory fitness and for other variables related to cardiorespiratory fitness or unhealthy dietary intake such as age, gender, BMI and smoking, residual confounding of cardiorespiratory fitness or dietary intake is unlikely. Furthermore, we were not able to adjust for differences in the cardiorespiratory fitness level of the participants, as this was not measured. However, by adjusting for the heart rate at baseline as a proxy for cardiorespiratory fitness and for other variables related to cardiorespiratory fitness such as age, gender and BMI, residual confounding of cardiorespiratory fitness is less likely.
In conclusion, during a 12-day walking tour to Santiago de Compostela with long daily walking stages, walking the same distance with a higher walking speed was related to a more pronounced increase in HDL-c, but to less decrease in LDL-c and total cholesterol, in healthy middle-aged men and women.

**SUMMARY BOX**

**What this study adds.**

In healthy middle-aged male and female subjects walking a 12-day walking tour with long daily stages:

- A higher walking speed is related to a higher increase in HDL cholesterol.
- A higher walking speed is related to attenuated decrease in LDL- and total cholesterol.
- Walking speed was not related to changes in blood pressure, weight, waist circumference, triglycerides or glucose.
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Table 1. Baseline characteristics for all participants and according to walking speed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>high speed group (n=15)</th>
<th>low speed group (n=14)</th>
<th>all participants (n=29)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean walking speed (km/h)</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking speed range (km/h)</td>
<td>4.2-5.0</td>
<td>3.8-4.5</td>
<td>3.8-5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of steps/hour</td>
<td>6309 ± 582</td>
<td>5547 ± 437</td>
<td>5941 ± 639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total walking time (hours)</td>
<td>62 ± 3</td>
<td>68 ± 3</td>
<td>65 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total walking distance (km)</td>
<td>284 ± 7</td>
<td>278 ± 11</td>
<td>281 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male subjects</td>
<td>8 (53%)</td>
<td>7 (50%)</td>
<td>15 (52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>60.9 ± 3.5</td>
<td>58.1 ± 6.6</td>
<td>59.5 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking</td>
<td>3 (20%)</td>
<td>2 (14%)</td>
<td>5 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>148 ± 18</td>
<td>138 ± 18</td>
<td>143 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>87 ± 10</td>
<td>81 ± 9</td>
<td>84 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats/minute)</td>
<td>69 ± 10</td>
<td>63 ± 10</td>
<td>66 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.2 ± 2.2</td>
<td>27.0 ± 2.7</td>
<td>25.5 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>88 ± 10</td>
<td>92 ± 11</td>
<td>90 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.39</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.36</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol/HDL-c ratio</td>
<td>3.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>5.0 ± 2.1</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-c/HDL-c ratio</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Baseline characteristics are shown according to walking speed and for all participants together. In order to avoid predominantly male subjects in the high speed group, the high speed group is gender-pooled and consists of the 8 men and 7 women with a walking speed high then the median speed for their gender. BMI= body mass index, LDL= low density lipoprotein, HDL= high density lipoprotein
Table 2. The effect of walking speed in km/h on the changes per 2 days in cardiovascular risk factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HDL-cholesterol</th>
<th>LDL-cholesterol</th>
<th>Total Cholesterol</th>
<th>Triglycerides</th>
<th>Systolic BP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model I</td>
<td>0.03 (0.02 - 0.05)*</td>
<td>0.02 (-0.02 - 0.06)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.01 - 0.09)*</td>
<td>-0.02 (-0.06 - 0.03)</td>
<td>0.03 (-0.74 - 0.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model II</td>
<td>0.04 (0.02 - 0.05)*</td>
<td>0.02 (-0.02 - 0.06)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.01 - 0.10)*</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.06 - 0.03)</td>
<td>-0.07 (-0.84 - 0.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model III</td>
<td>0.04 (0.02 - 0.05)*</td>
<td>0.03 (-0.01 - 0.07)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.02 - 0.10)*</td>
<td>0.00 (-0.05 - 0.04)</td>
<td>-0.07 (-0.85 - 0.70)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Diastolic BP</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Waist circ.</th>
<th>Glucose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model I</td>
<td>0.01 (-0.43 - 0.45)</td>
<td>0.06 (-0.06 - 0.18)</td>
<td>0.15 (-0.25 - 0.56)</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.05 - 0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model II</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.45 - 0.42)</td>
<td>0.05 (-0.07 - 0.18)</td>
<td>0.07 (-0.33 - 0.47)</td>
<td>-0.02 (-0.06 - 0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model III</td>
<td>-0.03 (-0.47 - 0.41)</td>
<td>0.06 (-0.06 - 0.19)</td>
<td>0.18 (-0.21 - 0.57)</td>
<td>0.00 (-0.04 - 0.04)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The regression coefficient β (with 95% confidence interval (95%CI)) denotes the mean change in the risk factor per 2 days which is associated with a 1 km/h higher walking speed. For example, a 1 km/h higher walking speed is associated with an increase in HDL-cholesterol of 0.04 (95%CI 0.02-0.05) mmol/L (Model III) per 2 days, translating to 0.24 (95%CI 0.12-0.30) mmol/L during the whole 12-day walking tour.

Model I= crude

Model II= age and gender

Model III = age, gender, current smoking, BMI and heart rate at baseline

*= p< 0.05, BP= blood pressure, Waist circ.= waist circumference, LDL= low density lipoprotein, HDL= high density lipoprotein.
Table 3. The effect of walking speed in 1000 steps/h on the changes per 2 days in cardiovascular risk factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HDL-cholesterol</th>
<th>LDL-cholesterol</th>
<th>Total Cholesterol</th>
<th>Triglycerides</th>
<th>Systolic BP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model I</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00 - 0.02)*</td>
<td>0.02 (0.00 - 0.04)*</td>
<td>0.02 (0.00 - 0.05)*</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.03 - 0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model II</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00 - 0.02)*</td>
<td>0.02 (0.00 - 0.04)*</td>
<td>0.02 (0.00 - 0.05)*</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.03 - 0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model III</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00 - 0.02)*</td>
<td>0.02 (0.00 - 0.04)*</td>
<td>0.03 (0.00 - 0.05)*</td>
<td>0.00 (-0.03 - 0.02)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diastolic BP</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Waist circ.</th>
<th>Glucose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model I</td>
<td>-0.10 (-0.33 - 0.13)</td>
<td>0.01 (-0.05 - 0.08)</td>
<td>0.09 (-0.12 - 0.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model II</td>
<td>-0.09 (-0.32 - 0.14)</td>
<td>0.01 (-0.05 - 0.08)</td>
<td>0.09 (-0.12 - 0.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model III</td>
<td>-0.06 (-0.29 - 0.17)</td>
<td>0.01 (-0.05 - 0.08)</td>
<td>0.12 (-0.08 - 0.32)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The regression coefficient β (with 95% confidence interval (95%CI)) denotes the mean change in the risk factor per 2 days which is associated with a 1000 steps/h higher walking speed. For example, a 1000 steps/h higher walking speed is associated with an increase in HDL-cholesterol of 0.01 (95%CI 0.00-0.02) mmol/L (Model III) per 2 days, translating to 0.06 (95%CI 0.00-0.12) mmol/L during the whole 12-day walking tour.

Model I= crude

Model II= age and gender

Model III = age, gender, current smoking, BMI and heart rate at baseline

*= p< 0.05, BP= blood pressure, Waist circ.= waist circumference, LDL= low density lipoprotein, HDL= high density lipoprotein
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Figure 1A-I. Changes in cardiovascular risk factors during the walking tour according to walking speed.

Changes in cardiovascular risk factors from baseline values during the walking tour for the high speed group (—●—) and the low speed group (—■—). Measurements were conducted at day 0, and every other day. Data are presented as mean with standard error of the mean.
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ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus

- Physical exercise has beneficial effects on cardiovascular risk factors; however, the knowledge about the effect of exercise intensity, specifically walking speed, on cardiovascular risk factors is limited.
- We report the relation between walking speed and changes in cardiovascular risk factors in participants of a 12-day walking tour to Santiago de Compostela.

Key messages

- In subjects walking a 12-day walking tour to Santiago de Compostela, with long daily stages:
  - Walking the same distance with higher walking speed was related to a higher increase in HDL-cholesterol, while walking with lower walking speed was related to larger decreases in LDL-cholesterol and total cholesterol, adjusted for age, gender, smoking, BMI and heart rate.
  - There was no relation between walking speed and changes in weight, waist circumference, blood pressure, triglycerides or glucose.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- All subjects walked the same overall distance, walking speed was measured, and measurements of cardiovascular risk factors were conducted every other day.
- This is a small study with 29 participants walking 281 km in 12 days. Whether the results of this study can be extrapolated to less exercise, and other types of exercise is not known.
ABSTRACT

Objectives: Physical exercise has beneficial effects on cardiovascular risk factors. Knowledge about the effect of exercise intensity, specifically walking speed, on cardiovascular risk factors is limited. We report the relation between walking speed and changes in cardiovascular risk factors in participants of a 12-day walking tour to Santiago de Compostela.

Design: Prospective cohort study

Setting: Single-centre study with healthy middle-aged volunteers.

Participants: Healthy middle-aged men (n=15) and women (n=14). Subjects using lipid-lowering medication were excluded.

Intervention: Participants walked 281±10 km of the classical route to Santiago de Compostela, in 12 days in 2009.

Primary and secondary outcome measures: Walking speed was recorded and blood pressure, weight, waist circumference, lipids and glucose were measured every other day. Changes in risk factors were compared between gender-pooled groups with faster and slower walking speed. Secondly, the relation between walking speed and changes in risk factors was quantified using a linear mixed effects model.

Results: In the faster walking speed (4.6±0.2 km/h) group HDL-c increased more than in the slower walking speed (4.1±0.2 km/h) group (difference in change between groups: 0.20; 95%CI -0.02-0.42 mmol/L) while LDL-c and total cholesterol decreased more in the slower walking speed group (differences in changes between groups: LDL-c: -0.50; 95%CI -0.88--0.12 mmol/L and total cholesterol: -0.75; 95%CI -1.19--0.31 mmol/L). A 1 km/h higher walking speed was related to an increase in HDL-c (0.24; 95%CI 0.12-0.30 mmol/L), LDL-c (0.18; 95%CI -0.16-0.42 mmol/L) and total cholesterol (0.36; 95%CI 0.12-0.60 mmol/L), adjusted for age, gender, smoking, BMI and heart rate, during the whole walking tour.
Conclusions: Walking the same distance faster improves HDL-c more, while LDL-c and total cholesterol decrease more with lower walking speed independent of changes in body weight, in healthy middle-aged subjects.
INTRODUCTION

Exercise has an inverse dose-response relation with all-cause mortality and is related to a lower risk of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes.[1,2] An important part of these long-term beneficial effects of exercise is caused by improvement of classical cardiovascular risk factors as physical activity lowers body weight, lowers blood pressure, decreases insulin resistance and glucose intolerance, lowers plasma triglycerides and increases high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c).[3] For these reasons, physical exercise is widely recommended in guidelines for treatment and prevention of cardiovascular diseases.[4-6] Guidelines recommend a minimum weekly physical activity equal to 150 minutes of brisk walking, however, it is not specified at what intensity this exercise should be preferably conducted.[4-6] Brisk walking or shorter periods of exercise at a higher intensity (for example running) are considered equally effective.[4-6] However, the results from studies evaluating the effects of exercise intensity on cardiovascular risk factors are conflicting. Several randomised clinical trials report no differences between various intensities of exercise and conclude that the total amount of exercise is more important than exercise intensity.[7-10] Other studies conclude that exercise at a higher intensity results in more beneficial changes in cardiovascular risk factors compared to exercise at a lower intensity,[11-15] although not all studies adequately control for differences in the total amount of exercise.[12,13]

Walking is one of the most accessible forms of physical exercise and is, together with gardening, the major component of leisure time physical activity.[16] Walking speed is an easy parameter to express exercise intensity and can be measured outside a laboratory with limited resources. Results from large epidemiologic studies show a relation between increased walking speed and a decreased risk for cardiovascular disease and diabetes.[17-20] However, in these studies the walking speed was not measured, but assessed using questionnaires where study participants estimated their usual walking speed in broad categories such as ‘easy’, ‘average’ or ‘brisk’. Furthermore, these studies did not evaluate the effects of walking speed on cardiovascular risk factors.
In the Santiago study, 29 healthy, middle-aged men and women walked an equal distance consisting of 281 km at their own individual preferred speed during 12 days in Spain.[21] Marked inter-individual differences in changes in cardiovascular risk factors were observed, predominantly in plasma lipids.[21] In the present study, we evaluated the influence of the measured walking speed on changes in plasma lipids, blood pressure, weight, waist circumference and glucose.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Subjects and exercise

Healthy male and female participants between 40-70 years of age were recruited by an announcement in the magazine of the Dutch Saint James Fellowship. The cohort size of 30 participants was based on a sample size calculation to detect a difference in endothelial function in the original Santiago study [21]. Subjects diagnosed with diabetes mellitus, uncontrolled hypertension or a history of cardiovascular disease were excluded, as well as subjects using lipid lowering-medication. There were 49 subjects responding to the advertisement and applied for participation in the intervention group of the Santiago study. One subject was not eligible because of a history of diabetes mellitus, and 1 subjects was not eligible because of uncontrolled hypertension (systolic blood pressure >170 mmHg). From the remaining 47 eligible subjects, the first 15 males and 15 females were recruited for participation. After signing informed consent form, but before start of the intervention period, 1 female subject ended participation for personal reasons. The design of the SANTIAGO study is described in more detail elsewhere.[21] Briefly, the SANTIAGO study is a non-randomized intervention study on the immediate and longer-term effects of long daily periods of walking on vascular function and cardiovascular risk factors. Participants already intended to walk part of the Santiago de Compostela pilgrimage. The intervention consisted of walking part of the Camino Francés, the classical pilgrimage route to Santiago.
July 10th 2009, covering 281 kilometers, between Hospital de Órbigo and Santiago de Compostela in Spain. Mean daily walked distance was 23±1 km, mean daily walked time 5.39±0.36 hours and mean steps per day 31,058±2,154. All participants completed the 12-day walking tour. For the present study, the data of the 29 persons (15 males, 14 females) in the intervention group were used. The SANTIAGO study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the UMC Utrecht. All participants gave written informed consent before inclusion.

Measurement of walking speed

All participants used a diary, to record their exact time of departure, time of arrival and resting time and the daily walking time was calculated. Participants walked at their individually preferred speed and were unaware that the effects of their walking speed would become subject of evaluation. All participants carried a pedometer (Digiwalker SW-200, Yamax USA Inc., San Antonio, USA), measuring the number of steps daily. The participants were instructed to wear the pedometer at their belt or waistband at the left or right side of the body. From these data, the walking speed was calculated in km/h by dividing the total distance covered during the study by the total walking time without including the resting time. Walking speed was also expressed in steps/h by dividing the total number of steps by the total walking time.

Measurement of cardiovascular risk factors

Measurements were conducted in Spain, at the start, after arrival and at every other day in between during the walking tour. All measurements were conducted in the fasted state, before the start of the walking distance that day. Measurements included weight, waist circumference and blood pressure. Weight was measured without shoes on the same balance during the whole study. Waist circumference was measured in standing position with a tape measure just above the iliac spine. Blood pressure was
calculated as the mean of three recordings in seated position at the arm with the highest value at the baseline visit, using an automated blood pressure device (Omron 705 IT, Hoofddorp, The Netherlands)). Furthermore, blood was obtained with a finger prick, for immediate analysis of total cholesterol, HDL-c, triglycerides and glucose with a portable LDX analyzer (Cholestech Corporation, Hayward, USA). LDL-cholesterol (LDL-c) was calculated. No information about dietary intake at baseline or during the study was obtained. Participants were not instructed on their diet.

Data analyses

Continuous variables are expressed as mean±standard deviation (SD) when normal distributed, and as median (interquartile range) in case of skewed distribution. Categorical variables are expressed as percentage (%). To analyze the role of walking speed on the change in cardiovascular risk factors, we first compared the changes in cardiovascular risk factors between participants walking with faster speed and participants walking with slower speed. As there is no generally accepted cut-off point for faster or slower walking speed, the study population was divided based on median walking speed, which also has the advantage of creating groups of equal size. To prevent overrepresentation of male participants in the high speed group, initially men and women were classified separately as walking with faster or slower speed according to the median speed of their sex. Thereafter, males and females classified as faster walking speed were pooled in the faster walking speed group, and males and females classified as slower walking speed were pooled in the slower walking speed group.

Secondly, a linear mixed effects model was used. In this model, the relation between walking speed and changes in cardiovascular risk factors was adjusted for differences in baseline values of cardiovascular risk factors (using a random intercept) and for changes in cardiovascular risk factors due to the progression of the walking tour (using a fixed time-dependent variable). To investigate the effect of
walking speed, an interaction variable of walking speed and progression of the walking tour (represented by the fixed time-dependent variable) was added to the model. The $\beta$ coefficients with 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) of this interaction terms are reported, denoting the change in the specific risk factor per 2 days which is related to an increase in walking speed of 1 km/h or 1000 steps/h. In model I the unadjusted relation between walking speed and changes in cardiovascular risk factors during the walking tour is presented. In model II, adjustments were made for the potential confounding variables age and gender. In model III additional adjustments were made for current smoking, heart rate at baseline as the best available measure for physical fitness and baseline body mass index (BMI). The main results are based upon this model. We conducted an exploratory analysis with additional adjustment for changes in body weight, to see if changes in body weight during the walking tour were in the causal pathway of the relation between walking speed and changes in blood lipids. In a sensitivity analysis, we additionally adjusted model III for baseline characteristics with large differences between the low and the high speed group: systolic and diastolic blood pressure, HDL-c, LDL-c and triglycerides.

For all analyses SPSS version 15.0.1 was used.
RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

The faster walking speed group consisted of 8 men and 7 women, 60.9±3.5 years old, who walked with an average speed of 4.6±0.2 km/h, while the slower walking speed group comprised 7 men and 7 women, 58.1±6.6 years old (p-value for age between groups = 0.17), with a mean walking speed of 4.1±0.2 km/h (p-value for walking speed between groups < 0.01) (Table 1). The median speed of the men (n=8) in the faster walking speed group was 4.62 (IQR 4.57-4.92), of the women in the faster walking speed group (n=7) 4.52 (IQR 4.24-4.62), of the men in the slower walking speed group (n=7) this was 4.23 (IQR 4.01-4.33) and of the women in the slower walking speed group (n=7) this was 4.08 (IQR 3.94-4.10) km/h. Walking speed varied during the 12-day pilgrimage from 4.37 (IQR 4.21-4.80) to 5.01 (IQR 4.78-5.16) in the faster walking speed group, and from 3.77 (IQR 3.50-4.07) to 4.30 (IQR 4.29-4.51) in the slower walking speed group. Both groups walked a similar overall distance (284±7 and 278±11 km respectively, p = 0.13). At baseline the systolic and diastolic blood pressure (148±18/87±10 versus 138±8/81±9 mmHg, p-values respectively 0.16 and 0.11) and heart rate (69±10 versus 63±10 beats/minute, p=0.14) were higher in the faster walking speed group compared to the slower walking speed group, and BMI was lower (24.2±2.2 versus 27.0±2.7 kg/m², p < 0.01). The baseline lipid profile was more favorable in the faster walking speed group than in the slower walking speed group (HDL-c 1.45±0.39 versus 1.24±0.36 mmol/L, p=0.14 LDL-c 3.4±0.5 versus 3.7±0.8 mmol/L, p=0.22, and triglycerides 1.1±0.5 versus 1.5±0.9 mmol/L, p=0.12, respectively).

Changes in cardiovascular risk factors according to high or low walking speed

The whole study population together showed decreases in weight (-1.4±1.8 kg), waist circumference (-1.8±2.9 cm), LDL-c (-0.60±0.60 mmol/L), total cholesterol (-0.60±0.70 mmol/L), triglycerides (-0.39±0.58 mmol/L) and systolic (-9±9 mmHg) and diastolic (-5±4 mmHg) blood pressure during the
walking tour, while HDL-c increased (0.20±0.30 mmol/L).[21] Most of these changes were short-lived; after two months, there was only a significant difference in change of weight (-2.0 kg; 95% CI -3.2 to -0.8) in the participants walking the pilgrimage compared to controls who did not walk the pilgrimage, while there were no differences in changes in the other cardiovascular risk factors between the groups [21]. In figure 1A-I the changes in cardiovascular risk factors for the faster and slower walking speed group during the walking period are shown. The HDL-c in the faster walking speed group increased more than in the slower walking speed group (difference in change between the groups 0.20; 95% CI -0.02-0.42 mmol/L) (Figure 1A). In the slower walking speed group, the decreases in LDL-c and total cholesterol were larger than in the faster walking speed group (differences in changes in LDL-c between the groups -0.50; 95% CI -0.88--0.12 and for total cholesterol -0.75; 95% CI -1.19--0.31) (figure 1B and 1C). Furthermore, weight decreased more in the slower walking speed group (difference in change between the groups -1.6; 95% CI -2.9--0.3 kg) (figure 1G). The decreases in blood pressure were larger in the faster walking speed group compared to the slower walking speed group, although this difference was not statistically significant (difference in change between the groups -4; 95% CI -11-3 mmHg for systolic and -2; 95%CI -5-1 mmHg for diastolic blood pressure).

The quantitative influence of walking speed on the change in cardiovascular risk factors

A 1 km/h higher walking speed is related to an increase in HDL-c of 0.04 mmol/L (95% CI 0.02-0.05) per 2 days walking (Table 2). For the whole 12-day walking tour the increase in HDL-c related to a 1 km/h higher walking speed is then 6 times 0.04 mmol/L (0.24 mmol/L; 95% CI 0.12-0.30). Furthermore, a 1 km/h higher walking speed is related to an increase in LDL-c of 0.03 (95%CI -0.01-0.07) mmol/L per 2 days walking and for total cholesterol this is 0.06 (95%CI 0.02-0.10) mmol/L per 2 days walking. For the whole walking tour, a 1 km/h higher walking speed is related to a LDL-c increase of 0.18 mmol/L (95%CI -0.16-0.42) and an increase in total cholesterol of 0.36 mmol/L (95%CI 0.12-0.60)
mmol/L. Lower or higher walking speed was not related to differences in blood pressure, weight, waist circumference, triglycerides or glucose (Table 2).

Similar analyses were performed with walking speed expressed in steps/hour in stead of km/h, with similar results. A 1000 steps/hour faster walking speed was associated with increases in HDL-c of 0.01 mmol/L (95%CI 0.00-0.02), LDL-c of 0.02 mmol/L (95%CI 0.00-0.04) and total cholesterol of 0.03 mmol/L (95%CI 0.00-0.05) per 2 days of walking (Table 3). Exploratory adjustment of the relation between walking speed and changes in total cholesterol, LDL-c, HDL-c and triglycerides for changes in body weight did not change the results. Adjusting all analyses for the total walked distance did not change the results, as expected, as differences in total walking distance between subjects were very small. In a sensitivity analysis, we additionally adjusted for baseline values of LDL-c, HDL-c, triglycerides and systolic and diastolic blood pressure, which did not change the results markedly.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, it is shown that walking speed significantly relates to changes in the lipid profile in healthy middle-aged men and women walking 12 days to Santiago de Compostela. A higher walking speed was related to a higher increase in HDL-c and attenuated decrease in LDL-c and total cholesterol, a relation that was not explained by changes in body weight. Differences in walking speed were not related to changes in blood pressure, weight, waist circumference, triglycerides or glucose.

Several well designed randomized controlled trials, controlling for exercise volume, report no effects of exercise intensity on plasma lipoproteins, or on other cardiovascular risk factors.[7-10] These trials describe long-term changes (after 3-8 months) in cardiovascular risk factors and the total weekly amount of exercise is limited (not more than 3 hours or 1000-1200 calories per week).[7-10] The present study describes changes in cardiovascular risk factors during exercise, and the daily amount of exercise in the
current study was almost twice the amount of weekly exercise in the trials described above (5.39±0.36 hours daily in the present study).

Possibly, the changes in lipoproteins related to the walking speed described in the current study are present for a limited time span shortly after very large bouts of exercise and are therefore not seen in the studies described above. Other randomized trials report larger decreases in weight, waist circumference and diastolic blood pressure,[13] or larger increases in HDL-c,[12] for higher compared to lower intensity exercise, but these studies did not control for differences in the total amount of exercise, so the reported effects could be due to the higher exercise volume instead of the higher intensity. In the present study all participants walked almost the same distance and in addition we adjusted the analyses for the small differences in total walking distance, which did not change the results.

There is no doubt that physical exercise should be advised to everyone who is capable to exercise, as physical exercise has multiple beneficial health effects.[1-3] Furthermore, more exercise is better, as there is a clear inverse dose-response relation between exercise and all-cause mortality.[2] However, what walking speed is optimal for improving the lipid profile is not sure. Should we advise people to walk with high speed or with low speed when the goal is improvement of the lipid profile? In the present study, walking with higher speed increases HDL-c more, but at the expense of less LDL-c decrease, and walking with lower speed leads to less HDL-c increase but a more profound LDL-c decrease. Does the extra increase in HDL-c related to a higher walking speed outweighs the less decrease in LDL-c? This question cannot be answered with the results of the current study. In general, the primary lipid target in the prevention and treatment of cardiovascular disease is LDL-c, which is best reached with lower walking speed, according to the results of the present study. However, in large prospective cohort studies in the healthy population, an increased walking speed assessed by a questionnaire has been related to a lower risk for coronary heart disease and diabetes, independent of walking volume.[17-20] This finding can lead to the speculation that the extra increase in HDL-c related to a higher walking
speed could be more important than the less decrease in LDL-c. However, drawing conclusions from the combined findings of these two completely different types of studies is a step to far.

Several physiological mechanisms can be considered to explain the exercise-induced and intensity-independent changes in LDL-c and HDL-c. Exercise-induced changes in LDL-c may be due to dilution as a result of an increase in plasma volume,[23] a decrease in body weight or a change in body fat distribution,[24] an up-regulated expression of hepatic LDL-receptors,[25] an increased cholesterol transfer from apoA-containing particles (LDL-c, VLDL) to HDL particles,[26] and the use of cholesterol for cellular metabolism and repair due to muscle damage immediately after intense exercise.[23] Exercise-induced HDL-c changes may be explained by the increased acceptance of free cholesterol from peripheral tissues by nascent HDL-particles,[27] increased HDL particle maturation by cholesterol esterification due to increased lecithin:cholesterol acyltransferase (LCAT),[28] increased breakdown of triglyceride-rich particles resulting from an increased lipoprotein lipase activity, leading to uptake of the cholesterol content by HDL-c particles,[29] which could lead to prolonged HDL-particle survival,[30] and finally a decrease in cholesteryl ester transfer protein (CETP) leading to a reduced shift of cholesterol esters from HDL to non-HDL lipoproteins.[31] Which of these mechanisms is responsible for the observed increases in HDL-c and LDL-c related to higher walking speed in the present study is unknown. We did not measure (markers of) plasma volume changes, which could possibly be of influence on the results. However, as the reported results are linear during 12 days, and the measurements were conducted early in the morning, more than 12 hours after the ending of the previous walking stage, we believe the influence of changes in plasma volume on the results to be small. Furthermore, we showed in an exploratory analysis that the relation between walking speed and changes in blood lipids were not explained by changes in body weight. As the differences between the slower and faster walking speed groups occurred rapidly, within several days, and the amount of daily exercise was large, it is conceivable that consumption of cholesterol, from both HDL and LDL particles, for
cellular metabolism and cellular repair due to muscle damage contributes to the observed changes. This explanation is more likely than other, more long term metabolic adaptations. The overall duration of exercise could have a higher impact than the small differences in intensity of this exercise on the amount of cholesterol needed for cellular metabolism and repair of muscle damage, leading to less increase in HDL-c and more decrease in LDL-c with longer exercise at a lower walking speed.

Walking a pilgrimage requires a considerable amount of time, a thorough preparation and a good physical and mental health. Our findings can be generalised to healthy middle-aged males and females who satisfy these conditions, and possibly to other types of exercise, consisting of prolonged daily periods of moderate intensity. However, the results of the present study are based on a relatively small group of subjects walking 281 km in 12 days. Therefore, no statistical interaction tests and no subgroup analyses could be performed. Whether the relation between walking speed and the change in lipoproteins can be extrapolated to smaller amounts or other types of exercise is not known. The current study reports pragmatic research about exercise in real life, however, more research needs to be done in a controlled lab-based setting in order to fully explore and understand the results of this study. A strength of this study is the equal amount of exercise, in this case the total walking distance, for all participants, eliminating this factor as a possible confounder in the relation between walking speed and changes in cardiovascular risk factors. Furthermore, walking speed was measured and not assessed with a questionnaire like in many cohort studies, and the consistent results for walking speed expressed in km/h and steps/h strengthen our findings.

We also acknowledge study limitations. Participants walking with slower speed were metabolically unhealthier as baseline than subjects walking with faster speed. Whether the worse baseline metabolic profile (such as higher BMI) is the cause of the slower walking speed achieved, or the consequence of for example a lower physical fitness which also results in a slower walking speed, is unclear and cannot be determined from the present study. Therefore, we adjusted the mixed linear effect models for baseline
differences between the faster and slower walking speed groups, which did not change the results. Furthermore, we were not able to adjust for differences in the dietary pattern or cardiorespiratory fitness level of the participants, as these variables were not measured. However, by adjusting for the heart rate at baseline as a proxy for cardiorespiratory fitness and for other variables related to cardiorespiratory fitness or unhealthy dietary intake such as age, gender, BMI and smoking, residual confounding of cardiorespiratory fitness or dietary intake is unlikely.

In conclusion, during a 12-day walking tour to Santiago de Compostela with long daily walking stages, walking the same distance with a higher walking speed was related to a more pronounced increase in HDL-c, but to less decrease in LDL-c and total cholesterol, independent of changes in body weight, in healthy middle-aged men and women.
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Table 1. Baseline characteristics for all participants and according to walking speed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>faster walking speed group (n=15)</th>
<th>slower walking speed group (n=14)</th>
<th>all participants (n=29)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean walking speed (km/h)</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking speed range (km/h)</td>
<td>4.2-5.0</td>
<td>3.8-4.5</td>
<td>3.8-5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of steps/hour</td>
<td>6309 ± 582</td>
<td>5547 ± 437</td>
<td>5941 ± 639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total walking time (hours)</td>
<td>62 ± 3</td>
<td>68 ± 3</td>
<td>65 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total walking distance (km)</td>
<td>284 ± 7</td>
<td>278 ± 11</td>
<td>281 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male subjects</td>
<td>8 (53%)</td>
<td>7 (50%)</td>
<td>15 (52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>60.9 ± 3.5</td>
<td>58.1 ± 6.6</td>
<td>59.5 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking</td>
<td>3 (20%)</td>
<td>2 (14%)</td>
<td>5 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>148 ± 18</td>
<td>138 ± 18</td>
<td>143 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>87 ± 10</td>
<td>81 ± 9</td>
<td>84 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats/minute)</td>
<td>69 ± 10</td>
<td>63 ± 10</td>
<td>66 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.2 ± 2.2</td>
<td>27.0 ± 2.7</td>
<td>25.5 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>88 ± 10</td>
<td>92 ± 11</td>
<td>90 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.39</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.36</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol/HDL-c ratio</td>
<td>3.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>5.0 ± 2.1</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-c/HDL-c ratio</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Baseline characteristics are shown according to walking speed and for all participants together. In order to avoid predominantly male subjects in the faster walking speed group, the faster walking speed group is gender-pooled and consists of the 8 men and 7 women with a walking speed higher then the median.
speed for their gender. BMI = body mass index, LDL = low density lipoprotein, HDL = high density lipoprotein
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>β (95% CI)</th>
<th>β (95% CI)</th>
<th>β (95% CI)</th>
<th>β (95% CI)</th>
<th>β (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol</td>
<td>0.03 (0.02 - 0.05)*</td>
<td>0.02 (-0.02 - 0.06)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.01 - 0.09)*</td>
<td>-0.02 (-0.06 - 0.03)</td>
<td>0.03 (-0.74 - 0.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-cholesterol</td>
<td>0.04 (0.02 - 0.05)*</td>
<td>0.02 (-0.02 - 0.06)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.01 - 0.10)*</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.06 - 0.03)</td>
<td>-0.07 (-0.84 - 0.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cholesterol</td>
<td>0.04 (0.02 - 0.05)*</td>
<td>0.03 (-0.01 - 0.07)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.02 - 0.10)*</td>
<td>0.00 (-0.05 - 0.04)</td>
<td>-0.07 (-0.85 - 0.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP</td>
<td>0.01 (-0.43 - 0.45)</td>
<td>0.06 (-0.06 - 0.18)</td>
<td>0.15 (-0.25 - 0.56)</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.05 - 0.03)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circ.</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.45 - 0.42)</td>
<td>0.05 (-0.07 - 0.18)</td>
<td>0.07 (-0.33 - 0.47)</td>
<td>-0.02 (-0.06 - 0.02)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>-0.03 (-0.47 - 0.41)</td>
<td>0.06 (-0.06 - 0.19)</td>
<td>0.18 (-0.21 - 0.57)</td>
<td>0.00 (-0.04 - 0.04)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The regression coefficient β (with 95% confidence interval (95%CI)) denotes the mean change in the risk factor per 2 days which is associated with a 1 km/h higher walking speed. For example, a 1 km/h higher walking speed is associated with an increase in HDL-cholesterol of 0.04 (95%CI 0.02-0.05) mmol/L (Model III) per 2 days, translating to 0.24 (95%CI 0.12-0.30) mmol/L during the whole 12-day walking tour.

Model I= crude

Model II= age and gender

Model III = age, gender, current smoking, BMI and heart rate at baseline

*= p< 0.05, BP= blood pressure, Waist circ.= waist circumference, LDL= low density lipoprotein, HDL= high density lipoprotein.
Table 3. The effect of walking speed in 1000 steps/h on the changes per 2 days in cardiovascular risk factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HDL-cholesterol</th>
<th>LDL-cholesterol</th>
<th>Total Cholesterol</th>
<th>Triglycerides</th>
<th>Systolic BP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model I</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00 - 0.02)*</td>
<td>0.02 (0.00 - 0.04)*</td>
<td>0.02 (0.00 - 0.05)*</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.03 - 0.01)</td>
<td>-0.41 (-0.81 - -0.01)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model II</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00 - 0.02)*</td>
<td>0.02 (0.00 - 0.04)*</td>
<td>0.02 (0.00 - 0.05)*</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.03 - 0.01)</td>
<td>-0.40 (-0.79 - -0.00)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model III</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00 - 0.02)*</td>
<td>0.02 (0.00 - 0.04)*</td>
<td>0.03 (0.00 - 0.05)*</td>
<td>0.00 (-0.03 - 0.02)</td>
<td>-0.36 (-0.76 - 0.04)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Diastolic BP</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Waist circ.</th>
<th>Glucose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model I</td>
<td>-0.10 (-0.33 - 0.13)</td>
<td>0.01 (-0.05 - 0.08)</td>
<td>0.09 (-0.12 - 0.30)</td>
<td>0.00 (-0.03 - 0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model II</td>
<td>-0.09 (-0.32 - 0.14)</td>
<td>0.01 (-0.05 - 0.08)</td>
<td>0.09 (-0.12 - 0.30)</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.03 - 0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model III</td>
<td>-0.06 (-0.29 - 0.17)</td>
<td>0.01 (-0.05 - 0.08)</td>
<td>0.12 (-0.08 - 0.32)</td>
<td>0.00 (-0.02 - 0.02)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The regression coefficient β (with 95% confidence interval (95%CI)) denotes the mean change in the risk factor per 2 days which is associated with a 1000 steps/h higher walking speed. For example, a 1000 steps/h higher walking speed is associated with an increase in HDL-cholesterol of 0.01 (95%CI 0.00-0.02) mmol/L (Model III) per 2 days, translating to 0.06 (95%CI 0.00-0.12) mmol/L during the whole 12-day walking tour.

Model I= crude

Model II= age and gender

Model III = age, gender, current smoking, BMI and heart rate at baseline

*= p< 0.05, BP= blood pressure, Waist circ.= waist circumference, LDL= low density lipoprotein, HDL= high density lipoprotein
FIGURE LEGEND

Figure 1A-I. Changes in cardiovascular risk factors during the walking tour according to walking speed.

Changes in cardiovascular risk factors from baseline values during the walking tour for the faster walking speed group (●) and the slower walking speed group (■). Measurements were conducted at day 0, and every other day. Data are presented as mean with standard error of the mean.
Figure 1A-I. Changes in cardiovascular risk factors during the walking tour according to walking speed.
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