Adherence challenges in the management of type 1 diabetes in adolescents: prevention and intervention
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Abstract

Purpose of review—Despite the availability of effective therapies, adolescents with type 1 diabetes demonstrate poorer adherence to treatment regimens compared with other pediatric age groups. Nonadherence is tightly linked to suboptimal glycemic control, increasing morbidity, and risk for premature mortality. This article will review barriers to adherence and discuss interventions that have shown promise in improving outcomes for this population.

Recent findings—Adolescents face numerous obstacles to adherence, including developmental behaviors, flux in family dynamics, and perceived social pressures, which compound the relative insulin resistance brought on by pubertal physiology. Some successful interventions have relied on encouraging nonjudgmental family support in the daily tasks of blood glucose monitoring and insulin administration. Other interventions overcome these barriers through the use of motivational interviewing and problem-solving techniques, flexibility in dietary recommendations, and extending provider outreach and support with technology.

Summary—Effective interventions build on teens’ internal and external supports (family, technology, and internal motivation) in order to simplify their management of diabetes and provide opportunities for the teens to share the burdens of care. Although such strategies help to minimize the demands placed upon teens with diabetes, suboptimal glycemic control will likely persist for the majority of adolescents until technological breakthroughs allow for automated insulin delivery in closed loop systems.
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Introduction

Type 1 diabetes (T1D) is the second most common chronic illness in teenagers, trailing only asthma. The morbidity and premature mortality associated with diabetes is a major source of suffering [1] and medical expenditures, with diabetes affecting approximately 9% of the US population and accounting for US $174 billion in costs annually [2]. Effective therapies are available but require balancing insulin dosing, diet and exercise along with frequent feedback from blood glucose monitoring results. Thus, implementation of and consistent
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adherence to such a complex and demanding treatment regimen challenges even the most motivated adolescent. The spontaneity and sense of immortality and exceptionalism that are hallmarks of the teen years are counter to effective diabetes management. Nonetheless, increased adherence to diabetes management favorably impacts glycemic control [3••] and, in turn, lower hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) levels reduce the risk for diabetes complications [1]. In addition to the complexity of treatment and repeated interruptions to a teen’s life, adherence is further complicated by the disincentives of painful needlesticks required for blood glucose monitoring and the nuisance of carrying or wearing insulin administration devices. Despite advances in technology that ease insulin delivery with pens or pumps, adherence to diabetes regimens is often problematic for patients of all ages, but most difficult for adolescents [4].

Teens have difficulty achieving and maintaining target glycemic control as a number of factors converge (see Fig. 1). These include heightened concerns about social context and peers, premature shift in responsibility for management from parents to teens, developmental inclination towards risk taking, incomplete knowledge and understanding of treatment regimens and future health risks, fatigue from care of a chronic illness (‘diabetes burnout’), and physiological changes that lead to greater insulin resistance during puberty [5]. In addition, adherence will likely grow more difficult as providers intensify regimens to improve glycemic control for better outcomes with the inadvertent result of increasing burden and reducing health-promoting behaviors [6,7].

In this review, we will first examine barriers to adolescent adherence and then discuss recent attempts to address these problems through promising work in the field. The unifying themes of successful interventions include persistence, expanding the number of teen supports (professional and family), and ongoing psychoeducational tools to motivate behavior changes in daily life that favor adherence and reduce the daily burdens placed upon teens. These consist mostly of behavioral programs for patients and family members as well as technological innovations, which seek to remind and inspire patients to follow through with their treatment regimens.

**Barriers**

The following represent a sampling of the obstacles teens face with regard to adherence behaviors.

**Peer influences/social context**

The data looking at the role of friends on diabetes adherence are mixed. Peer influence may have no impact on adherence [8,9] as well as a positive impact on behaviors such as increased blood sugar monitoring [10] and dietary adherence [11]. Hains *et al.* [12] found that many adolescents mistakenly believed that friends would have negative reactions to their diabetes, even though empirical work [13] suggests that friends tend to provide encouragement. Recent data from Australia demonstrate a link between anxiety in social situations and poor adherence in boys but not in girls [14•]. Thomas *et al.* [15] published work looking at differences between children and adolescents with regard to diabetes problem solving and adherence in social situations. These authors found that teens are less adherent due to concerns about fitting in, even though they have increased knowledge about T1D as compared with younger children [15]. Grey *et al.* [16] studied a form of cognitive behavioral therapy that they called coping skills training (CST) in 12–20-year-olds with T1D who were beginning intensive insulin therapy. The CST intervention included modules on managing situations with friends and conflicts. After 1 year, the authors reported better glycemic control and quality of life among the youth implementing intensive therapy with the addition of CST as compared with intensive therapy alone. Finally, one intriguing study
Affect

Teens with T1D experience depression at rates around 15%, almost twice the rate of unaffected teens [18]. This observation is particularly important in light of studies in which affect and depressed mood have been shown to negatively influence metabolic control in older persons with diabetes [19,20] as well as in teens [21]. McGrady et al. [22] demonstrated that the association between symptoms of depression and higher HbA1c levels is mediated by diminished adherence to blood glucose monitoring in a study of 276 teens with T1D. Fortenberry et al. [23] have shown a connection between day-to-day changes in affect and perceived diabetes task competence, whereas previous data in adults with diabetes have yielded connections between stress [24] and strong negative affect [25] with higher blood glucose levels.

Disordered eating

Eating disorders are a common problem in adolescents, with increased rates seen in teens with T1D [26]. This population may be particularly susceptible to disordered eating behaviors as the fundamentals of diabetes management require an intense awareness of food intake, a focus on exercise, unpredictable treatment of hypoglycemia, and a simple yet dangerous means of weight control by insulin restriction. The percentage of women who restrict insulin for weight control varies with age, from 15% among mid-teens to 30% of older teens and adult women. The combination of eating disorders and T1D seems particularly lethal, underscored by recent data that revealed a 3.2-fold increase in mortality after 11 years of follow-up among adult women with T1D who reported restricting insulin at baseline compared with nonrestrictors [27]. Screening for eating disorders in the T1D population can be challenging with standard tools that include questions focused on unusual attention to food intake, which may in fact be viewed as beneficial for teens with diabetes who are appropriately monitoring intake. In addition, standard screening instruments do not account for insulin restriction as a means of purging. New diabetes-specific evaluation tools have been developed for the adolescent population with diabetes [28].

Interventions

Below are some of the interventions that have been trialed to help teens negotiate their diabetic regimens.

Family involvement

Many studies acknowledge the importance of the family–patient construct. Thus, many behavioral interventions aimed at optimizing adherence and glycemic control in youth with diabetes target the family unit, particularly in younger teens. Successful interventions often result when the teen with diabetes benefits from the understanding, support, and skills of family members in a context that avoids diabetes-specific family conflict. For example, parental diabetes-specific knowledge and problem-solving skills predict HbA1c levels, whereas youth knowledge does not [29]. On the contrary, greater perceived parental burden of diabetes is significantly correlated with higher HbA1c [30]. In order to reduce family
burden, Svoren et al. [31] designed and implemented an intervention in which nonmedically trained ‘Care Ambassadors’ facilitated visit follow-up and, in a random subset of families, administered eight psychoeducational modules at regular clinic visits over the 2-year study period. The ‘high-risk’ youth and teen patients and families supported by the Care Ambassadors and receiving the modules experienced a significant decrease in HbA1c and a 40% reduction in hospital admissions and emergency department visits.

The important area of family therapy has been primarily evaluated by two groups. Wysocki et al. [32]’s work with Behavioral Family Systems Therapy for Diabetes (BFST-D) aims to assist parents and adolescents as they work on communication skills, problem solving, and minimizing family conflict in relation to diabetes. A randomized controlled trial of 104 families assigned to either standard care, an attention control group with educational support only, or BFST-D showed significant improvement in the quality of family interactions, family communication, and problem solving with BFST-D. Another series of investigations by Ellis et al. [33] addressed the problem of hospital admissions and nonadherence with multisystemic therapy, an intensive, home-based, family-centered approach offered to patients with poor metabolic control. Although requiring a significant upfront commitment of resources, the program yielded reduced admission rates for diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) up to 18 months after cessation of the intervention, leading to a substantial overall saving of healthcare dollars in addition to the medical benefits derived by patients. There is also ongoing investigation to evaluate a family-centered, clinic-based, problem-solving intervention with feasibility data recently published [34•]. As researchers continue to examine ways to optimize adherence and glycemic outcomes in youth with diabetes, it remains important to maintain family support around diabetes management tasks, as the teens of families who sustain parental involvement in diabetes management have better outcomes [35].

**Motivational interviewing**

Motivational interviewing is predicated on the idea that behavioral change often fails when patients are coerced but succeeds when practitioners work with patients to build discrepancy between their behaviors and aspirations and then support the patients as they contemplate and ultimately make change for their own salient reasons. Motivational interviewing has had promising initial results in short-term, uncontrolled studies in teens [36,37] and has been further examined in a multicenter randomized controlled trial (RCT) from the UK [38]. This study compared teens, aged 14–17 years, who received 12 months of motivational interviewing visits with a control group receiving simply supportive visits for 12 months. Both groups were then followed for an additional year. The mean HbA1c was significantly lower in the motivational interviewing group as compared with the control group at the end of the intervention period, adjusting for baseline values, and this difference was maintained 1 year later. There also were significant differences in anxiety, positive well-being, satisfaction, and belief that self-care mattered in control of diabetes, all favoring the motivational interviewing group.

Many of the previous studies employed trained nursing or mental health professionals as interventionists. Given the shortage of professionals combined with the ubiquity of T1D, alternative approaches using nonprofessional ‘diabetes personal trainers’ may offer benefit [39•]. The ‘trainers’ received 80 h of instruction before using motivational interviewing, problem solving, and behavior analysis principles to guide the delivery of six semistructured in-person sessions supplemented with phone calls over a 2-month intervention period with youth aged 11–16 years with T1D. At 6–12 months following the intervention period, the intervention group had a non-significantly lower mean HbA1c than the control group. However, the intervention yielded a significantly lower HbA1c among the older teens, aged 14–16 years, compared with the older control teens. This observation suggests that there
may be a critical time developmentally when teens assume greater responsibility for their diabetes self-management, during which they may be susceptible to the benefits of interventions.

**Preventing loss-to-follow-up**

Adherence to any treatment regimen is particularly difficult if patients do not come to clinic regularly, and there is a body of literature that documents that the greatest risk for diabetes complications occurs among patients who are lost to follow-up care [40,41]. In addition, studies also validate the opportunities provided by simply facilitating clinic visits. The Care Ambassador program mentioned previously found that a nonmedically trained research assistant who helped families set up appointments, follow-up after missed visits, and address billing concerns increased visit frequency by 50% compared with standard care in which families schedule their own follow-up visits. An Australian study [42] found that it was similarly possible to significantly increase the number of clinic visits, decrease HbA1c, and reduce rates of hospital admissions for DKA by providing assistance with booking appointments similar to the Care Ambassador intervention in addition to offering an after-hours phone support line for the 15–25-year-olds transitioning from pediatric care to care in an adult setting. These modest, cost-effective interventions seem to reduce the amount of hassle teens associate with their diabetes care and, in turn, lead to improved outcomes.

**Realistic approaches to eating**

Another challenge to adherence is attending to meal planning or ‘counting carbohydrates’ in order to determine proper insulin dosing. Following a recommended diet for diabetes is difficult in general and raises particular challenges for teens, who are often impulsive and likely to engage in eating as a major social event with peers. European clinicians have been working with patients to create less stringent eating regimens, termed ‘normal eating’, and titrating insulin dosages to match such diets [43]. Initially implemented in Germany, the Dose Adjustment For Normal Eating (DAFNE) program was evaluated formally in an RCT in the UK among adults with T1D. The program provides adults with skills training in insulin dose adjustment using a basal-bolus regimen to compensate for less restrictive eating habits. Results revealed lower HbA1c levels and improved general well-being compared with standard care. Knowles et al. [44] and Waller et al. [45] have published their experiences refining [44] and piloting [45] the DAFNE curriculum for 11–16-year-olds with T1D, but we await results from an RCT.

**Extending provider reach**

Another active area of study has been the use of technology to improve patient and provider communications, to minimize the lag time between identification of problems and subsequent advice, and to reinforce a patient's commitment to behavior change and diabetes self-management. Many technologies are appealing because of the potential to scale-up with minimal cost and manpower, but challenges lie in the rapid rate with which new technologies emerge, are adopted, and then discarded for newer advances. Constant tweaking and innovation may be required to maintain the interest of the adolescent audience with the latest social networking technologies.

**Telephone support**

Multiple studies [46–48] have examined implementing regular nonphysician phone outreach to teens with diabetes and have yielded mixed results. Data from adults typically demonstrate a modest benefit with regard to HbA1c. However, in teens and children, the majority of studies do not reveal improvements in HbA1c levels, although benefits to intermediate endpoints, such as self-efficacy, are evident. Most studies included calls every
1–3 weeks. However, Lawson et al. [46]'s work in Canada showed a delayed benefit from weekly phone calls in an adolescent population with high HbA1c levels. When a post-hoc analysis was performed 6 months after the intervention, significantly more teens demonstrated improvement and fewer demonstrated deterioration in glycemic control, suggesting that benefits may have accrued in patients with initial poor adherence who may have shifted from precontemplation to contemplation during the telephone intervention period followed by movement to preparation and action after intervention.

**Text and e-mail messaging**

Investigators have also attempted to engage teens with diabetes with SMS (text) messaging and e-mail. Such interventions offer promise, as teens readily adopt new technologies and the current era offers many relatively low-cost and easily scalable solutions. A sampling of the text messaging studies [49] includes the Sweet Talk trial in Scotland and the Computerized Automated Reminder Diabetes System (CARDS) pilot study [50•] in Boston. The year-long Sweet Talk trial randomized 92 youths and teens with T1D into one of three study groups: conventional therapy, conventional therapy along with Sweet Talk, and intensive insulin therapy along with Sweet Talk. The two Sweet Talk arms received daily text messages, which had personalized prompts, as well as more general messages tailored to the participant's insulin regimen. Although the texting service alone did not produce reductions in HbA1c, it was associated with increases in self-efficacy and self-report of greater adherence. The participants randomized to intensive insulin therapy along with Sweet Talk did experience an almost 1% drop in mean HbA1c levels, viewed as an encouraging result, given that implementation of intensive therapy is resource-intensive and requires additional supports for success [49]. The 3-month pilot CARDS study [50•] randomized 40 teens and young adults with T1D into two groups: one received text message reminders to check blood glucose levels and the other received e-mail reminders. Users of the text message reminder system requested more reminders and responded with more blood glucose results than users of the e-mail reminder system. Although the CARDS system was fully automated and inexpensive to manage, participant interest waned considerably from month 1 to month 3, suggesting that teens and young adults require a highly dynamic and engaging system in order to sustain their interest [50•].

**Conclusion**

There are many challenges to adherence in adolescents that are intrinsic to their developmental stage and demands for peer normalcy. These impact HbA1c outcomes, as do the physiological factors of adolescent growth and development. Successful interventions for teens tend to be those that diminish the cognitive and emotional barriers of confronting diabetes alone and encourage supportive family involvement in diabetes management devoid of diabetes-specific family conflict. Interventions that streamline services, provide outreach, and motivate behavior change also may benefit teens with T1D. Advances in technology, which rely upon teens to think about their disease and require greater teen input, are unlikely to be effective – the key is creating treatment strategies that can ideally be implemented independent of, or at least with minimal input from, the teen.

This point is evident in data from the Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation (JDRF) Continuous Glucose Monitoring (CGM) Study Group. This trial compared standard blood glucose monitoring with CGM, a technology in which a subcutaneous sensor relays real-time glucose measurements wirelessly to a receiver. CGM data help to inform insulin dosing and offer warnings when glucose levels stray out of the recommended range into either hypoglycemic or hyperglycemic zones. Three hundred and twenty-two participants of all ages were provided with either CGM equipment or regular glucose monitoring tools in a 26-week RCT. There was a significant improvement in HbA1c without an increase in...
hypoglycemia among study participants older than 25 years of age, modest improvement in the 8–14-year-old age group, but no improvement in the 15–24-year-old age group [51]. In post-hoc analyses, similar improvements in HbA1c were evident in patients of all ages who used the sensor for 6 or more days per week for the 6-month study. However, teens and young adults aged 15–24 years demonstrated the lowest adherence to sensor use, with only 30% of these patients using the technology 6 or more days per week compared with 86% of patients older than 25 years and 50% of patients aged 8–14 years [52••]. Thus, it seems that the adolescents were simply less willing to accept the demands and personal intrusions of the current generation of CGM technologies despite its promise to improve glycemic control and reduce hypoglycemia.

As evidenced by the adolescents’ reluctance to use CGM consistently in the JDRF CGM trial, strategies to increase treatment adherence for teens must require minimal distraction from the teen’s routine tasks of daily living. The diabetes clinical and research communities continue to have hope for robust beta-cell replacement therapy or the development of an ‘artificial pancreas’, a device in which continuous glucose measurements determine automatic titration of insulin dosing in real time. However, until these advances are realized, we, as current providers of adolescent care, must continue to think of ways in which we can provide support, ease the burden, and minimize intrusion upon the lives of adolescents with T1D, as we individually tailor their diabetes treatment regimens.
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Figure 1. Constellation of factors that influence treatment adherence in adolescents with type 1 diabetes

Although adherence directly influences glycemic control measured as HbA1c, there is a constellation of factors that impacts adherence in adolescents with type 1 diabetes. These include unmodifiable factors such as age and duration of diabetes and modifiable factors such as diabetes-specific family conflict, family involvement, and implementation of technologies for diabetes management. The overall aim is to achieve target glycemic control with HbA1c values of less than 7.5% for teens with diabetes in order to preserve health and prevent long-term complications of the disease. HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c.