In vivo reflectance confocal microscopy of shave biopsy wounds: feasibility of intra-operative mapping of cancer margins
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Abstract

Background—Reflectance confocal microscopy (RCM) images skin at cellular resolution and has shown utility for the diagnosis of nonmelanoma skin cancer in-vivo. Topical application of Aluminum Chloride (AlCl\textsubscript{3}) enhances contrast in RCM images by brightening nuclei.

Objective—to investigate feasibility of RCM imaging of shave biopsy wounds using AlCl\textsubscript{3} as a contrast agent.

Methods—AlCl\textsubscript{3} staining was optimized, in terms of concentration versus immersion time, on excised tissue ex-vivo. RCM imaging protocol was tested in patients undergoing shave biopsies. The RCM images were retrospectively analyzed and compared to the corresponding histopathology.

Results—for 35% AlCl\textsubscript{3}, routinely used for hemostasis in clinic, minimum immersion time was determined to be 1 minute. We identified 3 consistent patterns of margins on RCM mosaic images by varying depths: epidermal margins, peripheral dermal margins, and deep dermal margins. Tumour islands of basal cell carcinoma were identified at peripheral or deep dermal margins, correlating on histopathology with aggregates of neoplastic basaloid cells. Atypical cobblestone or honeycomb pattern were identified at the epidermal margins, correlating with a proliferation of atypical keratinocytes extending to biopsy margins.

Conclusions—RCM imaging of shave biopsy wounds is feasible and demonstrates the future possibility of intra-operative mapping in surgical wounds.
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Introduction

Over two million individuals are treated annually for non-melanoma skin cancers (NMSCs) in the US with costs exceeding 1 billion dollars.\textsuperscript{1,2} Since NMSCs often develop on the face, Mohs micrographic surgery (MMS) is used as tissue-preserving technique. During MMS, tumour is excised with narrow margins and the surgeon assesses margins on frozen histopathological sections; any residual tumour is mapped and corresponding tissue is...
further excised. Processing frozen sections takes 20–45 minutes per stage of MMS. An intraoperative imaging modality which permits direct detection of residual tumours in surgical wounds may potentially expedite MMS.

To this end, reflectance confocal microscopy (RCM), a non-invasive imaging technique with cellular-level resolution,3,4 has shown promise for diagnosis of NMSC. RCM was found to have sensitivity of 92% and specificity of 97% for pre-surgical in-vivo diagnosis of basal cell carcinoma (BCC).5 Tannous et al demonstrated in a small case series the potential of intra-operative RCM to guide MMS;6 the investigators also found that Aluminum Chloride (AlCl₃), routinely used for hemostasis during skin surgery, enhances contrast between aggregates of BCC and surrounding dermis in RCM images. Recent work also demonstrated the feasibility of ex-vivo mosaicing confocal microscopy to rapidly detect BCCs in skin excision specimens from MMS, using exogenous fluorescent contrast agents to stain nuclear morphology.7–9 However, use of fluorescent contrast agents for in-vivo imaging is still being tested for efficacy and toxicity10,11 and is not yet ready for clinical use. Thus, reflectance-based RCM imaging continues to advance more rapidly toward clinical applications.12–16

Building upon Tannous’ initial observations,6 we performed a prospective study to more rigorously investigate the feasibility of RCM for intra-operative assessment of tumour margins in a larger series of surgical wounds. First, the utility of AlCl₃ was further investigated on excised tissue. Next, we imaged shave biopsy wounds as a model for initial stages of MMS. Mosaicing, a recent advance in RCM imaging,17–19 was used; mosaicing allows observation of larger fields-of-view of surgical wound margins, akin to low magnification histopathology. Establishing imaging protocols and identifying current imaging performance and challenges are necessary translational steps, toward the long-term goal of developing RCM as a guide to surgery.

Materials and methods

Pre-clinical study of AlCl₃ as contrast stain

Freshly excised specimens were obtained from MMS performed at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC). During MMS, excised specimens are frozen and Haematoxylin-and-Eosin (H&E)–stained sections are prepared and examined. Remaining tissue, which is routinely discarded, was collected for this study, under Institution Review Board approval.

Each specimen was thawed, rinsed in normal saline and imaged ex-vivo using a previously described bench-top RCM (VivaScope 2000, Lucid Inc., Rochester, NY).17,19 Subsequently, specimens were rinsed with saline, immersed in AlCl₃ and re-imaged. Concentrations of AlCl₃ and immersion times were varied to determine optimal imaging conditions. Concentrations tested were 20% in anhydrous ethyl alcohol, 35% in purified water, 50% in purified water and 50% in equal volumes of isopropanol and purified water. Immersion times were 5, 10, 30 and 45 seconds and 1, 2, 3 and 5 minutes. Minimum immersion time was defined as time required for all nuclei in RCM mosaic to appear consistently brightened. Each condition was retested on minimum of 5 specimens.

RCM imaging study on shave biopsy wounds

Patients—Participants were recruited from patients undergoing shave biopsy for diagnosis of suspicious skin lesions at MSKCC. All patients were 18 years or older. Written consent was obtained prior to enrolment. The research protocol was approved by MSKCC Institutional Review Board.
Instrumentation—For imaging patients, a commercially-available, previously described RCM (Vivascope 1500, Lucid Inc., Rochester, NY) was used. Briefly, RCM uses near-infrared laser at 830nm. A 30X objective lens allows imaging with optical sectioning of 3μm and lateral resolution of 1μm. Contact between the objective lens and skin is achieved with a tissue ring. The RCM acquires images of en face optical sections with 500 ×500μm² field-of-view (equivalent to 30× magnification). An automated stepper was used to acquire up to 12×12 contiguous images into a “mosaic” which displays a 6×6mm² field-of-view (equivalent to 3× magnification). RCM images can be acquired to depth of approximately 300μm.

RCM imaging protocol—A pilot was conducted during the first 8 cases to qualitatively assess the best imaging conditions and construct a protocol. For immersion medium in the wound cavity, sterile Surgilube gel (Fougera, Melville, NY) was used. The gel’s viscosity was found to be advantageous compared to sterile saline; the gel was better retained in the wound when imaging patients in recumbent position. Sterile conditions were ensured by draping the wound with transparent dressing (Tegaderm, 3M, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA). Imaging was tested through a 1 mm-thick disposable optical window made of polycarbonate disk (General Electric Company, Fairfield, CT); image quality with the polycarbonate disk was qualitatively better than that obtained with a glass window. Following the results of our pre-clinical study, we used AlCl₃ solution as contrast agent in RCM images.

The final protocol was as follows:

1. The wound was swabbed with AlCl₃ using sterile applicators.
2. The cavity was filled with sterile gel (Fig. 1).
3. The wound was sealed with sterile transparent adhesive dressing.
4. A drop of Crodamol STS oil (Croda Inc., Edison, NJ) was applied over the dressing.
5. The tissue ring with polycarbonate window was attached to the surface of the dressing. The ring covered part of the wound cavity and part of the wound edge.
6. Ultrasound gel was used as immersion medium between the tissue ring and the objective lens.
7. RCM images and mosaics were acquired at a minimum of three levels (Fig. 1): level of intact epidermis surrounding the wound (“epidermal margin”), level of superficial dermis in the wound (“peripheral dermal margin”) and base of the wound (“deep dermal margin”).

Using the final protocol, 39 additional lesions undergoing shave biopsy were included. Histopathological diagnoses for these lesions were BCC (n=10), squamous cell carcinoma (SCC, n=10), actinic keratosis (n=1), irritated seborrheic keratosis/lichen planus-like keratosis (n=11), melanoma (n=3), naevus (n=1) irritated verrucae (n=2) and neurofibroma (n=1).

Assessment of RCM images and histopathologic correlation—Images were jointly assessed by 2 dermatologists (AS and KN), one of whom (KN) is a MMS surgeon. Image quality was assessed as acceptable or poor. A mosaic image was considered acceptable if at least 75% of images that show wound margins displayed adequate resolution and contrast as previously defined. For every RCM mosaic, the observers assessed the presence of the following structures – surrounding epidermis; surrounding dermis; bright keratinocytes, bright adnexal epithelium, collagen bundles and inflammatory cells within the wound; and tumour aggregates.
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All biopsy specimens were routinely processed with formalin fixation and paraffin embedding, followed by vertical sectioning and H&E staining. Diagnoses were retrieved from the hospital information system. Slides were also examined (by A.S.) for findings which appeared to best correlate with RCM structures under analysis.

Results

Pre-clinical study of AlCl$_3$ as contrast stain

RCM imaging of normal epidermis from excised tissue is shown (Fig. 2). Under unstained conditions, nuclei of keratinocytes appear dark and cytoplasm and intercellular borders between keratinocytes appear as bright polygonal outlines resulting in a honeycomb pattern at spinous and granular layers of the epidermis (Fig. 2A and Table 1). After immersion in AlCl$_3$, nuclei of keratinocytes appear bright (Figs 2B-D) with enhanced nuclear-to-cytoplasm contrast, resulting in a cobblestone pattern (Table 1). The minimum immersion time for various AlCl$_3$ concentrations is also shown (Table 2).

The results of the pre-clinical study were empirically translated to the protocol for imaging shave biopsy wounds in patients. The routinely used concentration of AlCl$_3$ in our clinic is 35% for which the minimum immersion time was determined to be 1 minute (Fig. 2C). This was translated to swabbing the wound with sterile applicator 4 times with AlCl$_3$ (each swab was used for about 15 seconds), resulting in immersion time of 1 minute.

RCM imaging study on shave biopsy wounds

Correlation of confocal and histopathologic features of normal skin—Using the final protocol, 39 lesions undergoing shave biopsy were imaged with RCM and analyzed. Bright nuclei of keratinocytes within the wound cavity were seen in 21 lesions (54%). These bright nuclei were uniformly spaced, forming a regular cobblestone pattern (Table 1, Fig. 3A). Since the epidermis overlying the surgical wound lacks a stratum corneum, the keratinocytes within the wound cavity were exposed to AlCl$_3$ application. In contrast, the epidermis surrounding skin showed a honeycomb pattern (Table 1, Fig. 3B), similar to appearance of unstained epidermis in the preclinical study and similar to in-vivo imaging of epidermis of normal skin. The regular cobblestone and honeycomb patterns correlate with normal pattern of keratinocytes at the spinous and granular layers (Fig. 3C). The transition between honeycomb and cobblestone patterns was often notable and demarcated the margins of the wound cavity at the level of the epidermis (Fig. 3D).

Bright adnexal structures were seen in only 2 lesions (5%). These were round structures in the dermis composed of uniformly spaced bright nuclei of adnexal epithelium. Bright linear or curved structures were seen in the dermis in 25 (64%) lesions. The bright structures were arranged in a retiform arrangement or in parallel (bundles). These structures correlated with dermal collagen. In some cases, these linear structures were apparent in the background of amorphous brightness (Fig. 3E), which correlated with solar elastosis (Fig. 3F). Bright stellate cells and small bright dots in the dermis were seen in 25 (64%) lesions (Fig. 3G), correlating with inflammatory cells (histiocytes and lymphocytes, Fig. 3H).

Evaluation of shave biopsy wound margins with RCM—Evaluating the RCM mosaics of the surgical wounds, we identified 3 margin levels:

1. “Epidermal margin” - mosaics acquired at the level of surrounding epidermis (Fig. 4A). The central portion of the wound cavity appeared dark. Peripheral to it, bright nuclei forming a cobblestone pattern were seen; these were compatible with nuclei of epidermal keratinocytes in the outer, most superficial perimeter of the wound
Peripheral to wound, the epidermis in the surrounding skin showed a honeycomb pattern (Fig. 3D).

2. “Peripheral dermal margin” – mosaics acquired at the level of the superficial dermis (Fig. 4B). The centre of the wound still appeared dark. Around it, within the wound cavity area, a bright area of tissue was seen. Depending on the level of the mosaic, this bright tissue area displayed either a cobblestone pattern of bright nuclei of keratinocytes (in the more superficial mosaics); or refractile structures in retiform or parallel arrangement (in the deeper mosaics) compatible with dermal collagen. Peripheral to the bright area, the surrounding dermis appeared less refractile, showing either dermal papillae of the dermal-epidermal junction or dermal collagen of the papillary dermis. Collagen in the surrounding dermis appeared more blurred and less refractile than that within the exposed wound area. In addition, in some cases, with progressive imaging depth from the level of “peripheral dermal margins” to that of “deep dermal margins”, mosaics showed only a bright strip of wound margin at the focal plane of imaging. Central to this bright strip was the dark wound cavity, and peripheral to the bright strip, the surrounding skin appeared minimally to non-refractile due to the imaging depth.

3. “Deep dermal margins” – mosaics acquired at the level of the base of the wound (Fig. 4C). The wound cavity that appeared dark at the level of “peripheral dermal margin” now appeared bright, showing mostly highly refractile collagen bundles. The surrounding skin and superficial edges of the wound appeared dark.

For mosaics with acceptable imaging quality, epidermal margin was visible in 23 of 39 lesions (59%), peripheral dermal margin was visible in 23 lesions (59%), and deep dermal margin was visible in 23 lesions (59%). In 13 lesions (33%), all 3 margins were visible. Reasons for unacceptable quality of mosaic images included air bubbles obscuring images, over-saturation of image brightness compromising resolution, and inaccurate software stitching of images in the mosaic.

**Correlation of confocal and histopathologic features of skin neoplasms**—In 4 lesions (10%), bright tumour islands were seen at deep dermal margins (n=1) and peripheral dermal margins (n=3). When tumour islands were observed in exposed wound margins, they were composed of bright closely aggregated nuclei (Figs 5A&C, Fig. 6D). When tumour islands were located deeper in tissue, under the exposed surface or in the surrounding dermis, they appeared less refractile and individual nuclei were not discernible (Fig. 6E). Tumour islands correlated on histopathology with aggregates of basaloid cells showing peripheral palisading of nuclei (Figs 5B&D, Fig. 6F). In the surrounding dermis, a stroma composed of bright collagen bundles and bright stellate cells and small bright dots was observed (Fig. 6D); these bright cells correlated on histopathology with histiocytes and lymphocytes, respectively (Fig. 6F). In these 4 cases, histopathological diagnosis proved to be BCC, nodular in 2 lesions and superficial in 2 lesions.

In 3 lesions (8%), atypical honeycomb was observed in epidermal margins (Fig. 7G); histopathological diagnosis proved to be SCC. In 1 SCC lesion, an atypical cobblestone pattern was seen in peripheral and deep dermal margins (Figs 7E&F); this atypical cobblestone pattern displayed crowding of nuclei, variability in size and brightness of nuclei and the presence of abnormally large nuclei (Fig. 7H). On histopathology, crowding and pleomorphism of nuclei, hyperchromatic nuclei and disordered maturation of the epidermis were seen; the proliferation of atypical keratinocytes extended to the base and peripheral margins of the biopsy (Fig. 7I). Of note, in another case, while an atypical honeycomb was observed in epidermal margins, the histopathological diagnosis proved to be lichen planus-
like keratosis; in this case, mild atypia of keratinocytes, interpreted to be reactive to the lichenoid inflammation, was observed on histopathology.

**Discussion**

One potential application of RCM is intra-operative imaging to assess cancer margins. We showed recently that BCC can be detected ex-vivo in tissue excised during MMS.\(^8\,^9\) Intra-operative margin mapping can further expedite MMS by obviating the need to wait for frozen pathology before proceeding with further surgery. However, RCM imaging of surgical wounds is challenging. In-vivo RCM imaging is normally performed on intact skin which presents a flat surface, while intra-operative mapping requires imaging of crater-shaped wounds. Development of an RCM protocol for imaging contoured surfaces and use of RCM mosaics to evaluate wound margins has not been previously described.

\(\text{AlCl}_3\) was used to improve visibility of tumours under RCM, as it was previously shown to enhance nuclear contrast.\(^6\) We found that 20% \(\text{AlCl}_3\), previously used by Tannous et al,\(^6\) requires longer immersion time of 3 minutes; in comparison, 35% \(\text{AlCl}_3\) that is routinely used in our clinic requires only 1 minute. While 50% \(\text{AlCl}_3\) achieved nuclear brightening even more rapidly, its use would require additional efficacy and safety study, as even lower concentrations of \(\text{AlCl}_3\) were shown to interfere with wound healing.\(^22\) Previous studies showed that \(\text{AlCl}_3\) causes DNA to compact\(^23\,^25\) accounting for increased light backscatter of nuclei under RCM. This mechanism is similar to that observed with acetic acid ("acetowhitening").\(^26\,^27\)

Nuclear morphology of normal keratinocytes within the wound was enhanced by \(\text{AlCl}_3\), producing a cobblestone pattern. The difference in nuclear morphology, being bright (cobblestone pattern) in exposed keratinocytes within the wound, and dark (honeycomb pattern) in keratinocytes in surrounding skin, allows for identification of epidermal wound margins. In addition, collagen bundles and inflammatory cells within the wound appeared much brighter than in surrounding dermis, allowing recognition of peripheral and deep dermal margins. Taken together, reproducible patterns were created by these bright tissue structures and were used as landmarks to identify RCM wound margin levels.

We showed feasibility of identifying residual neoplastic aggregates in shave biopsy wound margins. Nuclear brightening was seen in aggregates of BCC within the wound cavity; the RCM pattern of tumour islands was closely correlated with histopathologic findings. Similarly, the nuclear enhancement of atypical keratinocytes in SCC allowed assessment of cellular criteria similar to those used in histopathology, such as nuclear crowding and pleomorphism of size. Of note, the effects of \(\text{AlCl}_3\) were limited to the wound cavity; in surrounding skin, brightening of nuclei was not seen and residual tumours were only recognized using previously described criteria for RCM diagnosis of BCC and SCC in intact skin (e.g., atypical honeycomb in SCC).

The present study also identified limitations of current RCM. The device is relatively bulky and imaging is slow. Since 6×6 mm\(^2\) mosaics were acquired in approximately 90 seconds, the minimum time to image each lesion was about 5 minutes. The use of adhesive tissue ring limits access to one edge of the wound and precludes surveying the entire perimeter. Re-attaching the ring would wrinkle the taut transparent dressing, introducing imaging artefacts. Only superficial wounds can be imaged to the base with current RCM. Wounds with steep walls yielded en face mosaics with thin ring of bright contrast and dark, blank spaces at the centre and periphery; these lesions required more mosaics to assess margins and therefore prolonged imaging time. To become practical, imaging surgical wounds requires new instrumentation in the form of flexible handheld probe and small objective lens, to enable...
full access and rapid imaging of the entire wound margin. Future software developments may include dynamic mosaicing algorithms that only image wound margins with bright contrast, where the mosaic provides diagnostic information, and avoids imaging blank spaces, reducing imaging time. Additionally, acceptable mosaic images in all 3 margins were obtained in only one third of cases. This reflects limitation of instrumentation, as well as the learning curve for using the wound imaging protocol. We anticipate that with improved instrumentation, the majority of lesions will be better assessed at all 3 wound margin levels.

In conclusion, RCM imaging of superficial surgical wounds is feasible. The application of AlCl₃ enhances nuclear contrast of normal keratinocytes, inflammatory cells and neoplastic cells of BCC and SCC. The anatomic level of wound margins can be consistently identified in RCM mosaics. Conceivably, with advances in instrumentation and accumulation of experience among users, RCM imaging can serve as a routine tool to evaluate cancer margins during surgery in the skin and other tissues.

### Bulleted Statements

- Previous research showed that skin cancer can be diagnosed pre-operatively with in vivo confocal of intact skin, and intra-operatively with ex-vivo confocal of excised tissue.
- Herein, we showed feasibility of intra-operative, in vivo confocal imaging of shave biopsy wounds using aluminum chloride as contrast agent.
- We developed imaging protocol for concave wounds; characterized wound margins by confocal landmarks; and detected residual skin cancer in wound margins.
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Figure 1.
Setup for attachment of reflectance confocal microscope (RCM) objective lens to shave biopsy wound. The skin at the site of imaging includes the surgical wound cavity with adjacent intact surrounding epidermis (“se”) and dermis (“sd”). The surgical wound cavity is filled with sterile gel and covered by transparent sterile dressing. Following the application of an oil drop onto the dressing, the tissue ring is attached to the dressing, to cover both a portion of the wound cavity and its margins. The top, concave side of the tissue ring is filled with ultrasound (“US”) gel as the immersion medium. The tissue ring serves as a docking template for the RCM objective lens for locating and stabilizing the site to be imaged. The three depth levels of *en face* RCM imaging are shown, including the “epidermal margin” at the level of the surrounding epidermis, “peripheral dermal margin” at the level of the surrounding superficial dermis, and “deep dermal margin” at the level of the base of the wound.
Figure 2.
Aluminum chloride (AlCl$_3$)-stained epidermis showing nuclear brightening and enhanced nuclei-to-dermis contrast for different immersion times and AlCl$_3$ concentrations. The epidermis is vertically-sectioned. (a) Unstained control; (b) 20% AlCl$_3$, 3 minutes of immersion; (c) 35% AlCl$_3$, 1 minute of immersion; and (d) 50% AlCl$_3$ in water, 10 seconds of immersion. While the unstained image (a) shows a honeycomb pattern of dark nuclei and bright cellular outlines in the epidermis as normally seen with in vivo RCM, all AlCl$_3$-stained tissue specimens (b–d) present a cobblestone pattern of bright nuclei in the epidermis.
Figure 3. Histopathological correlation of RCM features seen at shave biopsy margins. (a) Nuclei of keratinocytes that line the wound cavity appear bright, following application of aluminum chloride; this is referred to as cobblestone pattern. (b) Normal keratinocytes in the surrounding epidermis adjacent to the wound cavity display a different pattern, referred to as honeycomb pattern, whereby the nuclei are dark and the cellular outlines of keratinocytes are bright; (c) both patterns correlate with spinous and granular layers without atypia of keratinocytes. (d) Honeycomb pattern adjacent to cobblestone pattern; the dashed line of demarcation indicates the edge of the wound at the level of the epidermal margin. (e) Bright linear and curved structures in the dermis with a background of amorphous brightness seen on RCM correlated with collagen bundles in solar-altered dermis on histopathology (f). (g) Small round bright features and bright stellate cells in the dermis seen on RCM correlated with an infiltrate of lymphocytes and histiocytes on histopathology (h). Scale = 100μm on RCM images.
Figure 4.
Wound margins at varying depths. The level of imaging is depicted by the dashed line in the drawings at the top of each column. (a–b) Epidermal margin; the RCM mosaic (a, 4×4 mm) is taken at the level of the spinous layer of the epidermis. The surrounding epidermis (“SE”) displays a honeycomb pattern. The dark area represents the gel-filled wound cavity. At higher magnification RCM (b, 0.5×0.5 mm) a regular honeycomb pattern in the surrounding epidermis (yellow arrow) can be seen, as well as few bright nuclei in cobblestone pattern within the wound cavity (white arrow). The demarcation between honeycomb and cobblestone patterns, denoting the wound edge, is shown (yellow dashed line). (c–d) Peripheral dermal margin; in this RCM mosaic (c, 4×4 mm) the surrounding skin (“SS”) is blurred since imaging is performed through intact stratum corneum, resulting in loss of backscattered detected light with increasing depth. In contrast, the exposed dermis (“ED”) in the wound shows bright reticulated collagen of the papillary dermis. The base of the wound is still below the plane of imaging and therefore appears dark. At higher magnification RCM (d, 0.5×0.5 mm), there is demarcation (dashed yellow line) between the blurred surrounding skin (asterisk) and the exposed wound tissue showing bright dermal-epidermal junction (white dashed arrows) as well as bright, in-focus collagen in the superficial dermis (dashed yellow arrows). (e–f) Deep dermal margin; on RCM mosaic (e, 4×4 mm), the surrounding dermis appears blurred and dark because of deeper imaging level. However, the RCM focal plane reaches the exposed dermis (“ED”) at the base of the wound, which appears bright. At higher magnification RCM (f, 0.5×0.5 mm) the bright collagen bundles at the base of the wound can be seen (dashed yellow arrow).
Figure 5.
Basal cell carcinoma (BCC). Images a&b are from one lesion, images c&d from another lesion. (a) RCM imaging at the level of the dermal-epidermal junction (peripheral dermal margin) shows an aggregate of neoplastic cells appearing as a focus of bright nuclei (asterisk), well delineated from the adjacent epidermis (“E”) and dermis (“D”). (b) An aggregate of basaloid cells with peripheral palisading of nuclei (asterisk) is emanating from the undersurface of the epidermis (“E”) and protruding into the superficial dermis (“D”). The diagnosis is superficial BCC. (c) RCM imaging at the level of the dermis (deep dermal margin) shows a dermal aggregate of neoplastic cells (“T”) displaying focal peripheral palisading of nuclei (solid arrow); the aggregates are well demarcated from the surrounding dermis by dark clefts (dashed arrow). (d) On histopathology, aggregate of basaloid cells (“T”) are seen in the reticular dermis with palisading of nuclei (solid arrow) and subtle clefting (dashed arrow), diagnostic of nodular BCC. Notably, the tumours were transected during biopsy and appear at the exposed wound surface. Since the neoplastic epithelial cells have been exposed to aluminum chloride application, the nuclei appear bright and help to delineate the tumor aggregates in RCM images.
Figure 6.
Basal cell carcinoma (BCC). (a) This patient presented an 8 mm papule on the chest (inset shows close-up clinical image); (b) dermoscopy revealed gray dots and gray-brown ovoid nests, suspicious for BCC. (c) RCM mosaic (1.5×1.5 mm) of the shave biopsy wound is shown at the peripheral dermal margin level; the red dashed line demarcates the darker surrounding dermis (“SD”) from the brighter exposed dermis (“ED”). (d) Individual RCM image (500×500 μm) at the same level showing a bright tumor aggregate (white arrow) in the exposed dermis (“ED”) that also displays numerous bright spots and bright stellate cells (yellow arrow). (e) Individual RCM image (500×500 μm) in the surrounding dermis (“SD”) at the same level also showing tumor aggregates (white arrows). Note that these tumor aggregates and the surrounding dermis (e) appear less bright and with lower resolution than in the exposed dermis (d). (f) On histopathology, an aggregate of basaloid cells with peripheral palisading of nuclei (arrow) is emanating from the undersurface of the epidermis.
diagnostic of superficial BCC. Note the dense inflammatory infiltrate which correlates with the numerous bright spots and bright stellate cells seen on RCM.
Figure 7.
Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC). (a) This patient presented with a 1.5 cm red, keratotic plaque on the back. (b) A close-up clinical image post shave biopsy showing in the remaining scale in the surrounding skin (arrow). (c) Dermoscopy showing a pink blush and dotted vessels (arrow) in the skin surrounding the shave biopsy wound. (d) RCM mosaic (1.5×1.5 mm) at the level of epidermal margin (level indicated in the drawing above) showing a honeycomb pattern in the surrounding epidermis (“SE”), to the left of the dashed line. Note the enhanced brightness of nuclei in the exposed epidermis (“EE”, right of dashed line) producing a cobblestone pattern. (e) RCM mosaic (1.5×1.5 mm) at the level of peripheral dermal margin (level indicated in the drawing above). Both surrounding dermis (“SD”) and surrounding epidermis (“SE”) are seen to the left of the dashed line, indicating imaging is at the level of the dermal-epidermal junction. In the wound cavity, a cobblestone pattern is seen (asterisk) as well as dermal papillae (arrow). (f) RCM mosaic (1.5×1.5 mm) at the level of deep dermal margin, base of the wound (level indicated in the drawing above). The finding of cobblestone pattern (asterisk) in the dermis where blood vessels can be seen (dashed arrow), is clearly abnormal. (g) RCM individual image (500×500 μm), akin to higher magnification microscopy, of the surrounding skin at epidermal margin level shows an atypical honeycomb pattern, with outlines that vary in thickness and brightness and dark holes (dashed red arrow) that vary in size and shape. There are cells that appear wholly bright, without central dark nucleus (solid red arrow). (h) RCM individual image (500×500 μm), of the exposed epidermis at the epidermal margin level shows an atypical cobblestone pattern, with bright nuclei of keratinocytes (yellow arrows) that are irregularly crowded and display variability in the size of nuclei. (i) On histopathology, there is full
thickness atypia of keratinocytes with jumbling, crowding and pleomorphism of nuclei, hyperchromatic nuclei and necrotic keratinocytes (black arrow), diagnostic of SCC. The proliferation of atypical keratinocytes extended to the peripheral margins (“PM”) and base of the biopsy (“base”).
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### Table 1
Definitions and histopathological correlations of RCM terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RCM term</th>
<th>Morphologic description</th>
<th>Margin levels; wound tissue vs. surrounding skin</th>
<th>Histopathological correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Honeycomb pattern</td>
<td>A pattern whose lines are formed by well-demarcated, polygonal outlines of keratinocytes and whose holes are formed by the dark central nuclei of keratinocytes.</td>
<td>Epidermal margins; surrounding epidermis.</td>
<td>Normal keratinocytes of spinous and granular layers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypical honeycomb pattern</td>
<td>There is an irregular pattern formed by lines that vary in thickness and brightness and holes that vary in size and shape. There are cells that appear wholly bright, without central dark nucleus.</td>
<td>Epidermal margins; surrounding epidermis.</td>
<td>Atypical keratinocytes with nuclei that are crowded, pleomorphic and hyperchromatic; dyskeratotic keratinocytes; abnormal maturation of epidermis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobblestone pattern</td>
<td>Small bright round to oval nuclei of keratinocytes that are uniformly sized and spaced and are separated by less refractile cytoplasm of keratinocytes.</td>
<td>Epidermal and peripheral dermal margins; epidermis within the wound.</td>
<td>Normal keratinocytes of basal, spinous and granular layers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypical cobblestone pattern</td>
<td>Bright nuclei of keratinocytes that are irregularly crowded and display variability in the size of nuclei and the presence of abnormally large nuclei</td>
<td>Can be seen at all margin levels in some cases of SCC; epidermis within wound</td>
<td>Atypical keratinocytes with nuclei that are crowded, pleomorphic and hyperchromatic; dyskeratotic keratinocytes; abnormal maturation of epidermis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collagen</td>
<td>Very bright discrete fibrillar structures (papillary dermis) or elongated bundles (reticular dermis) with no cellular component and no visible nucleus.</td>
<td>Peripheral and deep dermal margins; highly refractile in dermis within wound, blurred and less refractile in surrounding dermis</td>
<td>Collagen of the papillary or reticular dermis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar elastosis</td>
<td>Bright, thickened, blurred wavy fibrillar structures with less refractile amorphous background.</td>
<td>Peripheral and deep dermal margins; refractile in dermis within wound, blurred and less refractile in surrounding dermis</td>
<td>Solar elastosis in dermis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bright stellate cells and small bright spots</td>
<td>Larger (&gt;20μm), irregularly shaped bright cells and smaller bright round structures (&lt;20μm). These cells are usually irregularly spaced (in contrast to cobblestone pattern).</td>
<td>Peripheral and deep dermal margins; refractile in dermis within wound</td>
<td>Lymphocytes and histiocytes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

The minimum immersion time versus concentration of aluminum chloride to attain consistent nuclear brightening.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration of aluminum chloride</th>
<th>Minimum time (sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35%</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% in isopropanol + water</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% in purified water</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>