Multi-Component $T_2^*$ Mapping of Knee Cartilage: Technical Feasibility Ex vivo
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Abstract

Disorganization of collagen fibers is a sign of early-stage cartilage degeneration in osteoarthritis knees. Water molecules trapped within well-organized collagen fibrils would be sensitive to collagen alterations. Multi-component $T_2^*$ mapping with ultrashort echo time (UTE) acquisitions is here proposed to probe short $T_2$ relaxations in those trapped water molecules. Six human tibial plateau explants were scanned on a 3T MRI scanner using a home-developed UTE sequence with TEs optimized via Monte Carlo simulations. Time constants and component intensities of $T_2^*$ decays were calculated at individual pixels using the nonnegative least squares algorithm. Four $T_2^*$-decay types were found: 99% of cartilage pixels having mono-, bi-, or non-exponential decay, and 1% showing tri-exponential decay. Short $T_2^*$ was mainly in 1-6ms while long $T_2^*$ was ~22ms. A map of decay types presented spatial distribution of these $T_2^*$ decays. These results showed the technical feasibility of multi-component $T_2^*$ mapping on human knee cartilage explants.

Keywords

$T_2^*$ mapping; UTE imaging; Multi-component exponential fitting; cartilage degeneration; Osteoarthritis knee

INTRODUCTION

Articular cartilage degeneration in early-stage osteoarthritis (OA) is characterized with biochemical alterations in extracellular matrix (ECM) such as loosening of collagen network and depletion of proteoglycan (PG), in contrast to with morphologic abnormalities in advanced OA such as cartilage fibrillation and fragmentation. During OA development three main components of the ECM (i.e., water, collagen, and PG) have been known to experience alterations in different ways. Water content increases at early stage but decreases from moderate to advanced stages (1,2). PG content, however, decreases consistently from early to advanced stages (1,2). Highly-ordered collagen fibers become disorganized at early stage and severely disrupted at advanced stage (3,4). Either PG content or collagen fiber network undergoes a continuously degenerative alteration from early to advanced stages and thus has potential to be an intrinsic marker for MRI detection more specific than bulk water content. PG content has been targeted as a marker by the dGEMRIC (delayed gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging of cartilage) and sodium imaging. The dGEMRIC detects loss of PG content via a negatively-charged contrast agent with high sensitivity, but requires injection and 60-90 minutes delay time to allow contrast particles to fully penetrate the tissue (5). Sodium imaging detects loss of PG content via decrease of tissue sodium...
concentration with high specificity, but requires special hardware and suffers from low resolution (6,7).

Collagen network has been rarely exploited with MRI due to lack of efficient imaging approaches including diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) (8,9), even though collagen relaxation properties were well investigated with nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) a decade ago. NMR relaxation studies on cartilage plugs, harvested from femoral condyles of fresh bovine knee joints, showed that there were two proton spin reservoirs of distinct transverse relaxations related to collagen (2): collagen ($T_2 \sim 0.02\text{ms}$) and water trapped within collagen fibrils ($T_2 \sim 4\text{ms}$), in addition to spin reservoirs related to bulk water ($T_2 \sim 20\text{ms}$) and mobile PG ($T_2 \sim 1\text{ms}$). Conventional $T_2$ mapping performed on clinical MRI scanners captures relaxations only from one of the four spin reservoirs, the bulk water, due to limitation of minimum echo time ($\sim 10\text{ms}$) used in data acquisition.

In this study we explored technical feasibility of multi-component $T_2*$ mapping of articular cartilage in clinical setting, as an effort to the ultimate goal of detecting early-stage OA through collagen network integrity reflected by effective transverse relaxation ($T_2^*$) of collagen fibrillar water. A home-developed sequence, AWSOS (acquisition-weighted stack of spirals) (10), was employed to acquire data at ultrashort echo time ($TE<1\text{ms}$). Specifically, this study aimed to address the following technical issues: 1) How many $T_2*$ components are detectable in human cartilage in a clinical setting? 2) In what ranges are those $T_2^*$ relaxation times and intensities? 3) How to select an optimal set of TEs for detecting those $T_2^*$ values? 4) What does the distribution of multi-component $T_2*$ relaxations over entire cartilage look like?

**METHODS AND MATERIALS**

**Explant preparation**

Human explants of tibial plateau were harvested from intact cadaveric specimens (n=5) and total knee replacement (n=1): age $58\pm28\text{yrs}$ in $18-82\text{yrs}$, 3 males and 3 females, 3 healthy (asymptomatic) and 3 diseased (advanced OA) classified by polarized light microscopy (PLM) images. The collection of the explants was in accordance to protocols approved by the Committee for the Oversight of Research for the Dead (CORID) and the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the University of Pittsburgh. A detailed description of the explants preparation was given in Ref. 11. The explants were thawed in a refrigerator 12 hours before MRI scans.

**TE designs**

The goal of designing echo times (TEs) for multi-component $T_2*$ mapping is to find a set of TEs that has sufficient range to cover possible $T_2*$ values in cartilage and has small size to minimize total scan time under a given signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). Monte Carlo simulation was used to design TEs (12). Eq. [1] was employed to generate signals of $T_2^*$ decay, $s(TE)$, at designed TEs with known $T_2^*$ component intensities (in percentage), $a_j$, and time constants (in millisecond), $T_2^*j$, plus a random noise, $n(TE)$, of normal distribution $N(0, \sigma^2)$.

$$s(TE)=A_0 \sum_j a_j e^{-TE/T_2^*j}+n(TE).$$

The number of $T_2^*$ components was selected upon our priori information as detailed below. The SNR was defined by total signal intensity, $A_0$, and standard deviation (SD), $\sigma$, of the
noise, i.e., $\text{SNR} = A_0/\sigma$. A non-linear fitting to the noisy signal was implemented using function $exp1$ or $exp2$ in Matlab (The MathWorks, Inc, Natick, MA). The fitting was repeated 500 times with independent noise trial. The mean and SD of the fitted $T_2^*$ time or component intensity over these noise trials were considered as Monte Carlo simulation results. Single-component $T_2^*$ decays were first simulated for simplicity and multi-component decays were then studied based on the experience acquired from the single-component simulations. Priori information about $T_2^*$ in human cartilage was obtained on a small testing group of 110 cartilage pixels using the non-negative least squares (NNLS) algorithm (13). Those pixels were from superficial, intermediate and deep layers of two explants. $T_2^*$ relaxation was found to have mono-, bi-, and tri-exponential decays (only ~1% of the pixels in tri-exp decay) and the short-$T_2^*$ time was 0.5-15ms (mean=3.5ms) and the long-$T_2^*$ was 10-80ms (mean=32ms). Monte Carlo simulations were thus focused on bi-component decays with short-$T_2^*$ values of 1-5ms and a long-$T_2^*$ value of 30ms.

**MRI scans**

MRI experiments on the explants were implemented on a clinical 3T scanner (Magnetom Trio Tim, Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany) with an 8-channel knee coil (Invivo Inc., Gainesville, FL). The explants were placed at the isocenter inside the magnet in such a way that the main magnetic field was parallel to the interface between tibia and cartilage. A home-developed, fast, 3D, UTE sequence, AWSOS (acquisition-weighted stack of spirals), was used for data acquisitions (10). This sequence is unique in its design (14), and applicable to both ex vivo and in vivo knee cartilage. Spiral imaging is well known to be sensitive to off-resonance that causes image blurring. We limited this effect under 10% by achieving a good shim (linewidth<20Hz) through localized interactive shimming and a strong fat saturation provided by the manufacturer (Siemens, VB15). In our experiments acquisition parameters were: FOV = 100mm, matrix size = 256, spatial resolution = 0.391 mm, slice number=40-50 at a thickness of 2 mm, spiral interleaves=64 at readout time $T_s=5.28$ ms, hard RF duration=0.4 ms, TR=100 ms, TE=0.5-40 ms at 11 steps, $\theta=30^\circ$, total scan time=4.3-5.3min for one TE image.

**$T_2^*$ mapping and data analysis**

$T_2^*$ mapping was performed on a pixel-by-pixel basis in cartilage regions. The NNLS algorithm was used for multi-component $T_2^*$ fitting in which an extra large $T_2^*$ value (e.g., 350ms) was included to count on base-line signal in the measured data. An interactive iteration was implemented under a condition of minimum number of $T_2^*$ components. The precision of a component $T_2^*$ time was set to 0.1ms during the iterations. Component intensity was normalized relative to total intensity of all components and presented in percentage (%). A $T_2^*$ component was excluded from the fitting when its intensity was less than 5% due to low certainty. Data analysis on the multi-component $T_2^*$ maps was performed at a global level over entire cartilages of individual explants for 1) finding distribution of pixel number of $T_2^*$ components to uncover major numbers of $T_2^*$-decay components, 2) determining a cut-off value to separate short-$T_2^*$ from the long-$T_2^*$, and 3) computing statistical quantities (mean, SD, and median) of short- and long-$T_2^*$ relaxations for quantitative description of the cartilages.

**RESULTS**

**TE designs**

For single-component $T_2^*$ decay, Monte Carlo simulations were performed with $T_2^*=30$ms, $A_0=1000$, $\sigma=3.5$, SNR=105 at TE=30ms. Four groups of two TE values, with varying locations and spans around the target $T_2^*$ value (=30ms), were examined (Tab. 1). It was found that Group #4 [TE = (20, 40) ms] was the best design among the four groups in terms
of producing minimum standard deviation (SD=11.5%) of the estimated $T_2^*$ value. Increasing the number of TEs led to a decrease of the SD of estimated $T_2^*$ value. Three groups of multiple TE values ranging from 3- to 7-TEs were investigated (Tab. 1). The 3-TE group [TE = (15, 30, 60) ms] produced an SD value of 7.2% for the estimated $T_2^*$ value. The 7-TE group [TE = (15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45) ms] produced an SD value of 0.2%. However, the 3-TE group may be an optimal design in practice under limitation of acquisition time. This group had TE values at 0.5-, 1.0-, and 2.0-folds of the target $T_2^*$ value, and this feature was used as guideline in our TE designs for multi-component $T_2^*$ decays.

For bi-component $T_2^*$ decay, Monte Carlo simulations were performed with long-$T_2^*$ component = (30ms, 75%), short-$T_2^*$ component = (1/2/3ms, 25%); and SNR (at TE=0ms) = 286 (noise_1), 143 (noise_2), or 95 (noise_3). In light of priori information about the range of short $T_2^*$ value (0.5-10ms) in human knee cartilage obtained from the testing group of pixels, we focused on two most-likely types of TE design and examined them with Monte Carlo simulation: Type-1 (9-TE) = (0.5, 1.5, 3.0, 4.5, 7, 10, 20, 30, 40) ms and Type-2 (11-TE) = (0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 20, 30, 40) ms. Type-2 was found producing smaller SD value for a short-$T_2^*$ value of 1, 2, or 3ms than Type-1 at all the three noise levels (Fig. 1a-c). For the long-$T_2^*$ value of 30ms, Type-2 was also better than Type-1 (Fig. 1d-e). Therefore, Type-2 was a better selection in the bi-component case and used in this study.

$T_2^*$ mapping and data analysis

Across the six cartilage explants investigated we found four types of $T_2^*$ decay curve: mono-, bi-, tri-, and non-exponential (Fig. 2). Majority of the cartilage pixels (99%) had a decay curve of mono-, bi-, or non-exponential and very small number of the pixels (~1%) had a tri-exponential decay. The non-exponential decay was characterized by a non-decay segment at short TEs (<6ms), followed by an exponential-decay segment (Fig. 2b). The pixels of non-exponential decay were excluded from our multi-component fitting. The pixels of mono- or bi-exponential decay were described together with a bi-component decay model, in which the mono-exponential decay was considered as a special case. Separate maps were then generated for the first $T_2^*$ (or short-$T_2^*$) and second $T_2^*$ (or long-$T_2^*$) components.

Figure 3 shows the multi-component $T_2^*$ decay map in which decay types (e.g., mono-, bi-, tri-, and non-exp) were encoded with colors. Compared with the single-component $T_2^*$ map in which $T_2^*$ time was displayed in color, the $T_2^*$ decay map presented much more detailed descriptions of cartilage variations by $T_2^*$ decay type. However, it should be noted that the $T_2^*$ decay map is a qualitative map used for tracking spatial extension of pixels with the same decay type, rather than for quantitative evaluation of cartilage degeneration.

The number of pixels in an individual decay type in this cartilage was 28.3% in non-exponential, 38.3% in mono-exponential, 32.0% in bi-exponential or 1.4% in tri-exponential. The pixels were falling in three main decay types (i.e., non-, mono-, and bi-exponential), with comparable pixel numbers among the individual decay types (Fig. 3d). This feature was consistently observed in all the six explants investigated, although exact percentage of pixel number in an individual decay type altered from one explant to another. However, we did not find a correlation between cartilage degeneration and the percentage of pixel number.

No obvious distinction between short and long $T_2^*$ time or component-intensity was discerned. Examination of the mono-component $T_2^*$ times, however, revealed several different peaks within the short-$T_2^*$ relaxation range. A cut-off $T_2^*$ value, below which $T_2^*$ times were considered ‘short’, were chosen from these peaks independently for each of the
Explants. The cut-off values were in a range of 3-11ms (8±3ms in mean±SD, median=8ms) across the six explants. Application of the cut-off value to the explants defined the separation of short- and long-T₂* relaxations for the following quantitative evaluations.

T₂* values averaged over entire cartilage in each of the explants were shown in Figure 4. Averaged short-T₂* values are 1.5-4.9ms, with a clear separation between the diseased (1.5-3.6ms) and healthy (4.4-4.9ms) cartilages (Fig. 4a). The averaged short-T₂* values of the healthy cartilages are in a narrower range (0.5ms) than those of the diseased cartilages (2.1ms). Averaged long-T₂* values are in a large range of 7.3-23.4ms, with a mixed distribution between the healthy and diseased cartilages (Fig. 4b). Averaged single-component-T₂* values are 12.5-22.9ms and demonstrated substantial overlap in T₂* value (Fig. 4c). These results showed that short-T₂* relaxation may be more sensitive to cartilage degeneration than long-T₂* or single-T₂* relaxation. However, this observation was based on a very limited number (six) of cartilage explants and thus its statistical significance is unknown at this stage. A study on a larger number of cartilages is needed to achieve statistical significance.

DISCUSSION

The results of this study demonstrated the technical feasibility of investigating human cartilage degeneration through the multi-component T₂* mapping with ultrashort echo time acquisitions (McT₂*mute). Four types of T₂* decays were observed in all of the six cartilage explants (both normal and OA): mono-, bi-, tri-, and non-exponential (Fig. 2), with 99% of the cartilage pixels experiencing mono-, bi-, or non-exponential decay (Fig. 3). Bi-exponential decay is a reflection of both trapped and free water molecules in cartilages contributing to short- and long-T₂* relaxations, respectively. The tri-exponential decay exhibited an additional short-T₂* component (<2ms) which may be the result of fragmented (mobile) proteoglycan (PG) (2). The non-exponential decay happened at about one third of total cartilage pixels (Fig. 3d). This may be a problem for T₂* fitting if those pixels are not identified and excluded from the fitting. On the other hand, the non-exponential decay may reflect new information about the interior of cartilage even though reasons for it remain unknown at this stage.

Also interesting is that only one third of pixels showed bi-exponential decay (Fig. 3d). That was due in part to the exclusion of low component intensity (<5%). Partial volume effect should not be a factor for it because of the high image resolution used and the spatial distribution of those pixels. The possible loss of part of water compartments in those cadaveric explants used in this study, compared with water in live cartilages, might be part of the reason. This is an open question and needs to be investigated in in vivo studies.

The short-T₂* component had a T₂* value mainly in a range of 1-6ms (Fig. 4a). We speculated that it was most likely from water molecules trapped within collagen fibrils, based on the NMR observations (T₂ ~ 4ms) (2). Short-T₂* component might be from collagen or proteoglycan itself, but that was not the case in this study because T₂ value of collagen or proteoglycan is in microsecond (~30μs) (2) and could not be detected in this study with the min TE = 0.5ms. Mobile (fragmented) proteoglycan might contribute to short-T₂* component due to its T₂ value of ~1ms (2), but at low end of the short-T₂* range. Short-T₂* time may be reduced in presence of OA as suggested in Fig. 4a. This may be due to the loosening of collagen network which makes it easier for fibrils to release their trapped water molecules except strongly bounded water molecules (of shorter short-T₂* time). The short-T₂* time may also be affected by loss of PG content as shown in Reference 15. Collagen fibrils may change their structures and thus alter fibrillar water content when proteoglycan is missing. The magic angle effect is another well-known factor altering T₂*
time as demonstrated by excellent works in References 16-19. In this *ex vivo* study, however, magic angle effect did not influence the $T_2^*$ values significantly due to special positioning of the explants used. However, it should be counted on in *in vivo* studies where positioning freedom is limited.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This study has demonstrated that the multi-component $T_2^*$ mapping with ultrashort echo time acquisitions (McT2*mute) was technically feasible on human cartilage explants in clinical setting (3T MRI scanner). Under the min TE of 0.5ms, most of cartilage pixels were found to have bi-/mono-component $T_2^*$ relaxations with short-$T_2^*$ values of 1-6ms and long-$T_2^*$ values of ~22ms at a wide range of component intensity (0-100%). The map of $T_2^*$ decay types, a unique outcome from the multi-component $T_2^*$ mapping, showed spatial distribution of those $T_2^*$ components at high resolution. The echo times used in this study (i.e., eleven TEs in a range of 0.5-40ms) were shown reasonable to cover those short- and long-$T_2^*$ values in the cartilage explants. Therefore, the McT2*mute technique is applicable to human knee cartilage explants.
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Fig. 1.
Monte Carlo simulations for bi-component T2* fitting: long T2*= (30ms, 75%), short T2*= (1/2/3ms, 25%); SNR (at TE=0ms) = 286 (noise_1), 143 (noise_2) or 95 (noise_3); noise trials= 500; Type-1 (9-TE) (ms) = (0.5, 1.5, 3.0, 4.5, 7, 10, 20, 30, 40), Type-2 (11-TE) (ms) = (0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 20, 30, 40).

a-c) For short T2* at 1, 2, and 3ms, Type-2 (11-TE) produced smaller SD values than Type-1 (9-TE) when T2* became shorter from 3 to 1ms.

d-e) For a long T2* at 30ms, the SD values are less than 7% at all the three SNR levels for both Type-1 and Type-2. Note: in c) the mean and SD at noise_3 were excluded due to large SD value.
Fig. 2.
Four typical decay curves found in our cartilage images with UTE acquisitions: mono-, bi-, tri-, and non-exponential decays. These decay curves were differentiated primarily in the first 10ms and became mono-exp decay thereafter.
Fig. 3. Multi-component T$_2^*$ mapping of a human tibial cartilage (asymptomatic, 18 yrs old, male): 

a) Cartilage image at TE=0.5ms (fat saturated, awssos sequence, resolution=0.39mm), 
b) Conventional T$_2^*$ map of the cartilage (mono-exp fitting, 11-TE), 
c) Multi-component T$_2^*$ decay map of the cartilage (multi-component exp fitting, 11-TE), and 
d) Distribution of pixel number over the four recognized types of T$_2^*$ decays. The multi-component T$_2^*$ decay map in c) unveiled more detailed spatial variations of T$_2^*$ relaxation in the cartilage than the single-component T$_2^*$ map in b). Almost all the cartilage pixels (99%) had decays of mono-, bi-, or non-exp type.
Fig. 4.
A 2D plot of global averages of $T_2^*$ relaxation time and intensity of the investigated cartilages with three asymptomatic/healthy (green, shadow at HTP-5) and three diseased (red): a) Short-$T_2^*$ component from multi-component exponential fitting, b) Long-$T_2^*$ component, and c) Single-component from conventional mono-exponential fitting. The short-$T_2^*$ component differentiated the diseased cartilages from the asymptomatic ones, while the long-$T_2^*$ component or single-component did not. The percent intensities are not added up to 100 because they are averages instead of values at individual pixels.
Table 1
Monte Carlo simulations for single-component $T_2^*$ fitting: 2-TE† and multi-TE††

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TE group (ms)</th>
<th>Mean (ms)</th>
<th>SD (ms)</th>
<th>SD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-TE#1: (25, 35)</td>
<td>31.16</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2: (20, 30)</td>
<td>31.34</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3: (30, 40)</td>
<td>31.84</td>
<td>9.62</td>
<td>30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4: (20, 40)</td>
<td>30.24</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-TE3-TE: (15, 30, 60)</td>
<td>30.29</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-TE: (10, 20, 30, 40, 50)</td>
<td>30.07</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-TE: (15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45)</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†$T_2^*$=30ms, $A_0$=1000, $\sigma$=3.5, SNR=105 @ TE=30ms, and noise-trials = 500.

††$T_2^*$=30ms, $A_0$=1000, $\sigma$=3.5, SNR=105 @ TE=30ms, and noise-trials = 500.