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Abstract

\textbf{Purpose of review}—Tuberculosis kills more people than any other infection. Despite advances in diagnostic methods and greater understanding of the reasons for treatment failure, tuberculosis remains common throughout Latin America.

\textbf{Recent findings}—The impact of HIV and multidrug resistance on tuberculosis control has been enormous. HIV-positive patients may be at 10 times greater risk of multidrug resistant tuberculosis than HIV- negative patients. Hopefully, improved diagnostic techniques will allow more rapid diagnosis of tuberculosis and new colorimetric systems are being developed that will enable expedited drug-sensitivity testing. However, in alarming reports, only 58\% of patients were treated with the recommended treatment regime in a Brazilian study, and dropout from treatment in parts of Bolivia was common. Many failings could be combated by rigorous education of patients and physicians. In an encouraging advance, multidrug resistant tuberculosis was successfully treated in a community-based programme, saving an estimated 90\% of the cost of hospital-based treatment. An opportunity to identify treatment failure earlier is demonstrated by the finding that 2 months after the initiation of therapy, positive smears were found in only 3\% of those whose treatment was successful, but 74\% of those whose treatment failed.

\textbf{Summary}—The importance of inexpensive and widely available drugs to treat HIV and multidrug resistant tuberculosis in Latin America is clear. The need for rapid, affordable tests for tuberculosis diagnosis, and for easy drug sensitivity testing is also evident. Finally, improving treatment success is achievable even in the resource poor setting.
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Introduction

Globally, tuberculosis is responsible for more than two million deaths each year [1]. It remains endemic throughout Latin America (Table 1) despite renewed efforts in many national control programmes [2,3\textsuperscript{••}] and recent advances in disease detection [4-9] and drug sensitivity testing [10,11\textsuperscript{••},12] in the resource-poor setting. Over the last 20 years, tuberculosis notification rates appear to be on a slow decline; this effect, however, is not dramatic (Fig. 1) [1]. Moreover, many young people still die from tuberculosis [3\textsuperscript{••},13] and this has major economic and social implications [14] for endemic areas. Advancing our understanding of tuberculosis and the barriers to its successful treatment is vital. This review sets out several key areas of recent research from Latin America.
HIV

The emergence of HIV, a disease just decades old, has dramatically altered the epidemiology of tuberculosis, a disease millennia old [15,16,17•]. Whilst patients with HIV are at increased risk of developing all types of mycobacterial infections, a study from Brazil has shown that of all laboratory cultures for mycobacteria, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* was identified in 80%, but only 1.5% revealed atypical mycobacterial species, all in HIV patients [18]. In studies of HIV and tuberculosis co-infection, antiretroviral treatment significantly reduced overall mortality [19], and rates of positive tuberculosis culture fell by two thirds after increased distribution of HIV treatment in Brazil [20•]. This reaffirms the need to provide cheap antiretroviral drugs to the developing world, and to aggressively treat both diseases in co-infected patients.

Multidrug resistance

Throughout the world, multidrug resistant tuberculosis is a growing problem. Many recent studies of tuberculosis in Latin America have focused on this important area.

Multidrug resistance and HIV

As well as the threat to global tuberculosis control caused by HIV, there are increasing problems due to the well known association between HIV and multidrug resistant (MDR) tuberculosis [21•]. This was confirmed in a Peruvian study that showed 10 times greater rates of MDR tuberculosis in those with HIV than in those without [22•]. One Brazilian study, however, found antibiotic resistance was not dependant on HIV status [23].

Rise in multidrug resistance

Recent surveys under the guidance of the World Health Organization (WHO) have looked at rates of primary multidrug resistance (MDR tuberculosis found in previously untreated patients with tuberculosis). Latin American rates varied from a declining rate in Cuba, to 4% or higher in the Dominican Republic and Argentina [24,25]. Ecuador had the highest rate of primary MDR at 6.6%, possibly due to their late-uptake into the direct observed treatment, short-course (DOTS) programme [25]. In Peru, despite a good national programme that has resulted in the country moving out of the WHO list of highest burden countries in recent years [1], rates of multidrug resistance in patients previously treated with tuberculosis drugs (secondary multidrug resistance) have increased to 57% in the last 7 years (Fig. 2), although overall rates of resistance (including patients who have not previously received treatment) are much lower [21•]. Other studies [26,27] also showed that drug resistance is related to prior treatment, but this finding is not universal [22•]. This rise in multidrug resistance is worrying and does not follow the decline in drug resistance seen in China or the USA after the implementation of aggressive control programmes [21•]. Furthermore, HIV rates are low in Peru (<0.5% [28]) and this confirms the potential for increases in rates of multidrug resistance even in areas of low HIV prevalence.

Community-based multidrug resistance treatment

In an exciting study [29••], the use of community-based, directly-observed, individualized therapy of MDR tuberculosis in the resource-poor setting was found to be effective, achieving 83% cure at around 10% of the cost of hospital based treatment. Risk factors for treatment failure were low body mass index and anaemia. If the strain was sensitive, use of ethambutol and pyrazinamide were associated with a favourable outcome. Although the costs of resistance treatment may be out of reach for many developing nations, the recent price reduction of many second-line anti-tuberculosis drugs should help in this regard.
Furthermore, the benefits of early treatment in terms of reduction of multidrug resistance transmission are likely to be worth much more than the immediate financial outlay.

In a follow-up paper, the varied role of the community nurse allowed for the success of outpatient-based treatment of MDR tuberculosis [30•]. The importance of psychosocial support in successful treatment was emphasized.

**Psychiatric issues**

As well as the psychological aspects of treatment, psychiatric issues are also important and probably underevaluated in the assessment of tuberculosis patients. Of the MDR patients enrolled in this study, baseline depression and anxiety were found in 52% and 9% respectively [31••]. Depression improved markedly during treatment, with a prevalence of 29%, despite a further 13% of patients developing depression after treatment initiation. Psychosis had a disturbing incidence of 12% during treatment.

**Diagnostics**

In the resource-poor setting, the development of cheap, rapid and accurate methods for tuberculosis diagnosis and drug sensitivity testing is vital, as many areas still rely on poorly sensitive sputum smear microscopy as the only diagnostic test. In these areas, drug sensitivity testing, if available, usually takes many months to provide a result.

**Simple drug sensitivity testing**

In an encouraging study from Argentina [11••], an inexpensive colorimetric assay has been shown to provide minimum inhibitory concentrations of nine second-line drugs for a cost of less than US$5 in an average of 8 days. This will aid the development of a fast, widely available sensitivity test for patients with MDR tuberculosis.

**Diagnosis in children**

Accurately diagnosing tuberculosis in children can be difficult, partly due to underdeveloped immunity [32]. A useful scoring system based on clinical, radiological and epidemiological factors has been adopted by the Brazilian Ministry of Health to aid in the diagnosis of paediatric tuberculosis. Radiographic evidence was the most predictive variable of the score, followed by known exposure and tuberculosis skin test positivity [33•].

According to a Peruvian study, a visible Bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) scar is a highly sensitive indicator of past vaccination in children up to 3 years old. Indeed, 99% of newborns vaccinated 6 months previously had a scar diameter greater than 2 mm [34•]. Furthermore, whilst many children responded to a tuberculosis skin test after vaccination, positive reactions (410 mm diameter) did not occur in the absence of tuberculosis infection. This result is supported by one recent Brazilian study [35]; a separate study, however, recommends a cut-off of 15 mm in children in order to prevent false-positive results as it demonstrated that BCG-induced skin test results of over 10 mm were possible [36].

**Advanced technologies**

Several studies of more advanced technologies for diagnosis and drug sensitivity testing have been performed recently in Latin America, despite the fact that many of these technologies are often unusable by national tuberculosis control programmes due to cost. In an Argentinean study of commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kits for tuberculosis diagnosis, sensitivities ranged from just 29 to 82% for diagnosis of smear positive tuberculosis patients [37]. Also somewhat disappointing was a recent study [38] analysing a commercial serological test kit for tuberculosis: whilst identifying 47% of smear...
negative patients and being unaffected by prior BCG vaccination, it only detected 64% of smear positive patients. Furthermore, much lower sensitivity rates were found in HIV-positive patients. Studies have also looked at polymerase chain reaction-based systems for improved diagnosis [5-9] and evaluation of drug resistance [12]. In epidemiological studies, DNA fingerprinting has been used to demonstrate the intercontinental patterns of strains [39,40] and clusters of strains in HIV-positive and negative individuals [41-43].

**Treatment and treatment failure**

Tuberculosis is generally a curable disease. Understanding the reasons behind successful treatment is clearly an important step towards improving global tuberculosis cure rates.

**Treatment dropout**

The WHO-promoted DOTS strategy has had recent success in South America, for instance in Peru, where improved detection and control has been associated with considerable reduction in infection rates [2]. However, although patients with single-drug resistant or MDR tuberculosis were cured with the same level of success as drug-sensitive tuberculosis in Bolivia, high rates of treatment dropout led to overall poor cure rates [44•]. This presumably contributes to Bolivia's high tuberculosis prevalence compared with other South American countries (Table 1). In Brazil, low adherence to treatment has been found in those in the lowest social class and tuberculosis/HIV co-morbidity was more common [45,46], and more often fatal [17•] in those with the lowest level of schooling. A study from Havana, Cuba, however, showed that family dysfunction and overcrowding, but not schooling, were associated with tuberculosis incidence [14], although overall levels of tuberculosis are generally low in Cuba (Table 1) [47,48].

**Strengthening of national tuberculosis control programmes**

Whilst many of the recent advances in the understanding of Latin American tuberculosis have been encouraging, there is still much to be done to adequately implement successful policy and health programmes. For instance, in a paper from Rio de Janeiro that assessed 302 records from patients who had died from tuberculosis, only 69% had had acid-fast bacillary smears, and of smear-negative patients, only one had culture. Recommended treatment was implemented in just 58%. The median age of death was just 47 years old [3••]. Importantly, new strategies and procedures have been implemented in order to counter some of these statistics.

Prison tuberculosis treatment in Brazil has also been studied and found to have high rates of dropout and low rates of cure [49]. In a separate study from Brazil [50•], reasons for defaulting from treatment were assessed. Default rates were greater than 20%. Not having an appointment card, not feeling comfortable with the doctor and non-recording of blood pressure were the strongest predictors of defaulting. The conclusions focus on the need for a more structured local tuberculosis control programme.

**Predicting treatment failure**

Predicting failure of conventional treatment is the focus of a paper from a team working in Peru [51••]. They looked at several factors and noted that only 3% of patients who were cured at 6 months had positive sputum smears after 2 months of treatment. Conversely, 74% of failure patients had positive smears at 2, 3 or 4 months. A high level of MDR tuberculosis was found in these patients. Naturally, in order to reduce the length of time that patients remain infective whilst being treated with only partially effective drugs, individualized therapy based on early culture is the gold standard. This study, however, was case-controlled...
and also only used smear negativity, rather than culture negativity, as an indication of cure. This important issue will still need further clarification.

Causes of death

In a study of causes of death in tuberculosis patients in Brazil, respiratory failure was the leading cause of death, occurring in nearly 50% of patients. If figures were combined for tuberculosis being the underlying or an associated cause (mainly if HIV was the underlying cause) of death, then mortality reached nine per 100,000, almost twice the classical rate [15].

Drug adverse affects

In a study of adverse affects of MDR tuberculosis treatment, peripheral neuropathy was identified commonly, affecting 13% of patients who did not receive pyridoxine prophylaxis [52•]. No other factors associated with neuropathy were identified, although the study size was small. Hypokalaemia is also a noteworthy adverse affect of treatment for MDR tuberculosis [53]. It was concluded in both studies that effective management of these side effects was possible without compromising treatment. Also noteworthy is a review of recreational drug use in Brazil, which demonstrates that pulmonary infections, including tuberculosis, are more common in regular cocaine users [54].

Education

DOTS is the globally preferred treatment strategy to deal with the tuberculosis pandemic. However numerous articles here have shown that education is a further vital strategy to improve patient compliance and guideline adherence by health professionals and institutions. This education must take place at a patient [29••,30•,31••,55-57], physician [55,58,59,60•] and indeed national level [58,60•,61,62], and should be carefully aimed to overcome cultural barriers [56,63].

Other work

Naturally, a wide range of ideas have been investigated in Latin American tuberculosis studies over the last year. Some of the more interesting are focused on here.

Mexico-US border

The frequent northward passage of immigrants from Mexico has increased the rates of tuberculosis (including MDR tuberculosis) in the southwestern United States [64]. For instance, rates of latent tuberculosis were found to be 21.8% in Latino versus 5.6% for non-Latino individuals in one study from San Diego [65]. Moreover, young Latinos living near the border are at higher risk for undiagnosed infection and undertreatment of latent tuberculosis [65]. Studies investigating tuberculosis prophylaxis of Latino immigrants in the USA have found that cultural barriers hinder treatment and that completion rates were poor [66]. Furthermore, 70% of Latino adolescents reported at least one side-effect of isoniazid prophylactic therapy and that side-effect reporting was negatively related to adherence [67], but this could be improved with coaching [57].

Contact tracing

Protocols for the assessment and follow-up of contacts of patients with MDR tuberculosis have yet to be developed in high burden areas although the importance of contact tracing in tuberculosis control was demonstrated over 20 years ago. In a study from Peru [68••], 7% of close contacts of 192 index MDR tuberculosis patients developed new tuberculosis within a 2-year period. Somewhat surprisingly, only 15% of new cases had the same pattern of resistance as their index case, much lower than previous studies in this area, some of which
have shown up to 83% concordance in resistance patterns. Early drug-sensitivity cultures of new cases, which allowed individualized therapy, resulted in cure more frequently than treatment with standard regimens.

**Helicobacter pylori**

In an underpowered yet interesting study, infection with *Helicobacter pylori* was not associated with tuberculosis skin test positivity, despite hypochlorhydria being a recognized risk factor for tuberculosis [69].

**Altitude**

The historical evidence that tuberculosis is less common in mountain populations was confirmed in a study from Peru that performed tuberculosis skin tests in groups living at low and high altitude. After controlling for confounding factors, average positivity rates were over four times lower at high altitude, possibly due to relative hypoxia, lower humidity or increased ultraviolet light in the mountains. Furthermore, there was greater household clustering of positive individuals in high altitude groups [70•].

**Conclusion**

Tuberculosis is a curable disease when rapid accurate diagnosis is combined with high levels of appropriate treatment completion. In the resource-poor setting, this is achievable, but not yet fully implemented. Recent tuberculosis research in Latin America highlights the importance of coherent national and international strategies for tuberculosis control, including education, improved tuberculosis diagnosis, early culture for drug-sensitivity, integrated tuberculosis and HIV treatment programmes and the use of the multidisciplinary team to encourage health education and treatment compliance.
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Figure 1. Tuberculosis notification rates in Latin America compared with a baseline rate (100) in 1990
Reproduced with permission [1].
Figure 2. Percentage antibiotic resistance to the five first-line anti-tuberculosis drugs between 1994 and 2001 in previously treated patients in Peru.
INH, isoniazid; RMP, rifampin; PZA, pyrazinamide; EMB, ethambutol; SM, streptomycin. Reproduced with permission [21•].
Table 1
Reported and estimated cases and notification rates in South and Central America

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Detected cases</th>
<th>Estimated cases</th>
<th>Estimated rate per 100 000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>South America</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>11 456</td>
<td>17 835</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>10 531</td>
<td>18 407</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>74 466</td>
<td>110 511</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>3006</td>
<td>3106</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>11 480</td>
<td>19 970</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>6015</td>
<td>18 140</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guyana</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>2073</td>
<td>3491</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>37 197</td>
<td>51 231</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>689</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>6251</td>
<td>10 337</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>163 586</td>
<td>254 788</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Central America</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belize</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>1468</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>4766</td>
<td>11 325</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>1458</td>
<td>3895</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>2419</td>
<td>9578</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>10 224</td>
<td>27 159</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>4435</td>
<td>5787</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>18,879</td>
<td>34,192</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>2447</td>
<td>3582</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>1711</td>
<td>1415</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Caribbean islands combined</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>1252</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>48 599</td>
<td>100 384</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from latest World Health Organization figures [1]. These figures can be compared with an estimated rate in the USA of five per 100 000 and a global rate of 141 per 100 000.