A Molecular Network That Produces Spontaneous Oscillations in Excitable Cells of Dictyostelium
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A network of interacting proteins has been found that can account for the spontaneous oscillations in adenylyl cyclase activity that are observed in homogenous populations of Dictyostelium cells 4 h after the initiation of development. Previous biochemical assays have shown that when extracellular adenosine 3',5'-cyclic monophosphate (cAMP) binds to the surface receptor CAR1, adenylyl cyclase and the MAP kinase ERK2 are transiently activated. A rise in the internal concentration of cAMP activates protein kinase A such that it inhibits ERK2 and leads to a loss-of-ligand binding by CAR1. ERK2 phosphorylates the cAMP phosphodiesterase REG A that reduces the internal concentration of cAMP. A secreted phosphodiesterase reduces external cAMP concentrations between pulses. Numerical solutions to a series of nonlinear differential equations describing these activities faithfully account for the observed periodic changes in cAMP. The activity of each of the components is necessary for the network to generate oscillatory behavior; however, the model is robust in that 25-fold changes in the kinetic constants linking the activities have only minor effects on the predicted frequency. Moreover, constant high levels of external cAMP lead to attenuation, whereas a brief pulse of cAMP can advance or delay the phase such that interacting cells become entrained.

INTRODUCTION

Periodic responses on a time scale of seconds to minutes are often encountered in organisms ranging from bacteria to mammals (Winfree, 1975, 1977; Koshland et al., 1982; Meinhardt, 1982; Berg, 1990). However, the molecular mechanisms that underlie such oscillations are poorly understood. Analyses of postinfection processes in phage lambda–infected Escherichia coli as well as of bacterial chemotaxis have shown that the timing of cellular events cannot be accounted for by specific genes and their products alone but rather by the properties of the regulatory and biochemical networks to which they belong (Bray et al., 1993; McAdams and Shapiro, 1995; McAdams and Arkin, 1999; Barkai and Leibler, 1997; Spiro et al., 1997). These studies have also highlighted the value of computer simulations in understanding and characterizing network behavior. Such simulations can be readily used to test the response of the network to various changes and perturbations, facilitating the generation of testable hypotheses. In this work we have simulated the molecular network underlying adenosine 3',5'-cyclic monophosphate (cAMP) oscillations observed in fields of chemotactic Dictyostelium discoideum cells. The robust circuit modeled here produces the spontaneous oscillations in cAMP observed during the early development of D. discoideum and can account for the synchronization of the cells necessary for chemotaxis and further development.

cAMP-mediated chemotaxis of D. discoideum cells has been the subject of physiological, biochemical, and mathematical analyses for many years (Gerisch, et al., 1979; Loomis, 1979; Dinauer et al., 1980; Devreotes, 1982; Knox et al., 1986; Martiel and Goldbeter, 1987; Goldbeter, 1996; Parent and Devreotes, 1996). However, recent advances in the mutational tagging and cloning of genes pertinent to chemotaxis have uncovered enzymes not previously considered in analyses of the cellular responses to cAMP (Kuspa and Loomis, 1992; Firtel, 1996; Loomis, 1996). By including in our simulations the interactions of these newly discovered
enzymes with previously defined components in the signal transduction pathway, we find that many of the aspects of excitation, relay, and adaptation to the chemoattractant cAMP can be better understood. Numerical solutions of the differential equations used to simulate this molecular network also provide predictions with the resolution necessary for meaningful comparison with measured values.

A few hours after the initiation of development, *Dictyostelium* cells exhibit spontaneous light-scattering oscillations with a periodicity of 5–10 min (Figure 1) (Gerisch and Hess, 1974; Roos et al., 1977). This property, caused by contraction of the cells, seems to be directly related to the response of the cells to extracellular cAMP because addition of cAMP to stirred suspensions of aggregation-competent cells shifts the phase in the light-scattering oscillations. Moreover, the light-scattering peaks coincide with spontaneous peaks in internal cAMP and are followed after a short time lag by peaks in the external cAMP concentration (Gerisch and Wick, 1975). After each peak, extracellular cAMP is rapidly hydrolyzed by a secreted phosphodiesterase (PDE), whereas intracellular cAMP is hydrolyzed by an intracellular phosphodiesterase (REG A) (Malchow et al., 1972; Shaulsky et al., 1998). When exogenous cAMP is added immediately after a peak, the phase of the oscillations is delayed slightly, whereas if the cAMP is added midway through a cycle, the phase is advanced, and continuous addition of cAMP suppresses the oscillations (Gerisch and Hess, 1974). These results indicate that cells become refractory to stimulation by cAMP soon after responding to cAMP and then become excitable again when the stimulus is removed.

During the first few hours of development, *Dictyostelium* cells accumulate a high-affinity cell surface cAMP receptor (CAR1) that is a member of the G-protein–linked family of receptors (Klein et al., 1988). By 4 h of development, there are ~40,000 molecules of CAR1 distributed uniformly over the surface of the cells (Johnson et al., 1991; Xiao et al., 1997). The apparent $K_d$ of most of the binding sites in unsynchronized populations of cells is ~300 nM (Johnson et al., 1991, 1992). When CAR1 binds cAMP, the signal is transduced via a G-protein to activation of adenylyl cyclase (ACA), the membrane-associated enzyme that catalyzes the formation of cAMP from ATP (Kesbeke et al., 1988; Kumagai et al., 1991). Some fraction of this cAMP is then secreted into the environment, while the rest remains within the cell. Binding of external cAMP to CAR1 thus initiates a positive feedback loop in which external cAMP binding CAR1 activates ACA, leading to the production of more external cAMP.

However this positive feedback does not continue unabated. Two to three minutes after the stimulation of cells with external cAMP and the activation of ACA, there is a rapid 5- to 10-fold reduction in the affinity of CAR1 to cAMP and a consequent reduction in ACA activity (Caterina et al., 1995a,b). This loss-of-ligand binding is correlated with phosphorylation of a cluster of five serine moieties immediately after the seven transmembrane portions of CAR1 that results in reduced electrophoretic mobility (Hereld et al., 1994; Caterina et al., 1995a,b). CAR1 returns to its original unphosphorylated and high-affinity state shortly after the removal of external cAMP, indicating that the phosphates are rapidly removed by a phosphatase (Malchow and Gerisch, 1974; Vaughan and Devreotes, 1988). Measurements on cells carrying a modified version of CAR1, in which these serines are replaced with alanines or glycines to preclude phosphorylation, showed that loss-of-ligand binding failed to occur upon stimulation with cAMP (Caterina et al., 1995b; Kim et al., 1997). It thus appears that cells rapidly bind cAMP when exposed to relatively low levels of the ligand, in the range of 10–500 nM, and are then unable to bind more cAMP as a consequence of modification of CAR1 unless the concentration of cAMP is increased into the micromolar range. When cAMP is removed, the modification of CAR1 is reversed, and CAR1 is again able to bind cAMP with high affinity. Thus, ligand binding oscillates in response to the levels of external cAMP.
This loss-of-ligand binding and termination of the cAMP-positive feedback loop are initiated by CAR1's activation of the MAP kinase ERK2. In addition to activating ACA, the binding of cAMP to CAR1 also causes the activity of ERK2 to increase to a peak within 2 min after addition of cAMP (Segall et al., 1995; Knetsch et al., 1996; Maeda et al., 1996; Aubry et al., 1997). In the next few minutes, ERK2 activity returns to basal levels. Phosphorylation of the cytoplasmic phosphodiesterase REG A by active ERK2 inhibits REG A such that intracellular cAMP can accumulate when ACA is first stimulated (Lu and Kuspa, personal communication). The cAMP that accumulates in the cell can activate the cAMP-dependent protein kinase PKA (Mutzel et al., 1987; Simon et al., 1992). Activated PKA then inhibits ERK2, possibly acting via the small GTP-binding protein RAS (Knetsch et al., 1996; Aubry et al., 1997). When ERK2 is no longer active and therefore unable to inhibit REG A, the phosphodiesterase reduces the internal concentration of cAMP below the level required for PKA activity (Shaulsky et al., 1998).

In our simulations we also model activated PKA as causing, either directly or indirectly, the phosphorylation and loss-of-ligand binding of CAR1. Thus CAR1 activates ACA and the production of more cAMP ligand but also initiates a signaling cascade that leads, via PKA, to a loss-of-ligand binding. Our simulations of the molecular interactions described above indicate that the negative feedback inhibition of CAR1 occurs after a short time delay relative to the positive feedback production of cAMP. Molecular systems involving similar positive feedback loops followed by a delayed negative feedback have been suggested as a mechanism for the production of spontaneous oscillations (Ermentrout, 1994). Our simulations demonstrate that such a mechanism, involving the components discussed above, produces the cAMP oscillations necessary for chemotaxis and further development of Dictyostelium cells.

THE NETWORK

The connections among many of the above-mentioned components are depicted in Figure 2. We have included only those activities that are regulated, either directly or indirectly, by other activities in the circuit. PKA indirectly affects the regulation of ACA by inhibiting the cAMP receptor CAR1 as well as by being responsible for inhibition of ERK2 activity. When mutants lacking PKA activity are stimulated by addition of cAMP, the activities of both ACA and ERK2 go up but then remain high for much longer than in wild-type cells (Knetsch et al., 1996; Aubry et al., 1997; Mann et al., 1997). These observations indicate that terminating the activation of ACA and ERK2 is dependent on PKA activity.

Oscillations in ACA activity are dampened unless the external cAMP is removed or degraded by phosphodiesterase, and so we have included the extracellular phosphodiesterase PDE in the circuit. This enzyme is unrelated to the cytoplasmic phosphodiesterase REG A and is responsible for the rapid degradation of extracellular cAMP soon after this cAMP is released (Malchow et al., 1972). ACA and REG A are critical to the relay of cAMP signals because they are responsible for the synthesis and degradation of cAMP. Null mutations in any one of the genes encoding components of the circuit result in the lack of relay (Table 1).
Although many of the components that are essential for the relay of cAMP signals accumulate only after the initiation of development, we have modeled the situation found in cells that have developed for 4 h and are aggregation competent. We are thus simulating a biochemical network at a given time during development and can neglect the synthesis and degradation of the enzymes involved.

THE MODEL

Changes in the enzymatic activities shown in Figure 2 can be expressed as a set of nonlinear differential equations with kinetic constants $k_n$ ($n = 1–14$). The activity of each of the seven components of the network is determined by the balance between activating and inactivating enzymes that is then reflected in the equations in the form of an activating and a deactivating term. Changes in both internal and external cAMP are dependent on the activities of ACA, the cytoplasmic phosphodiesterase PDE, as well as on the proportion of newly synthesized cAMP that is retained within the cell.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inactivated gene</th>
<th>Observed*</th>
<th>Computed predictions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACA</td>
<td>ERK2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acaA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pkaC</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erkB</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carA</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data of Vaughan et al. (1987), Harwood et al. (1992), Pupillo et al. (1992), Insall et al. (1994), Segall et al. (1995), Knetsch et al. (1996), Aubry et al. (1997), and Mann et al. (1997). Stable indicates that the activity does not return to basal levels during the period immediately after activation. Computed predictions were determined in the designated mutant cells after the addition of a single pulse of exogenous cAMP as well as during spontaneous oscillations.

Table 2. Standard model kinetic constants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$k_1$</td>
<td>1.4 min$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_2$</td>
<td>0.9 min$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_3$</td>
<td>2.5 min$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_4$</td>
<td>1.5 min$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_5$</td>
<td>0.6 min$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_6$</td>
<td>0.8 min$^{-1}$ µM$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_7$</td>
<td>2.0 min$^{-1}$ µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_8$</td>
<td>1.3 min$^{-1}$ µM$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_9$</td>
<td>0.7 min$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{10}$</td>
<td>1.0 min$^{-1}$ µM$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{11}$</td>
<td>0.3 min$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{12}$</td>
<td>3.1 min$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{13}$</td>
<td>1.8 min$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{14}$</td>
<td>1.5 min$^{-1}$ µM$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
an error tolerance of $10^{-3}$. By the use of the values presented in Table 2, the changes in the activity of ACA and ERK2 followed the observed values within the levels of accuracy of enzymatic measurements (Figures 1 and 3). It has not yet been possible to measure directly changes in REG A phosphodiesterase or PKA kinase activity with physiologically significant substrates, and so their kinetics cannot be compared with values determined during the oscillatory cycle. However, the predictions are consistent with known values for cells that have developed for 4 h (Leichtling et al., 1984; Behrens et al., 1986; Mann and Firtel, 1991; Anjard et al., 1992, 1993; Shaulsky et al., 1996). The changes in both internal and external cAMP (Figure 3) also conform accurately to the observed changes in these parameters with respect to both relative levels and timing (Gerisch and Wick, 1975; Gerisch et al., 1977; Roos et al., 1975, 1977). The internal concentration of cAMP has been found to increase to $\sim 15-20$ mM, while the external concentration of cAMP depends on the cell density but does not exceed 1 mM (Gerisch et al., 1977). We varied the portion of newly made cAMP that is retained in the cells from 20 to 80% and found that it had little effect on the frequency of oscillations (our unpublished results). The best fit with the observed levels of internal cAMP was found when we set the portion retained at 70% ($k_9 = 0.7 \text{ min}^{-1}$).

Computer simulations of such networks are easily modified to generate predictions for behavior in the absence of one or more of the enzymes involved. Simulations of such mutant networks perfectly matched the observed behavior (Table 1). In addition, we ran the simulation under a wide range of initial active enzyme concentrations and found that in all cases stable, spontaneous oscillations with a frequency of $\sim 7$ min were produced (our unpublished results). Thus, no external stimulus is needed to initiate oscillations.

**ROBUSTNESS**

To explore the sensitivity of our model to the chosen parameters, we systematically varied each parameter 25-fold, from 5-fold lower to 5-fold higher than the values in Table 2. Although the frequency was little changed by these deviations from the model, the amplitude was significantly affected in some cases (Table 3). In all cases, periodic oscillations were generated, although the frequency decreased to 5 min in some cases while it increased to 12 min in others (Figure 4). These frequencies are within the observed range for aggregating cells (Devreotes, 1982). On the other hand, altering certain kinetic constants by more than threefold reduced the amplitude to $\leq 10\%$ of the mean after three cycles and led to further attenuation in subsequent cycles (Table 3). Nevertheless, we conclude that the circuit is not particularly sensitive to variations in the kinetic constants because twofold changes make little difference in either the frequency or amplitude of the oscillation in the enzymatic activities.

**PHASE SHIFTS**

A property of many oscillatory systems is that the phase of the oscillations is sensitive to the addition of an extraneous signal (Glass and Winfree, 1984). Addition of cAMP at 8-min intervals to aggregation-competent Dictyostelium cells entrains the phase of light-scattering peaks, whereas addition of a single pulse of
cAMP out of phase either advances or delays subsequent peaks (Gerisch et al., 1977). We simulated the addition of cAMP just before the endogenous peak in cAMP and found that it delayed the phase slightly (Figure 5, top). When we simulated the addition of cAMP 3 min earlier, we found that the phase was advanced approximately one-half a cycle (Figure 5, bottom). The extent of phase variation was then determined by systematically varying the time of addition of exogenous cAMP throughout the cycle. During the early part of the cycle, the phase is increasingly delayed the later cAMP is added, until midway in the cycle when addition of cAMP results in maximal advancement of the phase and then tapers off (Figure 6A). Both the shape and the extent of this response are consistent with the observed phase shifts of cells developing in suspension (Figure 6B) (Gerisch et al., 1977).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Frequencya</th>
<th>Amplitudea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fivefold decrease</td>
<td>Fivefold increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_1$</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_2$</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_3$</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_4$</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_5$</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_6$</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_7$</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_8$</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_9$</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{10}$</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{11}$</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{12}$</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The changes in either the frequency or amplitude of the oscillations in internal cAMP concentration as a consequence of a fivefold decrease or increase in each parameter are presented as the fold change relative to the standard simulation. The amplitude is defined as one-half of the difference in peak and trough values of internal cAMP concentration after three cycles.

Figure 4. Robustness. Each of the coupling constants of the equations describing the circuit (see Table 2) was systematically varied over a 25-fold range, and the resulting frequency of oscillations in ACA was determined. Reducing the rates of activation or deactivation of PKA increased the frequency up to 11 min, whereas reducing the rate of ACA deactivation increased the frequency slightly. Increasing these rates had the opposite effect on the frequency except that increases in the rate of PKA deactivation slightly increased the frequency. The periodicity of the computer-generated oscillations remained in the experimentally observed range of 5–12 min in all cases.

Figure 5. Perturbation of the oscillations. Computer simulations were performed after addition of exogenous cAMP. Added cAMP (filled spike) was rapidly degraded by the secreted phosphodiesterase PDE. Top, addition of a pulse of cAMP during the rising phase of the ACA cycle resulted in a slight increase in the peak activity but had almost no effect on the phase. Bottom, addition of a pulse of cAMP in the middle of the ACA cycle advanced the phase almost one-half a cycle. The phases of other oscillatory components of the circuit were also perturbed by a pulse of cAMP added in the middle of the ACA cycle. Units are concentrations of activated enzyme (μM).
The shift from delay to advance happens at \(0.4\) of the cycle. There was no way to predict intuitively this outcome of the computer simulation, and so any bias in choice of parameters was avoided. Moreover, neither the shape nor the extent of the phase shift is affected when the coupling constants are varied over a fivefold range (our unpublished results). The phase shift response to external stimulation seems to be a highly robust property of the circuit.

**ENTRAINMENT**

When *Dictyostelium* cells develop in monolayers on a solid substratum, macroscopic waves can be observed as cAMP signals propagate through the field (Devreotes, 1982). The waves produce target patterns or spirals depending on the homogeneity of the field and travel outward at \(\sim 300\) mm/min, suggesting diffusion of a nondissipating relayed signal (Parent and Devreotes, 1996). These behaviors can be predicted from mathematical analyses of excitable fields with the properties of *Dictyostelium* cells (Kessler and Levine, 1993; Levine et al., 1996). They critically depend on the entrainment of the millions of cells within the field such that their oscillatory phases are temporally coordinated. Because cells are in somewhat different physiological states and at different positions in the cell cycle when development is initiated, they differentiate at slightly different rates. Nevertheless, after 4 or 5 h of development, the individuality of the cells becomes constrained by the population as a whole, and regular periodic responses to cAMP can be seen throughout the field. Even cells held in suspension oscillate synchronously.

Spontaneous oscillations in light scattering and cAMP synthesis in well mixed populations can only be observed when the phases of the individual cells are synchronized such that they rise and fall at the same time in every cell. We have simulated entrainment by following the changes in ACA activity in two cells in which the phase was initially offset (Figure 7A). If these cells are exposed to a common environment in which the cAMP concentration is determined by the output from both cells, we find that they mutually interact such that peak activities become synchronized (Figure 7B). Maximally offset cells become entrained within an hour, whereas cells less out of phase become entrained even more rapidly (our unpublished results). Phase shifts in the circuits of independent cells in response to changes in the concentration of extracellular ligand to which they both contribute bring the individual cells into temporal synchrony, as required for relay of the chemotactic signal for aggregation (Figure 7B).

**DISCUSSION**

We find that a circuit in which PKA inhibits, either directly or indirectly, activation of ERK2 and ACA by ligand-bound CAR1 is able to generate spontaneous oscillations in the levels of cAMP. When newly secreted cAMP binds to the cell surface receptor CAR1, CAR1 activates the MAP kinase ERK2 such that ERK2 can phosphorylate the cytoplasmic cAMP phosphodiesterase REG A, thereby inhibiting the activity of REG A. Internal cAMP accumulates as the result of the increase in ACA activity and a decrease in REG A activity and reaches levels that activate PKA. Some of this internal cAMP is secreted and can further stimulate CAR1 receptors, forming a positive feedback loop. However, once PKA is activated by the rise in internal cAMP concentration, PKA acts to block the ability of CAR1 to activate ERK2 and ACA and also inhibits ERK2. When this MAP kinase is no longer active, REG A is reactivated by a phosphatase and lowers the

---

Figure 6. Phase shifts. (A) Simulations after systematic addition of cAMP pulses at different times throughout the ACA cycle showing that the phase was delayed when cAMP was added early in the cycle and advanced when cAMP was added during the second one-half. (B) Direct measurements of phase shifts after addition of cAMP throughout the ACA cycle (Gerisch et al., 1977; Malchow et al., 1978).
internal cAMP level such that PKA is no longer active. ERK2 is then dephosphorylated, and the circuit returns to its original state (Figure 2). Our simulations have shown that a rapid positive feedback activation of CAR1, via ACA, followed by a delayed negative feedback inhibition of CAR1, via PKA, produces the observed oscillations in Dictyostelium cells developed for 4 h.

This circuit is constructed from known components that interact in established manners. Receptor phosphorylation has been directly shown to result in the loss of ligand binding, but the protein kinase has not yet been specified (Hereld et al., 1994; Caterina et al., 1995a,b). Binding of cAMP to the external portion of CAR1 leads to activation of both ERK2 and ACA. However, the signal transduction pathway leading to ACA may not directly involve ERK2. In fact, we have found that simulated circuits in which ACA activation is independent of ERK2 activation generate spontaneous oscillations with the same periodicity and amplitude as that seen in the circuit in which ERK2 is in a direct line to ACA activation (our unpublished results). This variant circuit also shows the same phase shift response to an external pulse of cAMP and the same ability of out-of-phase cells to entrain each other as that seen in the circuit we have simulated in detail. Therefore, this specific portion of the circuit is not crucial to its performance; ERK2 may or may not be an essential component in the pathway from CAR1 to ACA.

A detailed model of cAMP signaling in Dictyostelium that is based on receptor modification and dimerization has been presented previously by Martiel and Goldbeter (1984, 1987). In their model, the cAMP receptor is rapidly phosphorylated by an unspecified kinase when external cAMP is bound. Before phosphorylation, ligand-bound CAR1 activates ACA but is completely inactive after phosphorylation. A phosphatase returns the receptor to its active state. Dimerization of the active receptor is arbitrarily invoked to provide the cooperativity necessary to generate periodic responses. Although they use published rate constants for the most part, they had to adjust the values for phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of ligand-free receptor by multiplying the experimental values by a factor of three and reducing the rate of dephosphorylation of ligand-bound receptor to 6% of the measured value to generate oscillations in the range of 5–10 min. They report that the period of oscillations in their model is “highly sensitive to the kinetic parameters that govern receptor modification” (Martiel and Goldbeter, 1987).

In contrast, the circuit we have modeled is quite insensitive to variations of threefold or more in the parameters of the components. Moreover, we do not need to invoke a cooperative process such as dimerization to generate spontaneous oscillation.

One of the basic properties of excitable cells is their adaption to prolonged stimulation. Maintaining a high extracellular cAMP level changes the dynamics of the network such that ACA is never fully active after the first surge. Both the Martiel and Goldbeter (1984, 1987) model and the present model show these characteristics. Another property of oscillatory systems is their sensitivity to brief extraneous stimulation. Depending on the point in the cycle at which the signal is presented, subsequent oscillations will be either delayed or advanced (Glass and Winfree, 1984). We find that our model predicts phase shifts in response to addition of an external pulse of cAMP that
follow the measured trajectory almost exactly (Figure 6). Not only is there a sharp discontinuity between delay and advancement approximately midway in the cycle, but the extent of both delay and advancement closely fits the measured values. This surprising correlation gives us confidence that the circuit accurately reflects the molecular processes responsible for oscillation in the synthesis of cAMP.

Receptor modification seems to account for desensitization in many physiological responses (Katz and Thesleff, 1957; Block et al., 1983; Knox et al., 1986). For instance, the acetylcholine receptor has been shown to take up multiple conformational states that have different ligand affinities (Chan-geux, 1981). Covalent modification of receptors such as methylation or phosphorylation can stabilize these states. An example of this is seen in turkey erythrocytes in which phosphorylation of the β-adrenergic receptor results in a decrease in adenyl cyclase activation (Sibley et al., 1984). In Dictyostelium, phosphorylation of the major surface cAMP receptor CAR1 is well documented and correlated with a modification in the affinity to cAMP (Hereld et al., 1994; Caterina et al., 1995a,b). However, it has been suggested that phosphorylation is not essential for termination of the responses (Kim et al., 1997).

Cells expressing a modified version of CAR1 in which the critical serines are replaced with glycines or alanine such that phosphorylation is precluded were found to respond to a cAMP pulse by rapid activation of ACA followed by a somewhat prolonged decrease in the activity (Kim et al., 1997). The initial response to exogenous cAMP is consistent with the predictions of our model because the receptor will be fully active. Within a few minutes, the internal level of cAMP will be reduced by the REG A phosphodiesterase when REG A is no longer inhibited by ERK2, and the external cAMP level will be reduced by the secreted phosphodiesterase (Figure 3). Thus, CAR1 will gradually return to the ligand-free form and will no longer stimulate ACA activity. Published data are also consistent with a direct inhibition of ACA by PKA (Kim et al., 1997).

We have determined the characteristics of such a circuit and found that it is also capable of generating stable oscillations (our unpublished results). Thus, circuits in which PKA either leads to loss-of-ligand binding of CAR1 or directly inhibits ACA activation are both able to generate spontaneous oscillations in cAMP.

Numerical solution of the differential equations describing the circuit shows that ACA activity oscillates over a twofold range (Figure 3). If we assume 10⁶ molecules of ACA per cell and a cell volume of 10⁻⁹ ml, the concentration of cAMP would increase at 0.68 mM/min, consistent with the rate observed in our computer simulations when REG A is inactive. Thus, our calculations simulate the situation when the specific activity of ACA is in the experimentally observed range of 10 pmol·min⁻¹·mg⁻¹ (Figure 1). Moreover, the period of oscillation predicted by solving the equations is 6.7 min, whereas the period observed is ~8 min. The length of the period decreases from 12 to 5 min during development as the specific activity of ACA increases (Gerisch et al., 1979). Simulations of the circuit also predict that the period will become shorter when ACA accumulates (Figure 4). Thus, the output of the simulations accurately reflects these aspects of the cycle.

Internal cAMP is also predicted to oscillate between 3 and 10 mM with a period of 6.7 min, in close agreement with measured values (Gerisch et al., 1977). The model generates lower amplitude oscillations in external cAMP as has been directly observed in the buffer used to suspend developing cells (Gerisch et al., 1977, 1979). Spontaneous oscillations were observed even when we started with minimal ACA activity but were not initiated for 7 min. Once oscillations had started, subsequent cycles were indistinguishable from the oscillations that had started earlier in cells with higher initial ACA activity.

Addition of a pulse of cAMP when the internal cAMP is at its minimum advances the following peak by ~3 min (Figure 5, bottom). The activity of internal phosphodiesterase REG A drops rapidly after the external pulse as the consequence of activation of its inhibitor ERK2. ACA activity rises more rapidly than in the unperturbed system and reaches a slightly higher level leading to a precocious increase in internal cAMP (Figure 5, bottom). Other than affecting the timing of the following peak in oscillation, addition of external cAMP has surprisingly little effect on the overall pattern of changes in activity or on the levels of cAMP. Within a few cycles the system returns to a pattern indistinguishable from that seen before addition of cAMP. The buffering capacity of the network is such that it returns rapidly to a stable oscillatory state. To a large extent this is an expression of the stability and robustness of the circuit that underlies changes in cAMP. Moreover, our simulations produced identical oscillations independent of the initial activities of the enzymes involved. It has been argued that any biologically significant circuit that has survived selective surveillance must be robust in the face of random perturbations, and our circuit supports such an hypothesis (Barkai and Leibler, 1997).

Phase shifts are the basis for the interactions of independent cells that result in field-wide entrainment. If populations of cells did not become synchronized, cAMP pulses released out of phase would lead to interference such that waves would not spread out and the directional information nec-
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