Solar disinfection of water reduces diarrhoeal disease: an update
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Abstract
349 Maasai children younger than 6 years old were randomised by alternate household to drink water either left in plastic bottles exposed to sunlight on the roof of the house or kept indoors (control). The trial was run in Maasai by Maasai community elders. Children drinking solar disinfected water had a significantly lower risk of severe diarrhoeal disease over 8705 two weekly follow up visits; two week period prevalence was 48.8% compared with 58.1% in controls, corresponding to an attributable fraction of 16.0%. While this reduction is modest, it was sustained over a year in free living children. It confirms solar disinfection as effective in vivo as a free, low technology, point of consumption method of improving water quality. The continuing use of solar disinfection by the community underlines the value of community participation in research.

(Arch Dis Child 1999;81:337–338)

Keywords: solar disinfection; diarrhoeal disease; randomised controlled trial

We previously reported a reduction in the risk of diarrhoeal disease in Maasai children aged 5 to 16 years who drank water that had been exposed to sunlight, compared with a control group who kept their drinking water indoors.1 After adjusting for confounders, the odds ratio was 0.65 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.50 to 0.86). We report on an extension of the trial to children younger than 6 years.

Methods
The methods were similar to those described in our previous report.1 We distributed plastic water bottles to the mothers of all Maasai children aged 5 or under in an area of Kajiado district, Kenya. Randomisation was by alternate household. Mothers were instructed either to place the children's drinking water on the roof of the home, exposed to sunlight, or to keep it indoors. All families were drinking water of poor quality with high levels of turbidity and bacterial contamination; all water exceeded 200 NTU (nephelometric turbidity units) and 107 colony forming units per millilitre. All fieldwork was conducted in Maasai by a field worker who is a senior member of the community. He visited each home every two weeks on 26 occasions and recorded the occurrence of diarrhoea in the two week interval since the previous visit. Diarrhoea was defined using the Maasai term “enchelele” (repeated watery stools), which had to occur “more times than the fingers on one hand” (one Maasai term for “often”).

Data were analysed using robust variance estimates to take account of the clustering of children within households and the repeated assessments made on each child, using the Stata procedure svylogit.2

Results
Three hundred and forty nine children in 140 households were randomised, of whom 170 (48.7%) were girls. Mean age was 2.4 years. A total of 175 (50.1%) children were in the 70 households randomised to solar disinfection. Seventy five children (33 in the solar disinfection group) were lost to follow up after the 22nd follow up, when their families moved from the area, which was experiencing a drought. Three children died (two in the control group). There were 8705 two weekly observation periods available for analysis, of which 4323 were in the solar disinfection group.

There was a high two week period prevalence of diarrhoea: 53.5% of all two week periods having at least one episode. Two week period prevalence was 48.8% in children using solar disinfection and 58.1% in controls. Risk of diarrhoea, as indexed by two week period prevalence, was unrelated to age or sex. There was significant variation in risk of diarrhoea depending on the household's water source; there was a two week period prevalence of 42% associated with the best and 60% with the worst of the 11 water sources. Using multiple logistic regression to adjust for water source, children using solar disinfected water had an odds ratio of 0.69 (95% CI 0.63 to 0.75). It should be noted that because the end point has a high prevalence, the odds ratio is not a good approximation for risk reduction, which corresponds to an attributable fraction of 16.0% in those using solar disinfection.

Discussion
Laboratory studies of solar disinfection using plastic bottles have demonstrated significant reductions in bacterial contamination even in highly turbid water.1 The results presented here confirm the utility of solar disinfection in field conditions. While a small reduction (9.3%) in absolute risk of diarrhoea is clearly not a solution to the problem of diarrhoeal disease in children, this decrease in risk was achieved in free living Maasai families, and sustained over a period of a year. It is also notable that, as we write, over a year after completion of formal field work, almost all the households randomised to solar disinfection are continuing to use it. The acceptance by the Maasai of the...
potential benefits of solar disinfection was
helped greatly by the use of Maasai workers in
the conduct of the research and the dissemina-
tion of its findings. It has also focused the
attention of the community on the issue of
water quality, and the potential of low cost
community action in improving it.

We are at present collating data from a chol-
era epidemic that occurred in the area, with a
view to examining the possible protective effect
of solar disinfection.
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