Table A7. Matched and Adjusted Motor Skills Result in LT Compared to MM

Outcome Analysis Method	Liver Transpl	lant Treatment	Effect	Covariate Balance
the same of the sa	Difference	95% CI	p-value	Raw Malched (ATT)
Grooved Pegboard, Dominant (LT=16. MM=13)				·
Unadjusted	0.7	-18.3, 19.7	0.94	
Propensity Score Adjusted	-32.2	-68.7, 4.3	0.08	
Propensity score Adjusted				e .
Ridge Matched and Adjusted*	-27.4	-116.1, 61.3	0.55	- L
				E
				. =
				Unbealed Trealed
Grooved Pegboard, Non Dominant (LT=15, MM=14)				Rax Meldred (ATT)
Unadjusted	3.3	-15.3, 22.0	0.72	
-	-7.9	-62.7, 47.0	0.78	
Propensity Score Adjusted				5
Ridge Matched and Adjusted	-7.9	-58.6, 42.8	0.76	
				80.4
				!
				. —
				Universed Treated
Grip Strength, Dominant				Raw Matched (ATT)
(LT=15. MM=11) Unadjusted	10.2	-11.4, 31.9	0.34	· <u> </u>
-	4.2	-25.6, 34.1	0.78	
Propensity Score Adjusted				2
Ridge Matched and Adjusted	6.9	-57.3, 110.7	0.83	a d
				3 .
				7
				•
				Utirealed Trealed
Grip Strength, Non Dominant				Rev Method (ATT)
(LT=15. MM=11) Unadjusted	14.2	4.9, 33.4	0.14	
	10.5	-19.8, 40.8	0.5	
Propensity Score Adjusted				8
Ridge Matched and Adjusted	10	-33.2, 53.2	0.65	
				~
				•
				Unbealed Trealed

^{*}in addition to propensity scores, results are adjusted for index age and age assessed.