

**Table A10. Matched and Adjusted Attention/Executive Function in LT Compared to MM**

Outcome	Analysis Method	Liver Transplant Treatment Effect			Covariate Balance
		Difference	95% CI	p-value	
<b>CBCL Attention</b> (LT=23, MM=17)					
	Unadjusted	-2.5	-12.3, 7.4	0.62	
	Propensity Score Adjusted	0.7	-11.8, 13.2	0.91	
	Ridge Matched and Adjusted*	7.2	-18.0, 32.5	0.57	
<b>BRIEF Inhibition</b> (LT=19, MM=14)					
	Unadjusted	1.1	-13.0, 15.3	0.87	
	Propensity Score Adjusted	-3	-18.7, 12.8	0.71	
	Ridge Matched and Adjusted*	9.4	-142.1, 161.0	0.9	
<b>BRIEF Working Memory</b> (LT=27, MM=18)					
	Unadjusted	2.8	-9.7, 15.3	0.65	
	Propensity Score Adjusted	14.3	-2.6, 31.2	0.1	
	Ridge Matched and Adjusted*	1.3	-32.4, 35.0	0.94	
<b>BRIEF Shift</b> (LT=27, MM=18)					
	Unadjusted	6.1	-6.4, 18.6	0.33	
	Propensity Score Adjusted	21	8.1, 34.0	0.001	
	Ridge Matched and Adjusted*	3.4	-20.0, 26.8	0.77	
<b>BRIEF GEC</b> (LT=27, MM=17)					
	Unadjusted	2.1	-10.1, 14.3	0.73	
	Propensity Score Adjusted	18.4	-4.1, 32.7	0.01	
	Ridge Matched and Adjusted*	-1.9	-34.6, 30.8	0.91	

\*in addition to propensity scores, results are adjusted for index age and age assessed.