## Forest plots for review question: What antiseizure therapies (monotherapy or add-on) are effective in the treatment of tonic or atonic seizures/drop attacks?

This section includes forest plots only for outcomes that are meta-analysed. Outcomes from single studies are not presented here, but the quality assessment for these outcomes is provided in the GRADE profiles in appendix F.

## Comparison 2: add-on low-dose clobazam versus add-on high-dose clobazam


Figure 2: Reduction in seizure frequency >50%

	Low-dose clobazam		High-dose clobazam		Risk Ratio			Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		
Conry 2009	12	32	30	36	41.7%	0.45 [0.28, 0.72]		-		
Ng 2011	23	53	38	49	58.3%	0.56 [0.40, 0.79]		-		
Total (95% CI)		85		85	100.0%	0.51 [0.39, 0.68]		•		
Total events	35		68							
Heterogeneity: Chi² = 0.54, df = 1 (P = 0.46); l² = 0%							0.01	01	10	100
Test for overall effect: $Z = 4.70 \text{ (P < 0.00001)}$							0.01	Favours high-dose		100

Figure 3: % of patients with reported severe side effects




Figure 4: Treatment cessation due to adverse drug effects



## Comparison 4: add-on rufinamide versus placebo

Figure 5: Reduction in seizure frequency >50%



## Figure 6: Treatment cessation due to adverse drug effects



Figure 7: % of patients with reported serious side effects

