## **VA Male OP Project – RISK FACTOR STUDIES, Quality Measurement**

First Author:	Article ID: Reviewer:		FINAL 01/17/07
PROGPROGNOSTIC FACTOR MEASUREMENT  The study sample represents the population of interest on key characteristics, sufficient to limit potential bias to the results.  Yes	Autolo 13 Reviewer.		
PROGPROGNOSTIC FACTOR MEASUREMENT  The study sample represents the population of interest on key characteristics, sufficient to limit potential bias to the results.  Yes	First Author		
PROGPROGNOSTIC FACTOR MEASUREMENT  The study sample represents the population of interest on key characteristics, sufficient to limit potential bias to the results.  Yes	(Last Name Only)		
The study sample represents the population of interest on key characteristics, sufficient to limit potential bias to the results.  Yes			
The study sample represents the population of interest on key characteristics, sufficient to limit potential bias to the results.  Yes		PROGPROGNOSTIC FACTOR MEASUREME	<u>NT</u>
characteristics, sufficient to limit potential bias to the results.  Yes	STUDY PARTICIPATION		
characteristics, sufficient to limit potential bias to the results.  Yes	The study comple represents the population of interest on leav		
Yes			
Partly	Yes	The prognostic factor of interest is adequately measu	ired in study participants to
No	105		• • •
*Population of interest is adequately described for key characteristics *Sampling frame and recruitment are adequately described, including methods to identity the sample (number and type used, e.g., referral patterns in health care), period of recruitment, and place of recruitment (setting and geographic location). *Inclusion and exclusion criteria are adequately described (e.g., including explicit diagnostic criteria or "zero time" description).  *There is adequate participation in the study by eligible individuals. *The baseline study sample (i.e., individuals entering the study) is adequately described for key characteristics.  *STUDY ATTRITION  Loss to follow-up (from sample to study population) is not associated with key characteristics (i.e., the study data adequately represent the sample), sufficient to limit potential bias.  Partly		Yes	
*Population of interest is adequately described for key characteristics  *Sampling frame and recruitment are adequately described, including methods to identity the sample (number and type used, e.g., referral patterns in health care), period of recruitment, and place of recruitment (setting and geographic location).  *Inclusion and exclusion criteria are adequately described (e.g., including explicit diagnostic criteria or "zero time" description).  *There is adequate participation in the study by eligible individuals.  *The baseline study sample (i.e., individuals entering the study) is adequately described for key characteristics.  *STUDY ATTRITION  Loss to follow-up (from sample to study population) is not associated with key characteristics (i.e., the study data adequately represent the sample), sufficient to limit potential bias.  The outcome of interest is adequately measured in study participants to		Partly	
*A clear definition or description of the prognostic factor measure is provided (e.g., including dose, level, duration of exposure, and clear specification of the method of measurement.)  *Continuous variables are reported or appropriate (i.e., not data-dependent) cut-points are used. *Continuous variables are reported or appropriate (i.e., not data-dependent) cut-points are used. *The prognostic factor measure and method are adequately valid and reliable to limit misclassification Bias (e.g. may include relevant outside sources of information on measurement properties, also characteristics.  *TUDY ATTRITION  *A clear definition or description of the prognostic factor measure is provided (e.g., including dose, level, duration of exposure, and clear specification of the method of measurement.)  *Continuous variables are reported or appropriate (i.e., not data-dependent) cut-points are used. *The prognostic factor measure and method are adequately valid and reliable to limit misclassification Bias (e.g. may include relevant outside sources of information on measurement properties, also characteristics, such as blind measurement and limited reliance on recall).  *A dequate proportion of the study sample has complete data for prognostic factors.  *The method and setting of measurement are the same for all study participants.  *Appropriate methods are used if imputation is used for missing prognostic factor data.  *OUTCOME MEASUREMENT  The outcome of interest is adequately measured in study participants to	*Population of interest is adequately described for key characteristics	No	
place of recruitment (setting and geographic location).  *Inclusion and exclusion criteria are adequately described (e.g., including explicit diagnostic criteria or "zero time" description).  *There is adequate participation in the study by eligible individuals.  *The baseline study sample (i.e., individuals entering the study) is adequately described for key characteristics.  *STUDY ATTRITION  Loss to follow-up (from sample to study population) is not associated with key characteristics (i.e., the study data adequately represent the sample), sufficient to limit potential bias.  *The outcome of interest is adequately measured in study participants to			
*Inclusion and exclusion criteria are adequately described (e.g., including explicit diagnostic criteria or "zero time" description).  * There is adequate participation in the study by eligible individuals.  *The baseline study sample (i.e., individuals entering the study) is adequately described for key characteristics.  *TUDY ATTRITION  *Total continuous variables are reported or appropriate (i.e., not data-dependent) cut-points are used.  *The prognostic factor measure and method are adequately valid and reliable to limit misclassification Bias (e.g. may include relevant outside sources of information on measurement properties, also characteristics, such as blind measurement and limited reliance on recall).  *Adequate proportion of the study sample has complete data for prognostic factors.  *The method and setting of measurement are the same for all study participants.  *Appropriate methods are used if imputation is used for missing prognostic factor data.  *Appropriate methods are used if imputation is used for missing prognostic factor data.  *The outcome of interest is adequately measured in study participants to			
or "zero time" description).  * There is adequate participation in the study by eligible individuals.  *The baseline study sample (i.e., individuals entering the study) is adequately described for key characteristics.  *The baseline study sample (i.e., individuals entering the study) is adequately described for key characteristics.  *The prognostic factor measure and method are adequately valid and reliable to limit misclassification  Bias (e.g. may include relevant outside sources of information on measurement properties, also characteristics, such as blind measurement and limited reliance on recall).  *Adequate proportion of the study sample has complete data for prognostic factors.  *The method and setting of measurement are the same for all study participants.  *Appropriate methods are used if imputation is used for missing prognostic factor data.  *OUTCOME MEASUREMENT*  characteristics (i.e., the study data adequately represent the sample), sufficient to limit potential bias.  The outcome of interest is adequately measured in study participants to	*Inclusion and exclusion criteria are adequately described (e.g., including explicit diagnostic criteria	*Continuous variables are reported or appropriate (i.e., not data-de	f measurement.) pendent) cut-points are used
*The baseline study sample (i.e., individuals entering the study) is adequately described for key characteristics.  *Adequate proportion of the study sample has complete data for prognostic factors.  *The method and setting of measurement are the same for all study participants.  *Appropriate methods are used if imputation is used for missing prognostic factor data.  *Appropriate methods are used if imputation is used for missing prognostic factor data.  *The outcome of interest is adequately measured in study participants to study participants to adequately measured in study participants to study participant	or "zero time" description).		
*Adequate proportion of the study sample has complete data for prognostic factors.  *The method and setting of measurement are the same for all study participants.  *Appropriate methods are used if imputation is used for missing prognostic factor data.  Loss to follow-up (from sample to study population) is not associated with key characteristics (i.e., the study data adequately represent the sample), sufficient to limit potential bias.  The outcome of interest is adequately measured in study participants to			
*The method and setting of measurement are the same for all study participants.  *Appropriate methods are used if imputation is used for missing prognostic factor data.  Loss to follow-up (from sample to study population) is not associated with key  Characteristics (i.e., the study data adequately represent the sample), sufficient to limit potential bias.  The outcome of interest is adequately measured in study participants to			
*Appropriate methods are used if imputation is used for missing prognostic factor data.  Loss to follow-up (from sample to study population) is not associated with key  Characteristics (i.e., the study data adequately represent the sample), sufficient to limit potential bias.  The outcome of interest is adequately measured in study participants to			
to limit potential bias.  The outcome of interest is adequately measured in study participants to	STUDY ATTRITION		
to limit potential bias.  The outcome of interest is adequately measured in study participants to		OUTCOME MEASUREMENT	
to limit potential bias.  The outcome of interest is adequately measured in study participants to	choses to follow-up (from sample to study population) is not associated with key		
to finite potential olas.		The outcome of interest is adequately measured in st	udy participants to
Vac	to mint potential bias.	sufficiently limit potential bias.	and participants to
100	105		
Tauty			
Unsure No *Proportion of study sample completing the study and providing outcome data is adequate. Unsure Unsure			
*Attempts to collect information on participants who dropped out of the study are described.  *A clear definition of the outcome of interest is provided, including duration of follow-up and level			
*Reasons for loss to follow-up are provided. and extent of the outcome construct.	*Reasons for loss to follow-up are provided.	and extent of the outcome construct.	•
*Participants lost to follow-up are adequately described for key characteristics.  *There are no important differences between key characteristics and outcomes in participants who  (e.g., may include relevant outside sources of information on measurement properties, also	*Participants lost to follow-up are adequately described for key characteristics.  *There are no important differences between key characteristics and outcomes in participants who		

completed the study and those who did not.

characteristics, such as blind measurement and confirmation of outcome with valid and reliable test.)

\*The method and setting of measurement are the same for all study participants.

## **VA Male OP Project – RISK FACTOR STUDIES, Quality Measurement**

## CONFOUNDING MEASUREMENT AND ACCOUNT

Important potential confounders are appropriately accounted for, limiting potential bias with respect to the prognostic factor of interest.

Yes	
Partly	
No	
Unsure	

- \*All important confounders, including treatments (key variables in conceptual model), are measured.
- \*Clear definitions of the important confounders measured are provided (e.g., including dose, level and duration of exposures).
- \*Measurement of all important confounders is adequately valid and reliable (e.g., may include relevant outside sources of information on measurement properties, also characteristics, such as blind measurement and limited reliance on recall.)
- \*The method and setting of confounding measurement are the same for all study participants.
- \*Appropriate methods are used if imputation is used for missing confounder data.
- \*Important potential confounders are accounted for in the study design (e.g., matching for key variables, stratification, or initial assembly of comparable groups.)
- \*Important potential confounders are accounted for in the analysis (i.e., appropriate adjustment).

## **ANALYSIS**

The statistical analysis is appropriate for the design of the study, limiting potential for presentation of invalid results.

Yes	_
Partly	
No	
Unsure	

- \*There is sufficient presentation of data to assess the adequacy of the analysis.
- \*The strategy for model building (i.e., inclusion of variables) is appropriate and is based on a conceptual framework or model.
- \* The selected model is adequate for the design of the study.
- \*There is no selective reporting of results.