Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) 1. STUDY TYPE: Case control		
□ Case control □ Cohort		
CASE CONTROL Selection 2. Is the case definition adequate? ☐ Yes, with independent validation (e.g. lymphedema determined by lymphscintigraphy) ☐ Yes, e.g. record linkage or based on self reports ☐ No description		
3. Representativeness of the cases (how were cases selected) □ Consecutive or obviously representative series of cases □ Potential for selection biases or not stated		
 4. Selection of Controls □ Community controls □ Hospital controls □ No description 		
 5. Definition of Controls □ No history of disease (endpoint) □ No description of source 		
 Comparability 6. Comparability of cases and controls on the basis of the design or analysis ☐ Study controls for stage of lymphedema ☐ Study controls time of onset of lymphedema 		
Exposure 7. Ascertainment of exposure □ Secure record (e.g. surgical record/research records) □ Structured interview where interviewer blind to case/control status □ Interviewer not blinded to case/control status □ Written self report of medical record only □ No description		
8. Same method of ascertainment for cases and controls ☐ Yes ☐ No		
 9. Non-Response rate (dropouts) Same rate for both groups Non respondents described Rate different and no designation (description) 		

COHORT STUDIES

Selection

10.	Representativeness of the exposed cohort Truly representative of the average secondary lymphedema patient in the community Somewhat representative of the average secondary lymphedema patient in the community Selected group of users e.g. nurses, volunteers No description of the derivation of the cohort
11. 	Selection of the nonexposed cohort Drawn from the same community as the exposed cohort Drawn from a different source No description of the derivation of the non exposed cohort
	Ascertainment of exposure Secure record (e.g. surgical records/clinical records) Structured interview Written self report No description
	Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study Yes No
	mparability Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis Study controls for stage of lymphedema Study controls for time of onset of lymphedema
15. □ ass	Assessment of outcome Independent blind assessment Record linkage (some other objective measure not encompassed by "independent blind ignment" see above) Self report No description
16. □	Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur Yes (6 weeks +) No (less than 6 weeks)
	Adequacy of follow up of cohorts Complete follow up – all subjects accounted for Subjects lost to follow up unlikely to introduce bias – small number lost (> 80% follow up), description provided of those lost Follow up rate < 80% and no description of those lost No statement