N-acetylcysteine protects melanocytes against oxidative stress/ damage and delays onset of UV-induced melanoma in mice

Murray A. Cotter[1], **Joshua Thomas**[5], **Pamela Cassidy**[1,3,5], **Kyle Robinette**[5], **Noah Jenkins**[2], **R. Florell Scott**[1,5], **Sancy Leachman**[1,5], **Wolfram E. Samlowski**[1,4,5], and **Douglas Grossman**[1,2,5]

1*Department of Dermatology, University of Utah Health Science Center, Salt Lake City, Utah*

2*Department of Oncological Sciences, University of Utah Health Science Center, Salt Lake City, Utah*

3*Department of Medicinal Chemistry, University of Utah Health Science Center, Salt Lake City, Utah*

4*Department of Medicine, University of Utah Health Science Center, Salt Lake City, Utah*

5*Melanoma Program, Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah Health Science Center, Salt Lake City, Utah*

Abstract

UV radiation is the major environmental risk factor for melanoma and a potent inducer of oxidative stress, which is implicated in the pathogenesis of several malignancies. We evaluated whether the thiol antioxidant N-acetylcysteine (NAC) could protect melanocytes from UV-induced oxidative stress/damage *in vitro* and from UV-induced melanoma *in vivo*. In melan-a cells, a mouse melanocyte line, NAC (1–10 mM) conferred protection from several UV-induced oxidative sequelae including production of intracellular peroxide, formation of the signature oxidative DNA lesion 8-oxoguanine (8-OG), and depletion of free reduced thiols (primarily glutathione). Mice transgenic for hepatocyte growth factor and Survivin, previously shown to develop melanoma following a single neonatal dose of UV irradiation, were administered NAC (7 mg/ml, mother's drinking water) transplacentally and through nursing until two weeks after birth. Delivery of NAC in this manner reduced thiol depletion and blocked formation of 8-OG in skin following neonatal UV treatment. Mean onset of UV-induced melanocytic tumors was significantly delayed in NAC-treated compared to control mice (21 vs. 14 weeks, p=0.0003). Our data highlight the potential importance of oxidative stress in the pathogenesis of melanoma, and suggest that NAC may be useful as a chemopreventive agent.

Keywords

melanocyte; melanoma; ultraviolet; chemoprevention; antioxidant

Introduction

Melanoma is an increasingly prevalent cutaneous malignancy. In advanced stages, melanoma is an aggressive cancer that is generally unresponsive to conventional therapies and associated with poor prognosis (1). Individuals with personal or family history of melanoma, or those with increased numbers of nevi or atypical nevi are at increased risk for developing melanoma (2). The best characterized environmental risk factor for melanoma is UV radiation from the sun (3). In the past decade there has been an increased emphasis on melanoma prevention

Correspondence: Doug Grossman, Huntsman Cancer Institute, Suite 5243, 2000 Circle of Hope, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112; Tel: (801) 581-4682; Fax: (801) 585-0900; E-mail: doug.grossman@hci.utah.edu.

focusing on patient education and sun protection (4). Despite these efforts, melanoma rates have continued to rise (1).

A chemoprevention strategy would thus be useful in high-risk patients if a safe, well-tolerated, and easily administered agent could be developed targeting a critical pathway in melanomagenesis. Reactive oxygen species (ROS), including hydrogen peroxide, superoxide anion, singlet oxygen and hydroxyl radical, are induced in the skin by UV-irradiation (5). These ROS are short-lived molecules that are capable of causing oxidative damage in DNA, as well as cellular protein and lipids, leading to permanent genetic changes and activating signal transduction pathways that promote skin carcinogenesis (6). In addition to peroxidation of cellular substrates, UV-induced ROS can damage cells by decreasing vital intracellular reductants such as glutathione (GSH). Melanocytes are particularly sensitive to oxidative stress (7), which is chronically generated during melanogenesis (8) due to the pro-oxidant effect of melanin (9). Melanoma tissues exhibit increased products of lipid peroxidation and levels of antioxidant enzymes compared to benign nevi (10), and oxidative dysregulation is correlated with melanoma progression in a mouse model (11). These observations support the notion that UV-induced oxidative stress/damage contributes to melanoma pathogenesis (12), and could be targeted using antioxidant preventive therapy.

The thiol N-acetylcysteine (NAC), a cell-permeable GSH prodrug/antioxidant (13), is FDA-approved for the treatment of acetaminophen toxicity (14) and has been used at high oral doses in humans for chronic treatment of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (15). In a mouse model of chronic UV-induced squamous cell carcinoma, orally-administered NAC reduced tumor formation (16). Additionally, topical NAC reduced UV-mediated GSH depletion and peroxide induction in normal human skin (17). NAC also appears to have some anti-cancer effects independent of its role as an antioxidant. It has specifically been shown to decrease VEGF production in melanoma cells *in vitro* (18), and decrease matrix metalloproteinase activity in invasive tumor cells (19). Thus in addition to its demonstrated safety record, NAC has the capacity to modulate both UV-induced oxidative stress and carcinogenesis in the skin.

In this study, we conducted a trial of orally-administered NAC in a highly-penetrant mouse model of melanoma (20) in which animals develop tumors following a single UV exposure in the neonatal period. Additionally, we characterized the generation of oxidative stress/damage following UV irradiation of a mouse melanocyte cell line and mouse skin. Administration of NAC to cultured cells or animals prior to UV irradiation resulted in a reduction in oxidative stress/damage in both melanocytes and whole skin, and a significant delay in tumor formation. We conclude that NAC appears to be a promising candidate agent for melanoma chemoprevention, which may be applicable to human trials.

Materials and Methods

UV-irradiation

A bank of 4 fan-cooled unfiltered sun lamps (FS20T12-UVB, National Biological Corporation, Twinsburg, OH) emitting 4 W/m^2 was used for all experiments. These bulbs emit wavelengths between 250 and 420 nm (72.6% UVB, 27.4% UVA, 0.01% UVC), with peak emission at 313 nm, according to the manufacturer. Dosimetry was monitored using a UVB-500C meter (National Biological Corp.).

Induction and detection of ROS in melanocytes

The melan-a mouse melanocyte cell line, derived from C57BL/6 mice (21), was kindly provided by Dorothy Bennett (St. George's University of London) and maintained in RPMI 1640 containing 10% FCS, 200 nM PMA (Sigma Chemical Co, St. Louis, MO), 200 pM cholera

toxin (Sigma) and antibiotics. For induction of melanocyte ROS, H_2O_2 (Sigma) was added to cultures, or cells were UV-irradiated in PBS. Endogenous H_2O_2 was detected by addition of 20 μM 2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFDA, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), followed 1 h later by flow cytometry on a FACScan (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) using excitation and emission wavelengths of 488 and 520 nm, respectively. Data was collected and analyzed with CellQuest software (BD Biosciences). Endogenous superoxide was detected by addition of 10 μM dihydroethidium (DHE, Invitrogen) followed by analysis on a CytoFluor II plate reader (Perseptive Biosystems, Framingham, MA) with excitation and emission wavelengths set at 530 and 612 nm, respectively. NAC (Sigma) was freshly prepared, the pH was adjusted to 7.4 using NaOH, and added to cultures at the appropriate concentrations 30 min prior to and then immediately after UV irradiation.

Oxidative damage in melanocytes and whole skin

Free thiols—Melanocytes (approximately 1×10^6) were washed in PBS, and cell pellets were sonicated in 5% meta-phosphoric acid containing 5 mM EDTA. Lysates were centrifuged at 20,000 g for 5 min at 4 °C to separate the free thiol-containing supernatant from the proteinaceous cellular debris. Supernatants were combined with four volumes of buffer consisting of 400 mM Tris, 5 mM EDTA, pH 8.9, and 0.2 mg/ml Ellman's reagent (5,5′-dithio-bis-2-nitrobenzoic acid, Sigma) (22), and incubated for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. Protein pellets were dissolved in lysis buffer containing 2% SDS, 50 mM Tris and 10% glycerol, and protein concentration was determined by standard BCA assay (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL). Free low molecular weight thiols in supernatants were determined by measuring absorbance at 412 nm using a Beckman DU 530 Spectrophotometer (Beckman-Coulter, Fullerton, CA) and using a standard curve generated by similar treatment of commercially-available GSH (Sigma). Free thiol content was then normalized to the pellet protein concentration. Free thiol determinations in mouse skin were performed similarly, however lysates were generated by vigorous mechanical disruption with a mortar and pestle of skin samples (approximately 50 mg). Data were then generated as above but with normalization to the mg weight of the skin specimen.

8-OG—The presence of 8-oxoguanine (8-OG) was detected by immunohistochemistry using a mouse anti-8-OG antibody (Genox Corp., Baltimore, MD). After trypsinization and washing in PBS, cells were fixed by suspension in 10% neutral buffered formalin for 1 h, then embedded in 4% low-melting agarose (GeneSieve, ISC Bioexpress, Kaysville, UT) in PBS. Cell-containing agarose plugs were then further fixed by suspension in 10% neutral buffered formalin on a rotator overnight and then paraffin-embedded and sectioned. Slides were baked at 60°C overnight. Following de-paraffinization in xylene, dehydration in ethanol, and washing in water, sections were incubated in 3% H_2O_2 for 10 min and then washed in PBS. Antigen retrieval was enhanced by incubation in 0.1% trypsin (Sigma) in PBS for 30 min at 37 °C. After washing in PBS, sections were stained with a 1:20 dilution of anti-8-OG for 1 h at 37 °C. Blocking and antibody dilution buffers, biotinylated anti-mouse antibody, and Vectastain reagents were supplied in the M.O.M. kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) and used according to the supplier's recommendations. Positive nuclear staining was visualized by reaction with aminoethycarbamizole (AEC kit, Invitrogen), followed by counterstaining with hematoxylin (Sigma), washing in water, and application of Clearmount (Invitrogen). A total of 300 cells for each condition were analyzed by light microscopy. The same procedure was used for detection of 8-OG in whole skin, with the exception that 3,3′-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB, BioGenex Laboratories, San Ramon, CA) was used as the peroxidase substrate.

CPD—UV-induced cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPD) were detected by Southwestern dot-blot assay (23). Briefly, genomic DNA was spotted onto nitrocellulose discs (BioTrace

NT, VWR Scientific, Brisbane, CA) and stained with a 1/1000 dilution of TDM-2 antibody (24) (kindly provided by Toshio Mori, Nara Medical University, Nara, Japan) in 5% nonfat milk overnight at 4°C. Reactivity was visualized by staining with peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse immunoglobulin, followed by enhanced chemiluminescence and autoradiography (25).

UV-induced tumors

Male hepatocyte growth factor (HGF)-Tg (BL6 MH19) mice on a C57BL/6 background (26), kindly provided by Glenn Merlino (National Cancer Institute), were mated with female homozygous Dct-Survivin mice on a C3H/HeN background (20). Visibly pregnant females (approximately 2–3 days prior to delivery) were placed in separate cages, and animals received either deionized water or water containing NAC (7 mg/ml, neutralized to pH 7.4 with NaOH). Assuming an average mouse weight of 25 g and daily water consumption of 6.7 ml (http://depts.washington.edu/compmed/veterinary/pdf/MouseFact_sheet.pdf), the estimated daily maternal dose was 1.9 g/kg/d. Water containing NAC was freshly prepared on Mondays, Wednesdays, and Fridays until two weeks after delivery, after which time only normal drinking water was supplied. Approximately 1–2 days after delivery, each adult female was temporarily removed from the cage and the pups were spaced apart in the bedding in a prone position. Uncovered cages were placed in the UV box described above, irradiated (approximately 4000 J/m^2), and then adult mice were returned to their respective cages. Litters were weaned at 3 weeks of age, and HGF-negative animals were euthanized since these do not form tumors (27); the remaining animals were monitored weekly thereafter for an additional 37 weeks. Tumor diameters were documented weekly by visual inspection with intermittent caliper confirmation. Animals developing tumors ≥1 cm in diameter prior to 40 weeks of age were euthanized. Most skin lesions ≥3 mm in diameter were excised from animals reaching experimental endpoints, a subset of animals were necropsied, and tissues were processed for histologic analysis as described elsewhere (27). Tumors displaying cellular or nuclear pleomorphism, enlarged nuclei, or increased mitotic figures were considered cytologically atypical. Lymph node metastasis was interpreted as >50% effacement of node by pigmented cells or definitive presence of cytologically atypical cells. Slides were examined in a blinded fashion by a dermatopathologist (S.R.F.). Lung metastasis was identified by the presence of macroscopic dark dots on lung tissue.

Statistics

Data were subjected to various analyses (log-rank test, unpaired t test, or Fisher's exact test) using Prism (Graphpad software, San Diego, CA). P values ≤ .05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

NAC reduces UV-induced ROS in melanocytes

To study the potential protective capacity of NAC in a mouse model of UV-induced melanoma, we began by characterizing effects on UV-induced ROS in a mouse melanocyte cell line. Treatment of melan-a cells with a single dose of UV resulted in increased levels of endogenous peroxide that were detected using the fluorescent probe DCFDA as early as 4 h following UV exposure, peaked at 48 h, and returned to baseline levels by 72 h (Fig. 1*A*). The 3–5-fold increases in endogenous peroxide induced by UV were comparable to that induced by addition of exogenous H_2O_2 (Fig. 1*A*). Increases in endogenous peroxide were observed at 48 h following a range of UV doses, but was highest at 960 J/m^2 (Fig. 1*B*). Addition of NAC (1–10 mM) under these conditions resulted in dose-dependent reductions in formation of UV-induced peroxide (Fig. 1*C*). Concentrations of 5–10 mM NAC restored baseline peroxide levels (Fig. 1*C*). We next examined the effect of NAC on endogenous superoxide using the

fluorescent probe DHE under optimal conditions for UV-induced peroxide generation (960 J/m^2 UV, 48 h). The level of endogenous superoxide increased 2-fold following UV treatment, and addition of 5–10 mM NAC resulted in very modest yet statistically significant reductions (Fig. 1*D*).

NAC protects melanocytes from UV-induced oxidative damage

We next investigated whether NAC-mediated reduction of UV-induced ROS translated into protection from oxidative damage in melanocytes. Melan-a cells were UV-irradiated for optimal ROS generation and the low molecular weight (free) thiol fraction was quantitated using Ellman's reagent (22). Free thiols primarily represent a measure of the cellular reservoir of GSH (28) that may initially be depleted during oxidative stress. We observed that free thiols were depleted in UV-treated cells, and that such depletion was not seen with the addition of NAC prior to and immediately after UV irradiation (Fig. 2*A*). Since higher levels of free thiols were found in NAC-treated cells following UV treatment than in unirradiated cells (Fig. 2*A*), we investigated the possibility that the elevated free thiols simply represented residual NAC (which is not only a glutathione prodrug but also a low molecular weight thiol). We added NAC to unirradiated cells, and determined free thiol levels over time after washing. As shown in Fig. 2*B*, while free thiol recovery was increased 12–24 h following UV treatment, levels had declined to baseline (levels in unirradiated cells) by 48 h. These results suggest that the elevated free thiols measured in cells 48 h after UV treatment likely represent NAC-mediated GSH accumulation rather than residual NAC, and that NAC can protect melanocytes against UV-induced depletion of free thiols. We next examined whether NAC also conferred protection against oxidative DNA damage by staining for 8-OG. Nuclear 8-OG staining was seen following UV exposure in an increased fraction of cells, relative to unirradiated cells, and this was significantly decreased in a dose-dependent fashion upon addition of NAC (Fig. 2*C*). Finally, we examined UV-treated cells in the absence or presence of NAC for formation of CPD photoproducts, generally thought to be a direct modification of DNA by UV, and not mediated by ROS. Consistent with this notion, we did not observe any effect of NAC on CPD formation (Fig. 2*D*). Thus while NAC protected melanocytes against UV-induced oxidative sequelae, its presence did not affect oxidation-independent formation of UV-induced photoproducts.

Delivery of NAC transplacentally and during nursing protects skin from UV-induced oxidative damage

To study antioxidant function of NAC *in vivo*, we used a delivery route that would be amenable to our model of UV-induced melanoma in which animals transgenic for both HGF and the apoptosis inhibitor Survivin form tumors following a single neonatal UV exposure (20). The pharmacologic challenge in this model is the need for drug delivery during the *neonatal* period. We investigated whether administration of NAC transplacentally and in the mother's milk to neonates (by addition of NAC to the mother's drinking water) could modulate UV-induced oxidative stress/damage in neonatal skin. Pregnant females were provided either deionized water or water containing NAC, then 2-day-old pups were UV-irradiated and skin was analyzed for free thiol content and formation of 8-OG. Since a previous study (29) was unable to detect NAC in serum of mice given comparable dosing, we examined metabolites and markers of oxidative damage in tissue as performed in other studies (29,30). Neonatal UV exposure was associated with significant free thiol depletion that was partially restored by NAC treatment (Fig. 3*A*). Under these same experimental conditions, 8-OG formation was substantially reduced by NAC in UV-irradiated skin (Fig. 3*B*). These data demonstrate that orally-delivered NAC can modulate UV-induced oxidative stress/damage in skin.

Effect on melanoma development

Litters of mice born of mothers either treated or untreated with NAC were UV-irradiated as above, and then monitored for 40 weeks for tumor development, growth, and metastasis. When pups reached two weeks of age, NAC-containing water was replaced with deionized water. As shown in Fig. 4*A*, NAC exposure prior to and for two weeks after UV treatment resulted in a significant delay in melanocytic tumor onset. The mean time to first tumor onset was 13.8 and 20.6 weeks in control and NAC-treated mice, respectively (Fig. 4*A*). In addition, tumors were significantly smaller in treated animals compared to controls at early time points (Fig. 4*B*). Over time, however, average tumor size in control and NAC-treated animals became comparable (Fig. 4*B*). A subset of tumors from each group was subjected to histological examination and partial necropsy was also performed on animals from each group for examination of lymph nodes and lung tissues. Although tumors in NAC-treated animals demonstrated higher rates of cytologic atypia and metastasis to lymph nodes and lungs, the differences were not statistically significant (Fig. 4*C*). Thus the predominant effect of orally-delivered NAC prior to (and briefly following) UV irradiation was a delay in tumor formation, as by the time all animals had formed tumors (32 weeks) there were no differences in tumor number, histologic pattern, or prevalence of metastasis.

Discussion

In this report, we present the novel use of NAC for the prevention of UV-induced oxidative stress and damage in melanocytes *in vitro* and the delayed onset of tumors in a highly penetrant mouse model of UV-induced melanoma *in vivo*. Specifically, we have shown that at NAC concentrations ranging from 1–10 mM, the accumulation of intracellular H_2O_2 in melanocytes was decreased following UV-irradiation. Interestingly, protection from UV-induced superoxide was only modest in comparison to that seen with H_2O_2. This may be because superoxide formation reflects a direct interaction of UV with melanin (31) that is not affected by cellular GSH levels. Consistent with its mechanism as a GSH prodrug (13), NAC addition was associated with a dose-dependent protection from UV-induced depletion of reduced thiols. We found that NAC also protected melanocytes from UV-induced oxidative DNA damage as measured by the oxidative DNA lesion 8-OG. Protection by NAC was specific for oxidative damage in that no decrease was observed in the formation of CPD photoproducts, which arise directly from UV-irradiation of DNA. Prior to assessing the chemopreventive activity of NAC in a mouse model of UV-induced melanoma, we first demonstrated that NAC delivery to nursing pups through maternal drinking water conferred similar oxidative protection to UV-irradiated skin. Administration of NAC was associated with a significant delay in tumor onset, however the incidence of malignant tumor histology and metastasis were not statistically different from the control group by completion of the experiment. These findings indicate a role for oxidative stress/damage in the pathogenesis of UV-induced melanoma, and suggest the potential utility of NAC as a chemopreventive agent.

It is interesting to note, however, that the protective effect of NAC *in vivo* became less pronounced over time and was no longer evident by the conclusion of the experiment It is possible that the diminished effect of NAC over time was due to the fact that it was not administered chronically, but only during the period prior to and (for two weeks) following UV treatment. While it would be interesting to examine the effects of chronic NAC administration in this model, the animal study directly followed from our *in vitro* data in melanocytes examining protection against *acute* sequelae of UV irradiation and oxidative injury. The animal study was therefore designed in this way as we hypothesized that protection from the acute oxidative insult (the single neonatal UV dose) would attenuate the *initiation* of the tumor phenotype. Though it is unclear whether tumors developed in the NAC-treated mice due to premature cessation of NAC or failure to protect against other oncogenic mechanisms,

our data at least shows that acute oxidative damage is a contributing factor to UV-induced melanoma. As mentioned, it is possible that several additional factors may be involved in the development of tumors in NAC-treated animals. First, the animal model of UV-induced melanoma employed was highly penetrant as 100% of the animals formed tumors. Thus overcoming this extreme tendency toward the development of melanocytic tumors would require particularly robust protection. Second, although there is evidence that oxidative stress is pathogenic in the development of melanoma (11,12), there are clearly additional pathways such as oxidation-independent UV-induced DNA damage (indicated by formation of CPDs) that we found were unaffected by NAC. In addition, other UV-induced pathways leading to cell cycle deregulation and modulation of apoptosis resistance may contribute to melanoma in this model and not be amenable to protection by NAC.

Several strategies for antioxidant chemoprevention of melanoma have been promulgated in the literature. Perhaps the best studied among these is epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG), a polyphenol antioxidant present in green and black teas with numerous biologic activities *in vitro* that suggest its potential as an anticancer chemopreventive agent (32). Orally-delivered EGCG has also been shown to inhibit tumor metastasis in the mouse B16 melanoma model (33). Though these data for EGCG are encouraging, there are no published studies showing an effect on *de novo* melanoma and the data in humans are mixed (32). Other proposed agents for melanoma chemoprevention include Vitamin E, β-carotene, lycopene, flavonoids, grape seed extract, resveratrol and selenium, but as with EGCG, there is an absence of data in a *de novo* animal model system and the human data is conflicting (32). Thus there is currently insufficient evidence to make any recommendations regarding implementation of antioxidants for the chemoprevention of melanoma in humans. In fact, the antioxidants Vitamin E and β-carotene have been correlated with both an increase and decrease in overall cancer risk in separate randomized controlled trials and meta-analyses (34,35). Although many of these agents are not regulated as drugs by the FDA, they nevertheless may have potentially deleterious health effects, and as such will require rigorous study before general recommendations can be made.

The antioxidant used here, NAC, appears to be an excellent candidate for further study in the chemoprevention of melanoma. To our knowledge, this report presents the first demonstration of antioxidant-mediated protection from the development of *de novo* melanoma in a mouse model system. Moreover, in contrast to the other agents mentioned above, NAC is commercially available as an FDA-approved drug (for acetaminophen toxicity) with established pharmacokinetics and toxicology. It has been safely administered over long periods of time to large numbers of patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (15) and short-term to protect against contrast-induced nephropathy (36). In both short- and long-term studies, there have not been any reported severe adverse events. In the skin, NAC has been shown to have biologic activity insofar as reducing parameters of photoaging after topical delivery in human volunteers (17) and decreasing the burden of UV-induced squamous cell carcinoma in a mouse model (16).

Although high oral doses of NAC have been administered safely to patients for up to one year (15), there maybe potential hazards associated with chronic use of any antioxidant (37). Thus NAC may have even greater utility as a chemopreventive agent in the context of acute UV (sun) exposure, taken prophylactically or immediately post-exposure to reduce UV-induced oxidative stress/damage in the skin which may ultimately contribute to melanoma development in humans.

References

1. Miller AJ, Mihm MC Jr. Melanoma. N Engl J Med 2006;355:51–65. [PubMed: 16822996]

2. Tsao H, Atkins MB, Sober AJ. Management of cutaneous melanoma. N Engl J Med 2004;351:998–1012. [PubMed: 15342808]

3. Hocker T, Tsao H. Ultraviolet radiation and melanoma: a systematic review and analysis of reported sequence variants. Hum Mutat. 2007in press

4. Demierre MF. Epidemiology and prevention of cutaneous melanoma. Curr Treat Options Oncol 2006;7:181–6. [PubMed: 16615874]

5. Scharffetter-Kochanek K, Wlaschek M, Brenneisen P, Schauen M, Blaudschun R, Wenk J. UV-induced reactive oxygen species in photocarcinogenesis and photoaging. Biol Chem 1997;378:1247–57. [PubMed: 9426184]

6. Bickers DR, Athar M. Oxidative stress in the pathogenesis of skin disease. J Invest Dermatol 2006;126:2565–75. [PubMed: 17108903]

7. Jimbow K, Chen H, Park JS, Thomas PD. Increased sensitivity of melanocytes to oxidative stress and abnormal expression of tyrosinase-related protein in vitiligo. Br J Dermatol 2001;144:55–65. [PubMed: 11167683]

8. Urabe K, Aroca P, Tsukamoto K, et al. The inherent cytotoxicity of melanin precursors: a revision. Biochim Biophys Acta 1994;1221:272–8. [PubMed: 8167148]

9. Farmer PJ, Gidanian S, Shahandeh B, Di Bilio AJ, Tohidian N, Meyskens FL Jr. Melanin as a target for melanoma chemotherapy: pro-oxidant effect of oxygen and metals on melanoma viability. Pigment Cell Res 2003;16:273–9. [PubMed: 12753401]

10. Sander CS, Hamm F, Elsner P, Thiele JJ. Oxidative stress in malignant melanoma and non-melanoma skin cancer. Br J Dermatol 2003;148:913–22. [PubMed: 12786821]

11. de Souza GA, Godoy LM, Teixeira VR, et al. Proteomic and SAGE profiling of murine melanoma progression indicates the reduction of proteins responsible for ROS degradation. Proteomics 2006;6:1460–70. [PubMed: 16429458]

12. Meyskens FL Jr, Farmer PJ, Anton-Culver H. Etiologic pathogenesis of melanoma: a unifying hypothesis for the missing attributable risk. Clin Cancer Res 2004;10:2581–3. [PubMed: 15102657]

13. Maxwell SR. Prospects for the use of antioxidant therapies. Drugs 1995;49:345–61. [PubMed: 7774511]

14. Physician's Desk Reference (PDR) 2007:1031–4.

15. Demedts M, Behr J, Buhl R, et al. High-dose acetylcysteine in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. N Engl J Med 2005;353:2229–42. [PubMed: 16306520]

16. D'Agostini F, Balansky RM, Camoirano A, De Flora S. Modulation of light-induced skin tumors by N-acetylcysteine and/or ascorbic acid in hairless mice. Carcinogenesis 2005;26:657–64. [PubMed: 15618233]

17. Kang S, Chung JH, Lee JH, et al. Topical N-acetyl cysteine and genistein prevent ultraviolet-light-induced signaling that leads to photoaging in human skin in vivo. J Invest Dermatol 2003;120:835–41. [PubMed: 12713590]

18. Redondo P, Bandres E, Solano T, Okroujnov I, Garcia-Foncillas J. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and melanoma. N-acetylcysteine downregulates VEGF production in vitro. Cytokine 2000;12:374–8. [PubMed: 10805219]

19. Morini M, Cai T, Aluigi MG, et al. The role of the thiol N-acetylcysteine in the prevention of tumor invasion and angiogenesis. Int J Biol Markers 1999;14:268–71. [PubMed: 10669958]

20. Thomas J, Liu T, Cotter M, et al. Melanocyte expression of Survivin promotes development and metastasis of UV-induced melanoma in HGF transgenic mice. Cancer Res. In press

21. Bennett DC, Cooper PJ, Hart IR. A line of non-tumorigenic mouse melanocytes, syngeneic with the B16 melanoma and requiring a tumour promoter for growth. Int J Cancer 1987;39:414–8. [PubMed: 3102392]

22. Ellman GL. Tissue sulfhydryl groups. Arch Biochem Biophys 1959;82:70–7. [PubMed: 13650640]

23. Diedrich MJ, Warters RL, Grossman D. Amifostine aminothiols and protection of keratinocyte apoptosis and DNA damage. J Invest Dermatol 2002;119:707–8. [PubMed: 12230519]

24. Mori T, Nakane M, Hattori T, Matsunaga T, Ihara M, Nikaido O. Simultaneous establishment of monoclonal antibodies specific for either cyclobutane pyrimidine dimer or (6–4)photoproduct from the same mouse immunized with ultraviolet-irradiated DNA. Photochem Photobiol 1991;54:225–32. [PubMed: 1780359]

25. Grossman D, McNiff JM, Li F, Altieri DC. Expression and targeting of the apoptosis inhibitor, survivin, in human melanoma. J Invest Dermatol 1999;113:1076–81. [PubMed: 10594755]

26. Takayama H, La Rochelle WJ, Anver M, Bockman DE, Merlino G. Scatter factor/hepatocyte growth factor as a regulator of skeletal muscle and neural crest development. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1996;93:5866–71. [PubMed: 8650184]

27. Florell S, Thomas J, Grossman D. Predominant formation of heavily pigmented dermal melanocytomas resembling 'animal-type' melanomas in HGF (C57BL/6 x C3H)F1 mice following neonatal UV irradiation. J Cutan Pathol. in press

28. Dominick PK, Cassidy PB, Roberts JC. A new and versatile method for determination of thiolamines of biological importance. J Chromatogr B Biomed Sci Appl 2001;761:1–12. [PubMed: 11585123]

29. Yim CY, Hibbs JB Jr, McGregor JR, Galinsky RE, Samlowski WE. Use of N-acetyl cysteine to increase intracellular glutathione during the induction of antitumor responses by IL-2. J Immunol 1994;152:5796–805. [PubMed: 8207209]

30. Sastre J, Asensi M, Rodrigo F, Pallardo FV, Vento M, Vina J. Antioxidant administration to the mother prevents oxidative stress associated with birth in the neonatal rat. Life Sci 1994;54:2055–9. [PubMed: 8208062]

31. Korytowski W, Hintz P, Sealy RC, Kalyanaraman B. Mechanism of dismutation of superoxide produced during autoxidation of melanin pigments. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1985;131:659–65. [PubMed: 2996521]

32. Francis SO, Mahlberg MJ, Johnson KR, Ming ME, Dellavalle RP. Melanoma chemoprevention. J Am Acad Dermatol 2006;55:849–61. [PubMed: 17052492]

33. Taniguchi S, Fujiki H, Kobayashi H, et al. Effect of (-)-epigallocatechin gallate, the main constituent of green tea, on lung metastasis with mouse B16 melanoma cell lines. Cancer Lett 1992;65:51–4. [PubMed: 1511409]

34. Blumberg J, Block G. The Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention Study in Finland. Nutr Rev 1994;52:242–5. [PubMed: 8090376]

35. Miller ER 3rd, Pastor-Barriuso R, Dalal D, Riemersma RA, Appel LJ, Guallar E. Meta-analysis: high-dosage vitamin E supplementation may increase all-cause mortality. Ann Intern Med 2005;142:37–46. [PubMed: 15537682]

36. Marenzi G, Assanelli E, Marana I, et al. N-acetylcysteine and contrast-induced nephropathy in primary angioplasty. N Engl J Med 2006;354:2773–82. [PubMed: 16807414]

37. Bjelakovic G, Nikolova D, Gluud LL, Simonetti RG, Gluud C. Mortality in randomized trials of antioxidant supplements for primary and secondary prevention: systematic review and meta-analysis. Jama 2007;297:842–57. [PubMed: 17327526]

Figure 1.
Induction of ROS by UV and modulation with NAC in melan-a cells. (*A*) Untreated cells (white bar), or cells treated with 960 J/m² UV (gray bars) or 100 μM H₂O₂ (black bar) were incubated for the indicated times prior to addition of DCFDA. Mean fluorescence values of untreated cells were normalized to 100. Error bars indicate SEM of triplicate determinations. P values for comparisons with untreated cells indicated by asterisks: *, 0.003; **, <0.001. (*B*) Untreated cells (white bar) or cells UV-irradiated at the indicated doses (gray bars) were cultured for 48 h prior to addition of DCFDA. P values for comparisons with untreated cells indicated by asterisks: *, 0.02; **, <0.01. (*C*) Cells were treated with 960 J/m² UV in the absence (gray bar) or presence (black bars) of the indicated mM concentrations of NAC. Untreated cells (white bar) served as a control. After 48 h, DCFDA was added, and cells were analyzed by flow cytometry. P values for comparisons with untreated cells indicated by asterisks: *, <0.01; **, 0.001. (*D*) Cells were treated with 1440 J/m² UV in the absence (gray bar) or presence (black bars) of the indicated mM concentrations of NAC. Untreated cells (white bar) served as a control. After 24 h, DHE was added, and cells were analyzed on a fluorimeter. P values for comparisons of UV-treated with untreated cells (*, <0.001), and UV/NAC-treated with UV-treated cells (**, <0.01) indicated by asterisks.

Figure 2.
NAC-mediated protection against UV-induced oxidative sequelae in melan-a cells. (*A*) Cells were treated with 960 J/m^2 UV for 48 h in the absence (gray bar) or presence (black bars) of the indicated mM concentrations of NAC. Untreated cells (white bar) served as a control. Free thiols were quantified and normalized to protein for each sample. Error bars indicate SEM of at least 3 determinations. P values for comparisons of UV-treated with untreated cells (*, <0.0001), and UV/NAC-treated with UV-treated cells (**, <0.0001) indicated by asterisks. (*B*) Cells were treated with 5 mM NAC (black bars) and untreated cells (white bar) served as a control. P value for comparison of untreated cells with cells 48 h after NAC addition indicated by asterisk (*, 0.15). (*C*) Cells treated with 960 J/m^2 UV in the absence (gray bar) or presence (black bars) of the indicated concentrations (mM) of NAC. Untreated cells (white bar) served

as a control. After 48 h, cells were embedded in agarose and stained for 8-OG. P values (Fisher's exact test) for comparisons of untreated with UV-treated cells (*, <0.0001), and UV/NAC-treated with UV-treated cells (**, 0.05; ***, <0.0001) indicated by asterisks. Right, representative fields corresponding to untreated and UV-treated cells are shown, with arrows indicating positive cells with red-staining nuclei. (*D*) Cells were treated with 960 J/m^2 UV in the absence or presence of 5 mM NAC as indicated. Untreated cells served as a control. Genomic DNA was isolated and the indicated amounts (ng) were spotted on a membrane, which was then stained with anti-CPD antibody.

Figure 3.
NAC delivery protects skin from UV-induced oxidative sequelae. (*A*) Pregnant females were provided either water or water containing NAC. Resulting 2-day-old litters were treated with 3900 J/m^2 UV. After 48h, skin was harvested from untreated (white bar), UV-treated (gray bar), and UV/NAC-treated (black bar) mice. Free thiols were quantitated and normalized to tissue weight for each sample. Error bars indicate SEM of triplicate determinations. P values for comparison of UV-treated with untreated mice (*, 0.0005), and UV/NAC-treated with UV-treated mice (**, 0.005) indicated by asterisks. (*B*) Pregnant females in separate cages were provided either normal water or water containing NAC. Resulting 2-day-old litters were treated with 3900 J/m^2 UV, and skin was harvested 24–72 h later for 8-OG staining as indicated.

Figure 4.
NAC delivery delays onset of UV-induced melanoma. (*A*) Pregnant females were provided either water or water containing NAC. Resulting 2-day-old litters were treated with 3900 J/m^2 UV, and mice (normal water, n=19, gray circles; NAC, n=23, black circles) were monitored for 40 weeks for tumor formation. Log-rank test, p=0.0003. Right, mean time to onset of first tumor in control animals and animals exposed to NAC. Asterisk, P value for comparison is 0.0003. (*B*) Mean tumor size. Error bars indicate SEM. P values for comparison of NAC-treated with control (water) animals indicated by asterisks (*, <0.001; **, 0.14). (*C*) Percent tumors displaying cytologic atypia (Cytol), lymph node metastasis (LN), and lung metastasis (Lung). Number of tumors indicated in parentheses. P values (Fisher's exact test) for comparisons of tumors from NAC-treated with control (water) mice for Cytol, LN, and Lung are 1.0, 0.71, and 0.63, respectively.