Methodological Evaluation of Observational REsearch (MORE)—Observational Studies of Incidence or Prevalence of Chronic Diseases

Instructions:

Included prevalence estimation without clear target population

clear target population

Included Incidence estimation without

Please review the checklist and mark with X quality items that are not reported and flaws in external or internal validity if present.

validity if present.	
Descriptive Funding of study Role of funding organization in data analysis and interpret Conflict of interest Ethical approval of the study	ations of the results
Aim of study	□ Personalities

Minor flaw

■ Minor flaw

External Validity
Sampling of the subjects by the investigators
General population based

Not reported	☐ Poor	reporting
Random sampling restricted to	☐ Mino	r flaw
geographic area (minor flaw if the		
aim was to examine		
incidence/prevalence in the general		
population without place		
restrictions)		
,		
Nongeneral population based sampling	method	
Not reported		reporting
Convenient		r flaw
Self selection		r flaw
Nongeneral population based sampling	frame	
Not reported	Poor	reporting
Medical records	Major	r flaw
Insurance claims	☐ Majo	
Work place	☐ Majo	
Health care based (clinics, hospitals)	Majo	r flaw
Assessment of sampling bias - failure	o ensure that all members of the referenc	e population have a known
chance of selection in the sample		
Not reported	Poor	reporting
The authors did not assess sampling	Mino	r flaw
bias		
Estimate bias		
	f acceptable response rate depend on the	target nonulation)
Not reported		reporting
<u>'</u>		
<40 (or less than cut off specific for		riiaw
the target population) %		
Response rate in race subgroups (if ap		
Not reported	Poor	reporting
<40 (or less than cut off specific for	☐ Majo	r flaw
the target population) %%		
<u> </u>		
Response rate in other subgroups (if a	oplicable)	
Not reported		reporting
40 (or loss than out off specific for	Major	· •
<40 (or less than cut off specific for		liaw
the target population) %		
Exclusion rate from the analysis		
Not reported		reporting
>10%	Major	flaw
Exclusion rate in subgroups (if applica	ole)	
Not reported		reporting
>10%	Major	flaw
- 1070	Iviajoi	nan
Address Bias		
Sampling bias is addressed in the anal		ron ortin a
Not reported Not addressed in analysis		reporting
		flaw

Subject flow (the acceptable ranges	can be specific for the area of re	esearch)
Not applicable for study design		
Number screened not reported	Ц	Poor reporting
Number eligible not reported		Poor reporting
Number enrolled not reported		Poor reporting
Internal Validity		
Source of measure incidence/prevale	ence of chronic diseases	Dann van autin v
Not reported		Poor reporting
Proxy reported (collected for the study)		Minor flaw
Obtained from medical records (mining of the data collected for health care purposes)		Minor flaw
Obtained from administrative database (mining of the data collected for health care purposes)		Minor flaw
Reference period (time of occurrence Reference period not relevant for the nature of the outcome	e) if applicable	
Reference period may be relevant but not included in definition of the outcome (define relevance specific for research question)		Minor flaw
Reference period different from recommended and not justified		Minor flaw
Severity (degree of the symptoms of Severity is not relevant for the outcome	the chronic disease)	
Severity can be relevant but not assessed in the study		Major flaw
Frequency of the symptoms of the confrequency is not relevant for the outcome	hronic disease	
Frequency can be relevant but not assessed in the study		Minor flaw
Validation of outcomes measurement No information about validation	ats	Poor reporting
The authors reported inter-methods validation (one method vs. another)		Minor flaw
The authors did not validate the methods to measure dependent variables (nonvalid methods were obtained)		Major flaw
Reliability of the estimates (mark one Not reported	e best (*) and all applicable resp	onses) Poor reporting
Intra-observer variability is reported with subjective judgment of reliability		Minor flaw
Inter-observer variability is reported with subjective judgment of reliability		Minor flaw

Dependent variable (outcomes) in sub Measurements of the outcomes in	populations (if applicable) Poor reporting
subpopulations were not clarified	N. a
Outcomes in subpopulations were measured differently (define in the protocol the major flaw in assessment of the variables in subpopulations in applicable)	Minor flaw
Reporting of prevalence	
Not clear Point prevalence	Poor reporting Minor flaw
Tomit prevalence	IVIII OF ITAW
Precision of estimate (error, 95% CI). Omitted	Poor reporting
Prevalence in total sample Crude prevalence in total sample	Minor flaw
Prevalence in population subgroup if a Stated as aim of the study but not reported	Poor reporting
Crude prevalence in race groups [Minor flaw
Crude prevalence in gender groups [Minor flaw
Crude prevalence other subgroups [Minor flaw
Reporting of Incidence: Incidence type Not clear	Poor reporting
Precision of estimation (error, 95% CI) Omitted	Poor reporting
Incidence in total sample (mark one best (*) and all applicable responses) Crude incidence in total sample Minor flaw	
Incidence in population subgroups if a Stated in the aim of the study but not reported	pplicable Poor reporting
Crude incidence in race groups [Minor flaw
Crude incidence in gender groups [Minor flaw
Crude incidence in other subgroups [Minor flaw
	Quality Validity Report
(Access report	s are generated based on responses above)
Item	Decision
Manuscript:	
Reviewer:	
	
External Validity	
Not reported	Require reporting
Major flaws	1. Require justification that flaws could not be avoided or bias cannot be reduced
	2. Reject manuscript
Minor flaws	1. Require justification that flaws could not be avoided or bias cannot be reduced
	2. Reject manuscript

Internal	Validity	,

Internal Validity	
Not reported	☐ Require reporting
Major flaws	1. Require justification that flaws could not be avoided or bias cannot be reduced2. Reject manuscript
Minor flaws	1. Require justification that flaws could not be avoided or bias cannot be reduced2. Reject manuscript