Methodological Evaluation of Observational Research (MEVORECH)—Observational Studies of Risk Factors of Chronic Diseases

•	when applicable in the definition/assessment of exposure. Define and justify a length of
	ablished by consensus of the experts or in guidelinessure. Define and justify importance of dose specific for the nature of the exposure (list for each
	Define and justify gold standards to measure risk factors:
-	own gold standard
	or factors that can modify the association between risk factor and disease. Define and
_	ounding factors specific for the association of the interest
	ing factors. Define and justify gold standards to measure primary confounding factors.
	own gold standard
Loss of followup. Defi	ine and justify acceptable cutoff for loss of followup
Appropriateness of st methods specific for res	tatistical model to reduce research specific bias. Define and justify the most appropriate search questions
Instructions about the	e survey forms in Access format:
	Office 2007, probably you'll see an "Option" button right above this window. Please click on
	hoose "Enable this context."
. ,	ending with a Minor flaw symbols, please provide at least one response.
	ping in a textbox, your input is not saved until you click on any other textbox or checkbox. program at anytime, and then resume the survey later by selecting the same Article ID.
	by clicking on the word Help next to the item you see.
	x for "Other (please specify)" shows only about 2 lines of text, it can contain more than
. ,	s is just like a small window to see a big world.
Descriptive	
Journal of publication_	
Year of publication	
. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	one best (*) and all applicable responses)
<u>A</u>	Not reported Poor reporting
<u>B</u> C	Industry Grant
D	Combined industry + grant
E	Other (please specify)
	nization in data analysis and interpretations of the results (Mark all applicable
responses):	Not reported Poor reporting
А В	Not reported Poor reporting Sponsoring organization participated
Ь	in data analyses
С	Other (specify)
D	Sponsoring organization did not
	participate in data analyses and
	interpretation
	ark all applicable responses):
Α	Disclosure not reported Poor reporting
Α	Disclosure not reported Poor reporting Reported not having conflict of
В	Disclosure not reported Poor reporting Reported not having conflict of interest
A `B	Disclosure not reported Poor reporting Reported not having conflict of interest Reported having conflict of interest
Conflict of interest (M A B C D	Disclosure not reported Poor reporting Reported not having conflict of interest
A `BCC	Disclosure not reported Poor reporting Reported not having conflict of interest Reported having conflict of interest Other (specify)
A B C D Country Ethical approval of the	Disclosure not reported Poor reporting Reported not having conflict of interest Reported having conflict of interest Other (specify) e study (Mark all applicable responses):
A B C D Country Ethical approval of the	Disclosure not reported Poor reporting Reported not having conflict of interest Reported having conflict of interest Other (specify) e study (Mark all applicable responses): Not reported Poor reporting
A B C D Country	Disclosure not reported Poor reporting Reported not having conflict of interest Reported having conflict of interest Other (specify) e study (Mark all applicable responses):

Aim

Aim of the study. (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)

A	Aim was not stated	Poor reporting
В	Included association with risk factors	
	in the general population	
С	Included association with risk factors	
	in race subgroups	
D	Included association with risk factors	
	in gender subgroups	
E	Included association with risk factors	
	in other population subgroups (define:	
	diseases, specific demographics,	
	socio-economic, or legal status,	
	access to health insurance)	
F	Included association with risk factors	Minor flaw
	without clear definition of the target	
	population	
G	Other (please specify)	

Objectives (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)

A	Not clear statement	Poor reporting
В	Estimation of the association with	
	prevalence of chronic conditions	
С	Estimation of the association with	
	incidence of chronic conditions	
D	Other (please specify)	

Study Design (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)

A	Not clear statement about the study Poor reporting design	
В	Cross-sectional	
С	Cohort (prospective) study with concurrent controls	
D	Cohort (retrospective) study with concurrent controls	
E	Case-controlled (retrospective) study	
F	Cohort (prospective) study with historical controls	
G	Nested case-control	
Н	Other (please specify)	

External Validity

Sampling of the subjects by investigators General population based (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)

A	Not reported	Poor reporting
В	Random population based	
С	Nonrandom population based	
D	Random multistage population based	
E	Random stratified population based	
F	Random sampling restricted to geographic area	
G	Other sampling of the general population (please specify)	

A	Not reported	Poor reporting
В	Random	
С	Convenient	Minor flaw
D	Self selection	Minor flaw
E	Other (please specify)	
	Cine: (predect speedily)	
Nongonoral populati	on-based sampling frame (Mark one best (*) and all app	licable responses)
A	Not reported	iicabie responses)
В	Sampling within nationally	
	representative registries or databases	
С	Medical records	Major flaw
D	Insurance claims	Major flaw
	Work place	Major flaw
<u>-</u> F	Health care based (clinics, hospitals)	Major flaw
G		wajor naw
G	Proxy selection (parents, relatives,	
11	legal representatives, caretakers)	
H	Other (please specify)	
	dies. (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)	Da an ann anti-an
A	Sampling of controls are not clearly	Poor reporting
В	reported	
D	Sampling of controls from the sample	
0	population as cases	Marian (laur
С	Sampling of controls from different	Major flaw
D.	population as cases	Minorflow
D	Sampling of controls from health care	Minor flaw
	related sources (out-clinic or in- clinics, health care claims)	
	Sampling of controls from work-	
_	related sources	
	Sampling of controls from multiple	
	Camping of Controls from mattiple	
F	cources	
F	Sources Other (please specify)	
F G	sources Other (please specify)	
G		
G Assess bias	Other (please specify)	population have a known chance of
G Assess bias Assessment of sampli	Other (please specify) ing bias (failure to ensure that all members of the reference)	population have a known chance of
G Assess bias Assessment of sampli selection in the sample	Other (please specify) ing bias (failure to ensure that all members of the reference e). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)	
G Assess bias Assessment of sampli	Other (please specify) ing bias (failure to ensure that all members of the reference e). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses) No information about sampling bias	population have a known chance of Poor reporting
Assess bias Assessment of sample selection in the sample	Other (please specify) ing bias (failure to ensure that all members of the reference e). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses) No information about sampling bias Sampling bias was assessed by the	
Assess bias Assessment of sample selection in the sample	Other (please specify) ing bias (failure to ensure that all members of the reference e). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses) No information about sampling bias Sampling bias was assessed by the authors - differences in study	
Assess bias Assessment of sample selection in the sample	Other (please specify) ing bias (failure to ensure that all members of the reference e). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses) No information about sampling bias Sampling bias was assessed by the	
Assess bias Assessment of sample selection in the sample A	Other (please specify) ing bias (failure to ensure that all members of the reference e). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses) No information about sampling bias Sampling bias was assessed by the authors - differences in study population vs. target population are	
Assess bias Assessment of sampli selection in the sample A B	Other (please specify) ing bias (failure to ensure that all members of the reference e). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses) No information about sampling bias Sampling bias was assessed by the authors - differences in study population vs. target population are reported	Poor reporting
Assess bias Assessment of sampli selection in the sample A B	Other (please specify) ing bias (failure to ensure that all members of the reference e). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses) No information about sampling bias Sampling bias was assessed by the authors - differences in study population vs. target population are reported The authors did not assess sampling bias	Poor reporting
Assess bias Assessment of sample selection in the sample A B	Other (please specify) ing bias (failure to ensure that all members of the reference e). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses) No information about sampling bias Sampling bias was assessed by the authors - differences in study population vs. target population are reported The authors did not assess sampling	Poor reporting
Assess bias Assessment of sample selection in the sample A B	Other (please specify) ing bias (failure to ensure that all members of the reference e). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses) No information about sampling bias Sampling bias was assessed by the authors - differences in study population vs. target population are reported The authors did not assess sampling bias The authors did not assess sampling	Poor reporting
Assess bias Assessment of sample selection in the sample A B	Other (please specify) ing bias (failure to ensure that all members of the reference e). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses) No information about sampling bias Sampling bias was assessed by the authors - differences in study population vs. target population are reported The authors did not assess sampling bias The authors did not assess sampling bias but justified exclusion of the	Poor reporting

Estimate bias

Response rate in total sample - define in the protocol ranges specific for research area. Please note that included ranges are simply illustrative; they need to be justified and vary with each systematic review. (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)

Α	Not reported	Poor reporting
В	>40 %	
С	<10-20%	Major flaw
D	21-40%	
E	Other (please specify)	

Exclusion rate from the analysis in total sample (define in the protocol acceptable ranges specific for research question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)

Α	Not reported	Poor reporting
В	>10%	Major flaw
С	0-5%	
D	6-10%	
E	Other (specify)	

Exclusion rate from the analysis in exposed and not exposed (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)

A	Exclusion from the analyses was not reported separately for exposed and nonexposed	Poor reporting
В	Reasons to exclude from the	
	analyses were the same for exposed	
	and not exposed	
С	Reasons to exclude from the	Major flaw
	analyses differ for exposed and not	
	exposed	
D	Specify reasons for exclusion	

Address Bias

Sampling bias is addressed in the analysis. (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)

Α	Not reported	Poor reporting	
В	Weighting of the estimates by		
	probability of selection		
С	Weighting of the estimates by		
	nonresponse adjustment within		
	sampling subgroups		
D	Post-stratification by age		
E	Post-stratification by sex		
F	Post-stratification by race		
G	Not addressed in analysis	Minor flaw	
Н	Other (please specify)		

Subject flow (define in the protocol the acceptable ranges specific for the area of research) (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)

A	Not applicable for study design	
В	Number of screened	
С	Not reported	Poor reporting
D	Number eligible	
E	Not reported	Poor reporting
F	Number enrolled	
G	Not reported	Poor reporting

Calculations with query Recruitment fractions (Insert calculated number, %)

A	Eligibility fraction: # eligible / #
	screened
С	Enrollment fraction: # enrolled / #
	eligible
E	Recruitment fraction: # enrolled / #
	screened
G	Number needed to screen: 1 /
	recruitment fraction

Internal Validity

Source to measure dependent variables (target, outcomes) (define in the protocol flaws specific for the nature of the condition). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)

Α	Not reported	Poor reporting
В	Self reported (collected for the study)	
С	Proxy reported (collected for the	Minor flaw
	study)	
D	Objectively measured with diagnostic	
	methods for the purpose of the study	
	(independent on health care)	
E	Measured by interviewers for the	
	study	
F	Obtained during clinical exam for the	
	purpose of the study	
G	Obtained from medical records	Minor flaw
	(mining of data collected for health	
	care purposes)	
Н	Obtained from administrative	Minor flaw
	database (mining of data collected for	
	health care purposes)	
1	Obtained from registries (collected for	
	epidemiologic evaluation independent	
	of health care)	
J	Other-please specify	

Dependent variable

Reference period, time of occurrence of the disease (define reference period specific for the nature of the outcomes). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)

A	Reference period not relevant for the nature of the outcome	
В	Reference period may be relevant but	Minor flaw
	not included in definition of the	
	outcome (define relevance specific	
	for research question)	
С	Reference period recommended by	
	the CDC or guidelines (12 months for	
	chronic diseases) is included in	
	definition of the outcome	
D	Reference period different from	
	recommended is justified and	
	included in the definition	
E	Reference period different from	Minor flaw
	recommended and not justified	
F	Other (please specify)	

\	Severity is not relevant for the	
	outcome	
}	Severity can be relevant but not	Major flaw
	assessed in the study	
;	Definition of the outcomes included	
	severity of conditions	
)	Other (please specify)	
	otoms (define importance of frequency per day, week, k one best (*) and all applicable responses) Frequency is not relevant for the	or month specific for the nature
	outcome	
}	Frequency can be relevant but not	Minor flaw
	assessed in the study	
)	Definition of the outcomes included frequency of diagnostic criterion of chronic conditions	
)	Other (please specify)	
<u>, </u>	est (*) and all applicable responses) No information about validation	Poor reporting
3	Variables were measured using known "gold standard" (define specific for the outcomes)	
,	Methods to measure outcomes were validated with gold standard	
)	The authors reported inter-methods	Minor flaw
	validation (one method vs. another)	
:	The authors did not validate the methods to measure dependent variables (nonvalid methods were obtained)	Major flaw
	The authors justified validity of the used methods from previously published research	
3	Other (please specify)	
	Carlot (picase specify)	
Oliobility of the setter	oton (Mark one boot /*) and all anylinetic manners.	
teliability of the estimate	ates (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses) Not reported	Poor reporting
3	Reliability assumed acceptable according to previous published analyses (medical coding, insurance	. 0
	claims)	
,	Intra-observer variability is within	
•	acceptable for the outcome standards (define acceptable variability specific	
	for the nature of the outcome)	
)	Intra-observer variability is reported with subjective judgment of reliability	Minor flaw
<u> </u>		
Ĭ	Inter-observer variability is within acceptable for the outcome standards (define acceptable variability specific	
	for the nature of the outcome)	NA's and Carry
:	Inter-observer variability is reported with subjective judgment of reliability	Minor flaw

When one study reported several risk factors with different probability of bias/error among tested hypotheses, please decide if quality assessment is needed for each risk factor.

If yes, abstract information adding as many risk factors as you need. Define risk factor or list risk factors for which quality assessment would be the same.

Define risk factor or list risk factors for which quality assessment would be the same:

Hypothesis specific: complete for each risk factor. Source to measure exposure (risk factors, independent variables, input). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)

Α	Not reported	Poor reporting
В	Self reported (collected for the study)	
С	Proxy reported (collected for the	Minor flaw
	study)	
D	Objectively measured with diagnostic	
	methods for the purpose of the study	
	(independent on health care)	
E	Measured by interviewers for the	
	study	
F	Obtained during clinical exam for the	
	purpose of the study	
G	Obtained from medical records	Minor flaw
	(mining of data collected for health	
	care purposes)	
Н	Obtained from administrative	Minor flaw
	database (mining of data collected for	
	health care purposes)	
T	Obtained from registries (collected for	
	epidemiologic evaluation independent	
	of health care)	
J	Other (please specify)	

Define exposure

Definition of the exposure (risk factors, independent variables) (specific for research questions)

Hypothesis specific: complete for each risk factor.

Reference period/length of exposure (define reference period specific for the nature of the exposure risk factors, independent variables). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)

A	Reference period/length of exposure not relevant for the nature of exposure	,
В	Reference period/length of exposure may be relevant but not included in definition of the exposure (define relevance specific for research question)	Minor flaw
С	Reference period/length of exposure recommended by guidelines is included in definition of exposure	
D	Reference period/length of exposure different from recommended is justified and included in the definition	
E	Reference period/length of exposure different from recommended and not justified	Minor flaw
F	Other (please specify)	

Hypothesis specific: complete for each risk factor. Intensity/dose (define importance of dose specific for the
nature of the exposure (risk factors, independent variables). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)

A	Intensity/dose is not relevant for exposure		
В	Intensity/dose can be relevant but not	Minor flaw	
	assessed in the study		
С	Definition of the exposure (risk		
	factors, independent variables)		
	included intensity/dose		
D	Other (please specify)		

Measure exposure

Measurements of the exposure (risk factors, independent variables).

Hypothesis specific: complete for each risk factor. Validation. (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)

A	Not reported	Poor reporting
В	Exposure (risk factors, independent variables) were measured using known "gold standard" (define specific for the exposure)	
С	Methods to measure exposure (risk factors, independent variables) were validated with gold standard	
D	The authors reported inter-methods validation (one method vs. another)	Minor flaw
E	The authors did not validate the methods to measure exposure (risk factors, independent variables)	Major flaw
F	The authors justified validity of the used methods from previously published research	
G	Other (please specify)	

Hypothesis specific: complete for each risk factor. Reliability of the estimates. (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)

Α	Not reported	Poor reporting
В	Reliability assumed acceptable according to previous published analyses	
С	Intra-observer variability is acceptable for exposure standards (define acceptable variability specific for the nature of exposure)	
D	Intra-observer variability is reported with subjective judgment of reliability	Minor flaw
E	Inter-observer variability is within acceptable for exposure standards (define acceptable variability specific for the nature of exposure)	
F	Inter-observer variability is reported with subjective judgment of reliability	
G	Other (please specify)	

	modeline overseine riel factors	
	measure exposure risk factors,	
	independent variable) in cases and controls	
3	The authors did not state that the	Minor flaw
,		Williof Haw
	same methods were used to measure	
	exposure risk factors, independent variable) in cases and controls	
.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Major flow
,	The authors used different methods	Major flaw
	to measure exposure (risk factors, independent variable) in cases and	
	controls	
)	Other (please specify)	
'	Other (please specify)	
otocol the primary col applicable responses	Not reported	Poor reporting
3	Major confounding factors/effect	Major flaw
	modifiers were not assessed	Minor flaw
;	Major confounding factors /effect	Williof flaw
	modifiers were assessed partially	WillOf Haw
	modifiers were assessed partially Major confounding factors/effect	WITOT HAW
	modifiers were assessed partially Major confounding factors/effect modifiers were assessed (known sets	WITOT HAW
	modifiers were assessed partially Major confounding factors/effect modifiers were assessed (known sets of confounders specific for research	WINDI Haw
)	modifiers were assessed partially Major confounding factors/effect modifiers were assessed (known sets of confounders specific for research questions)	WINGI Haw
D	modifiers were assessed partially Major confounding factors/effect modifiers were assessed (known sets of confounders specific for research	WINGI Haw
Measure of confounding	modifiers were assessed partially Major confounding factors/effect modifiers were assessed (known sets of confounders specific for research questions) Other (please specify) factors (define the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable response	sure primary confounding factors
Measure of confounding	modifiers were assessed partially Major confounding factors/effect modifiers were assessed (known sets of confounders specific for research questions) Other (please specify) factors (define the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable response valid measurements of major	sure primary confounding factors
Measure of confounding specific for the research	modifiers were assessed partially Major confounding factors/effect modifiers were assessed (known sets of confounders specific for research questions) Other (please specify) factors (define the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable response valid measurements of major confounding factors	sure primary confounding factors ponses) Poor reporting
S E Measure of confounding	modifiers were assessed partially Major confounding factors/effect modifiers were assessed (known sets of confounders specific for research questions) Other (please specify) factors (define the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responsed Valid measurements of major confounding factors Unknown validity to measure	sure primary confounding factors
Measure of confounding specific for the research	modifiers were assessed partially Major confounding factors/effect modifiers were assessed (known sets of confounders specific for research questions) Other (please specify) Jactors (define the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable respondered Valid measurements of major confounding factors Unknown validity to measure confounding factors	sure primary confounding factors ponses) Poor reporting Minor flaw
Measure of confounding specific for the research	modifiers were assessed partially Major confounding factors/effect modifiers were assessed (known sets of confounders specific for research questions) Other (please specify) Jactors (define the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable respondered Valid measurements of major confounding factors Unknown validity to measure confounding factors Non valid methods to measure confounding factors	sure primary confounding factors ponses) Poor reporting
Measure of confounding specific for the research	modifiers were assessed partially Major confounding factors/effect modifiers were assessed (known sets of confounders specific for research questions) Other (please specify) factors (define the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all app	sure primary confounding factors ponses) Poor reporting Minor flaw
Measure of confounding specific for the research	modifiers were assessed partially Major confounding factors/effect modifiers were assessed (known sets of confounders specific for research questions) Other (please specify) factors (define the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all app	sure primary confounding factors ponses) Poor reporting Minor flaw
Measure of confounding pecific for the research	modifiers were assessed partially Major confounding factors/effect modifiers were assessed (known sets of confounders specific for research questions) Other (please specify) factors (define the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean question). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responder of the protocol gold standards to mean questions). (Mark one best (*) and all app	sure primary confounding factors ponses) Poor reporting Minor flaw

acceptable

Other (please specify)

Α .	ase-control studies. (Mark one best (*) and all applicable Not reported	Poor reporting
В	% of nonresponse among cases the	
	same as for controls	
С	% of nonresponse differed among	Minor flaw
	cases and controls	
D	% of nonresponse reported for cases	Minor flaw
	only	
E	Other (please specify)	
M I - F		
Mask Exposure Masking of exposure	status for investigators who measured dependent varial	oles (outcomes)
Α	Not reported	Poor reporting
В	Was stated	, ,
С	Was not possible	
D	Was possible but not obtained	Minor flaw
E	Was stated and assessed	
F	Other (please specify)	
	(Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)	Door reporting
A	Not reported	Poor reporting
A B	Not reported Standardization	Poor reporting
A B C	Not reported Standardization Matching	Poor reporting
A B C D	Not reported Standardization Matching Adjustment in multivariate model	Poor reporting
A B C D	Not reported Standardization Matching Adjustment in multivariate model Stratification	Poor reporting
A B C D E F	Not reported Standardization Matching Adjustment in multivariate model Stratification Propensity scoring	
A B C D E F	Not reported Standardization Matching Adjustment in multivariate model Stratification Propensity scoring The authors did not obtain methods	Poor reporting Major flaw
A B C D F G	Not reported Standardization Matching Adjustment in multivariate model Stratification Propensity scoring The authors did not obtain methods to reduce bias	
A B C D E F G	Not reported Standardization Matching Adjustment in multivariate model Stratification Propensity scoring The authors did not obtain methods to reduce bias Several methods to reduce bias	
A B C D E F G	Not reported Standardization Matching Adjustment in multivariate model Stratification Propensity scoring The authors did not obtain methods to reduce bias Several methods to reduce bias Other methods were justified and	
A B C D F G	Not reported Standardization Matching Adjustment in multivariate model Stratification Propensity scoring The authors did not obtain methods to reduce bias Several methods to reduce bias Other methods were justified and obtained to reduce bias (please	
A B C D F G	Not reported Standardization Matching Adjustment in multivariate model Stratification Propensity scoring The authors did not obtain methods to reduce bias Several methods to reduce bias Other methods were justified and	
A B C D E F G H	Not reported Standardization Matching Adjustment in multivariate model Stratification Propensity scoring The authors did not obtain methods to reduce bias Several methods to reduce bias Other methods were justified and obtained to reduce bias (please	
A B C D E F G H I Temporality For cohort studies.	Not reported Standardization Matching Adjustment in multivariate model Stratification Propensity scoring The authors did not obtain methods to reduce bias Several methods to reduce bias Other methods were justified and obtained to reduce bias (please specify)	Major flaw
A B C D E F G H I Temporality For cohort studies.	Not reported Standardization Matching Adjustment in multivariate model Stratification Propensity scoring The authors did not obtain methods to reduce bias Several methods to reduce bias Other methods were justified and obtained to reduce bias (please specify)	Major flaw T) and all applicable responses)
A B C D E F G H I Temporality For cohort studies. Design and hypothes	Not reported Standardization Matching Adjustment in multivariate model Stratification Propensity scoring The authors did not obtain methods to reduce bias Several methods to reduce bias Other methods were justified and obtained to reduce bias (please specify) sis specific. Assessment of temporality. (Mark one best (*Not reported)	Major flaw
A B C D E F G H I Temporality For cohort studies.	Not reported Standardization Matching Adjustment in multivariate model Stratification Propensity scoring The authors did not obtain methods to reduce bias Several methods to reduce bias Other methods were justified and obtained to reduce bias (please specify) sis specific. Assessment of temporality. (Mark one best (*Not reported Demonstration that exposure	Major flaw a) and all applicable responses) Poor reporting
A B C D E F G H I Temporality For cohort studies. Design and hypothes	Not reported Standardization Matching Adjustment in multivariate model Stratification Propensity scoring The authors did not obtain methods to reduce bias Several methods to reduce bias Other methods were justified and obtained to reduce bias (please specify) sis specific. Assessment of temporality. (Mark one best (*Not reported Demonstration that exposure preceded the outcome (the disease o	Major flaw a) and all applicable responses) Poor reporting
A B C D E F G H I Temporality For cohort studies. Design and hypothes	Not reported Standardization Matching Adjustment in multivariate model Stratification Propensity scoring The authors did not obtain methods to reduce bias Several methods to reduce bias Other methods were justified and obtained to reduce bias (please specify) sis specific. Assessment of temporality. (Mark one best (*Not reported Demonstration that exposure	Major flaw a) and all applicable responses) Poor reporting

Δn	nra	pria	tan	200
$\neg \nu$	$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}$	pria	COLI	633

Appropriateness of statistical model to reduce research specific bias (define in the protocol the most
appropriate methods specific for research questions). (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)

A	Strategies to reduce research specific bias not reported	Poor reporting
В	Authors justified using appropriate statistical models to reduce research specific bias	
С	Authors did not use statistical models that may be the most appropriate according to the published literature (examples may include population stratification bias in case-control studies of genetic association, odds ratio in cohort studies of common diseases, missing data, large loss of followup)	Minor flaw
D	Authors did not justify choice of statistical models to reduce research specific bias	Minor flaw
E	Authors attempted to reduce bias in post hoc statistical adjustment	Minor flaw
F	Other (please specify)	

Dose response

Hypothesis specific: complete for each risk factor. Dose response with exposure. (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)

A	Not relevant for research question	
В	May be relevant but not reported	Poor reporting
С	Reported as significant	
D	Reported as nonsignificant	
E	Other (please specify)	

Report

Hypothesis specific. Reporting of tested hypothesis. (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)

A	Unclear reporting of the estimates Poor reporting (unclear model, reference level, set of confounding factors)	
В	Crude estimates	Major flaw if C is not marked
С	Authors reported estimates of primary and secondary hypotheses adjusted for confidential sources of bias	
D	Incomplete selective reporting of the tested hypotheses (compared to aim and objectives)	Minor flaw
E	Other (please specify)	

Precision Hypothesis specific. Precision of the estimates (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)

A	Mean with 95% Cl reported	
В	Mean and standard error of estimates reported	
С	Numeric value of estimates not reported (p value only, significance or non significance only)	Minor flaw
D	Mean only reported without p value or variance	Poor reporting
E	Other (please specify)	

Sample Size Sample size justification. (Mark one best (*) and all applicable responses)

A	Not reported	Poor reporting
В	Justified for primary outcome	<u>.</u>
С	Justified for secondary outcomes	
D	Justification by authors is incomplete or inaccurate	Minor flaw
E	Post-hoc analyses	Minor flaw
F	Other (please specify)	

Example of Quality Validity Report

not reported
ut sampling bias
e methods to measure dependent
lid methods were obtained)
measure confounding factors
ls from health care related sources (out , health care claims)
ed not reported
not reported
not reported
ut sampling bias
· -
measure confounding factors
t not included in definition of the

Loss of followup	Not reported
Masking of exposure status for investigators who	Not reported
measured dependent variables (outcomes)	
Article:	
Evaluator:	
External Validity	
Not reported	
Estimation of sampling bias: Subject flow	Number of eligible not reported
Estimation of sampling bias: Subject flow	Number of screened not reported
Estimation of sampling bias: Exclusion rate from the	Not reported
analysis	
Estimation of sampling bias: Addressing sampling bias	Not reported
Assessment of sampling bias	No information about sampling bias
Sampling: General population based	Not reported
Internal Validity	
Minor	
Confounding factors or the factors that can modify the	Major confounding factors/effect modifiers were assessed
association: risk factor and disease	partially
For cohort study: Appropriateness of statistical model	Did not justify choice of statistical models to reduce
to reduce research specific bias	research specific bias
Not reported	
Measurements of dependent variable	No information about validation
(target=outcomes): Validation	
Loss of followup	Not reported
Masking of exposure status for investigators who	Not reported
measured dependent variables (outcomes)	
Measure of confounding factors	Not reported
Measurements of dependent variable	Not reported
(target=outcomes): Reliability	